

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. This is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION **EDUCATES THE** PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-**GROWTH POLICIES.**

THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS **COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.**

THE FOUNDATION'S **GOAL IS TO INFORM** THE PUBLIC AND **BRING AWARENESS** TO LAWMAKERS.

Methodology | Missouri 2023

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Missouri's state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Foundation's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examines votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS **CONSIDERED IN MISSOURI IN 2023**

The Foundation's study examined over 1,300 floor votes and, in the end, included 17 Missouri House votes and 11 Missouri Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted signified as an "X" on the scorecard - though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote. the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #163 in the

House and #34 in the Senate if all lawmakers are present. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2023 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for "not applicable" appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Missouri House and Missouri Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating progrowth policies.

"Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID McINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 MISSOURI

DESCRIPTIONS



Missouri Senate Snapshot

24 Republicans

10 Democrats

O Independent

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 40%

(Down from 51% in 2022)

Average Democrat Score: 5% (Down from 16% in 2022)



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN
Senator Mike Moon (SD-29) | 100%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN
Senator Elaine Gannon (SD-3) | 8%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT
Senator Barbara Washington (SD-9) | 18%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Senator Lauren Arthur (SD-17) | 0%

Senator Greg Razer (SD-7) | 0%

Senator John Rizzo (SD-11) | 0%



ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY

MISSOURI SENATE SNAPSHOT

MISSOURI SENATE VOTES

MISSOURI
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

MISSOURI HOUSE SNAPSHOT

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTES

Missouri 2023 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB417	SB92	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB131 Amdt 5	SB143	SJR35	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	
POINTS					10	10	10	12	5	6	9	7	4	10	8	5	4	
Arthur, Lauren	SD-17	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Bean, Jason	SD-25	R	9%	36%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	X	-	4	23
Beck, Doug	SD-01	D	4%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	4	29
Bernskoetter, Mike	SD-06	R	22%	40%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	7	-	-	х	-	4	15
Black, Rusty	SD-12	R	16%	38%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	8	-	4	18
Brattin, Rick	SD-31	R	90%	88%	10	10	10	12	-	6	9	7	4	10	8	-	4	2
Brown, Ben	SD-26	R	73%	73%	10	10	10	12	-	-	-	-	4	10	8	5	4	5
Brown, Justin	SD-16	R	16%	40%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	8	-	4	18
Carter, Jill	SD-32	R	89%	89%	10	10	10	12	-	-	9	7	4	10	8	5	4	3
Cierpiot, Mike	SD-08	R	21%	41%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	8	-	4	16
Coleman, Mary	SD-22	R	45%	58%	10	-	10	-	-	6	-	7	4	-	х	-	4	9
Crawford, Sandy	SD-28	R	9%	33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	4	-	х	-	4	23
Eigel, Bill	SD-23	R	86%	93%	10	10	10	12	-	6	-	7	4	10	8	5	4	4
Eslinger, Karla	SD-33	R	13%	39%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	8	-	4	22
Fitzwater, Travis	SD-10	R	32%	55%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	7	4	-	8	-	4	11
Gannon, Elaine	SD-03	R	8%	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	Х	25
Hoskins, Denny	SD-21	R	48%	68%	10	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	4	10	8	-	4	8
Hough, Lincoln	SD-30	R	16%	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	8	-	4	18
Koenig, Andrew	SD-15	R	69%	85%	10	-	-	12	-	-	9	7	4	10	8	5	4	6
Luetkemeyer, Tony	SD-34	R	43%	53%	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	7	4	-	8	5	4	10

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 MISSOURI

MISSOURI SENATE

MISSOURI SENATE VOTES

MISSOURI SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

MISSOURI HOUSE SNAPSHOT

MISSOUR HOUSE VOTES

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Missouri 2023 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB417	SB92	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB131 Amdt 5	SB143	SJR35	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	
POINTS					10	10	10	12	5	6	9	7	4	10	8	5	4	
May, Karla	SD-04	D	8%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	4	25
McCreery, Tracy	SD-24	D	7%	38%	X	X	X	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	27
Moon, Mike	SD-29	R	100%	84%	10	10	10	12	5	Х	9	7	4	х	8	5	4	1
Mosley, Angela	SD-13	D	4%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	29
O'Laughlin, Cindy	SD-18	R	23%	56%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	4	-	8	-	4	13
Razer, Greg	SD-07	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Rehder, Holly	SD-27	R	32%	61%	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	7	4	-	8	-	4	11
Rizzo, John	SD-11	D	0%	16%	ı	•	-	ı	-	ı	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Roberts, Steven	SD-05	D	4%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	29
Rowden, Caleb	SD-19	R	16%	36%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	8	-	4	18
Schroer, Nick	SD-02	R	59%	72%	10	10	х	Х	-	-	-	-	4	10	8	-	4	7
Trent, Curtis	SD-20	R	23%	55%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	4	-	8	-	4	13
Washington, Barbara	SD-09	D	18%	24%	-	Х	-	Х	Х	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	4	17
Williams, Brian	SD-14	D	5%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	4	28

MISSOURI

Missouri 2023 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 2

BIG SPENDING EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates some \$9.8 billion for the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education in the Missouri FY24 state budget. This is a topline decrease of 5.8 percent from FY23 levels, however, that is due to reduced federal funds. Missouri general fund spending increased by 3.5 percent and comprises a large part of the record \$51 billion state budget. Specifically, the legislation includes \$56 million for expanded pre-K eligibility for "free and reduced-price" lunch subsidies, a \$233 million increase in K-12 public transportation spending, and \$32 million for a new teacher career advancement subsidy program. The Missouri House passed the bill, 150-4, on May 5. 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it. 24-9. on April 25, 2023.

HB 3

BIG SPENDING HIGHER EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill allocates funding for the state's public colleges and universities. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$1.47 billion for higher education and workforce development initiatives, including a "fast track" subsidy program that rewards government-preferred careers. The bill contains a \$145 million yearover-year increase in spending from the state's General Fund, an increase of 13.4 percent. Missouri's current liabilities exceed \$17 billion with hardworking Missourans facing continued pressure from high costs and persistent inflation. Lawmakers should not raise spending baselines that will inevitably result in future tax and liability burdens on taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 139-9, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 26-6, on April 25, 2023.

HB 7

BIG SPENDING ECONOMIC CRONYISM PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill allocates funding for the Department of Economic Development, Department of Commerce, and Department of Labor. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$850 million for crony economic development programs that pick winners and losers, rewarding governmentpreferred entities with taxpayer funding at the expense of hardworking Missourans. The bill contains a \$150 million year-over-year increase in crony economic development spending from the state's General Fund, an increase of 21.6 percent. Missouri's current liabilities exceed \$17 billion with hardworking Missourans facing continued pressure from high costs and persistent inflation. Lawmakers should not raise spending baselines that will inevitably result in future tax and liability burdens on taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 144-9, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it. 26-6, on April 25, 2023.

Missouri 2023 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 11

BIG SPENDING MEDICAID APPROPRIATIONS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates \$16 billion to the Department of Social Services in the Missouri FY23 state budget. This is an increase of 12 percent over FY23 levels and comprises a large part of the record \$50.7 billion state budget, coming just one year after the legislature passed a 35 percent increase for the department. Additionally, the bill perpetuates the state's costly and harmful Medicaid expansion and spends \$1.3 billion more than requested by the governor. The Missouri House passed the bill, 150-6, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 24-7, on April 25, 2023.

HB 202

EXPANDED CRONY AGRICULTURE PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill incorporates numerous tax carveouts for agricultural industries and expands existing fees and loan programs. Specifically, the legislation provides for a \$500,000 income tax deduction for selling a farm to a young farmer with additional deductions of \$25,000 per year for crop-sharing agreements with new farmers. Further, the bill expands a crony green energy exemption for biofuel production and increases the aggregate cap by 38 percent to \$5.5 million. It also expands a \$360,000 veterinarian loan repayment program for twelve people per year while increasing an agriculture fee for specific devices by 300 percent. The Missouri House passed the bill, 105-47, on May 12, 2023. The Senate passed it, 32-1, on May 10, 2023.

HB 417

WORKFORCE CENTRAL PLANNING PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill incorporates numerous tax-and-spend carveouts for workforce development initiatives. Specifically, the legislation contains a loan repayment program for only a handful of individuals pursuing medical, nursing, and veterinary careers equal to \$120,000 over four years. Additionally, the bill provides \$1,500 tax credits to companies for each intern or

apprentice they hire at minimum wage levels and creates a new fund that awards certain employers with \$30,000 grants on an annual basis if they provide training opportunities to upskill their employees with industry-recognized credentials. Wealth redistribution schemes enrich select entities at the expense of hardworking Missouran families and taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 113-41, on May 9, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 29-4, on May 5, 2023.

SB 92

SPECIAL INTEREST SUBSIDY SCHEME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands biodiesel production tax credits. Specifically, the legislation increases the aggregate annual cap for the biodiesel credit from \$4 million to \$5.5 million a year and establishes the "Missouri Rural Access to Capital Act" which provides up to \$16 million in tax credits to special interests that make investments hand-selected rural regions of the state. Lawmakers should not centrally plan economic development and wield the tax code

Missouri 2023 | Senate Vote Descriptions

to benefit well-connected elites at the expense of hardworking Missourans and their families. The Missouri Senate passed the bill, 24-10, on April 13, 2023. The Missouri House substituted different language for the bill.

SB 94

HOLLYWOOD TAX GIVEAWAY

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements the Show MO subsidy program for companies producing television, film, and traveling entertainment projects in the state. Specifically, the legislation provides tax credits that reimburse between 20 to 30 percent of the costs of the projects up to a maximum amount of \$3 million. The programs are expected to cost taxpayers roughly \$24 million a year. This type of flagrant cronyismwherein Missourans essentially subsidize wealthy Hollywood production companies to entice them to film in the state-enriches a select few wellconnected, government-preferred entities at the expense of hardworking taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 113-45, on May 4, 2023. The

Missouri Senate passed it as part of an omnibus vote, 20-12, on February 27, 2023.

SB 100

SOUND MONEY PROTECTIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill makes multiple changes to the state's legal tender law and taxation of precious metals. Specifically, the legislation requires the State Treasurer to hold at least 1 percent of state funds in gold or silver and ensures that gold and silver are accepted as legal tender for payment of all debts in Missouri at their spot price plus market premium. Additionally, the bill exempts all gold and silver bullion purchases from state and local sales taxes and the state capital gains tax. This bill helps ensure gold and silver can serve as an alternative competitive currency to the dollar, as well as provide taxpayers some protection during a significant inflation or hyperinflationary event. The Missouri House passed the bill, 108-42, on May 11, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 21-12, on February 9, 2023.

SB 106

MASSIVE WELFARE EXPANSION

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands several welfare programs. Specifically, the legislation creates a transitional benefits program for both TANF and food stamps by implementing a reduced benefit scale for households with incomes exceeding 138 percent of the federal poverty level. This change significantly expands eligibility for two of the largest welfare programs. Additionally, the bill further expands Medicaid under Obamacare from 60 days postpartum to a full year at a cost estimate of \$2.6 million annually while also establishing a new state health insurance mandate for mammogram screenings. Missouri's state debt and liabilities currently exceed \$17 billion. representing a \$8,600 debt burden for each Missouran. Lawmakers should not increase the size and reach of costly and destructive welfare programs. The Missouri House passed the bill, 119-23, on May 2, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it as part of an omnibus vote, 26-7, on May 5, 2023.

MISSOURI SENATE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**

SNAPSHOT

Missouri 2023 | Senate Vote Descriptions

SB 131 AMDT 5

PERMANENT REPARATIONS PAYOUT

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment implements a reparations tax credit for descendants of slaves. Specifically, the legislation provides a permanent \$2,000 annual tax credit to taxpayers who claim an ancestor who was considered a slave under the "Three-Fifths Compromise." This amendment proposes a race-based taxpayer-financed subsidy to select individuals on a permanent basis at the expense of every other Missouri citizen and taxpayer. The horrible legacy of slavery should not be used to justify permanent economic warfare that pits citizens against one another. The Missouri Senate defeated the amendment, 8-20, on March 1, 2023. The Missouri House did not take it up.

SB 143

FOOD DESERT TAX CREDIT SCHEME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill contains a central planning scheme for socalled "food deserts". Specifically, the legislation creates a specialized tax credit equal to 50 percent of qualified food and grocery service expenditures. The credit is available through 2029 and contains an effective annual cap of \$22 million. Once again, lawmakers should not centrally plan economic development and further distort the tax code at the expense of market forces. This only empowers bureaucrats and well-connected corporatists at the expense of hardworking families and taxpayers. The Missouri Senate passed the bill, 28-6, on April 6, 2023. The Missouri House substituted different language for the bill.

SJR 35

MODEST LOCAL TAX DECREASE MECHANISM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This joint resolution amends the Missouri Constitution through a ballot initiative. Specifically, the resolution allows the elected governing body of a locality to decrease the replacement tax levy for lost revenue from property tax exemptions. Under existing law, only the majority of voters within a locality can approve a decrease in the replacement tax levy. This new mechanism

creates a more direct accountability mechanism to decrease local tax levies. The Missouri Senate passed the resolution, 30-3, on March 30, 2023. The Missouri House did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 MISSOURI

MISSOURI SENATE SNAPSHOT

MISSOURI SENATE VOTES

MISSOURI SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> MISSOURI HOUSE SNAPSHOT

MISSOUR HOUSE VOTES



163 MEMBERS

Missouri **House Snapshot**

111 Republicans

51 Democrats

O Independent

1 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 44%

(Down from 48% in 2022)

Average Democrat Score: 2% (Down from 17% in 2021)



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS

Rep. Michael Davis (HD-56) | 100% Rep. Tony Lovasco (HD-64) | 100%





LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Rep. Kyle Marquart (HD-109) | 22%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Rep. Jo Doll (HD-91) | 14%



Rep. Barbara Phifer (HD-90) | 14%



MISSOURI HOUSE **SNAPSHOT**

Missouri 2023 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Adams, Joe	HD-086	D	0%	21%	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
Aldridge, Rasheen	HD-078	D	n/a	26%	Х	ı	I	I	I	I	Х	-	Х	ı	ı	Х	ı	Х	Х	I	I	I	ı	
Allen, Bill	HD-017	R	65%	65%	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	17
Amato, Phil	HD-113	R	31%	31%	5	-	-	-	-	х	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	73
Anderson, Marlon	HD-076	D	0%	20%	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Appelbaum, LaDonna	HD-071	D	0%	22%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Atchison, Darrell	HD-153	R	26%	36%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	х	8	5	-	2	-	2	98
Aune, Ashley	HD-014	D	3%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	121
Baker, Ben	HD-160	R	66%	74%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Banderman, Brad	HD-119	R	49%	49%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	Х	2	38
Bangert, Gretchen	HD-070	D	0%	19%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Baringer, Donna	HD-082	D	0%	24%	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Barnes, Jerome	HD-028	D	3%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	121
Billington, Hardy	HD-152	R	61%	67%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	19
Black, John	HD-129	R	32%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	2	69
Bland Manlove, Ashley	HD-026	D	0%	33%	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	х	-	Х	Х	-	127
Boggs, Mitch	HD-157	R	60%	64%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	5	5	2	8	х	5	2	8	2	24
Bonacker, Gary	HD-111	R	26%	26%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Bosley, LaKeySha	HD-079	D	3%	25%	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	х	-	2	-	Х	121
Bromley, Bob	HD-162	R	48%	51%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	3	Х	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	39

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Brown, Chris	HD-016	R	29%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	Х	4	-	3	-	-	Х	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Brown, Donnie	HD-149	R	26%	26%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Brown, Paula	HD-087	D	0%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Brown, Richard	HD-027	D	0%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Buchheit-Courtway, Cyndi	HD-115	R	36%	47%	5	-	-	-	-	Х	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	59
Burger, Jamie	HD-148	R	36%	40%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	59
Burnett, Ingrid	HD-019	D	12%	33%	Х	-	8	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	х	х	-	-	-	Х	114
Burton, Michael	HD-092	D	0%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Busick, Danny	HD-003	R	46%	53%	5	-	-	-	10	-	4	-	3	-	-	5	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	41
Butz, Steve	HD-081	D	4%	29%	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	120
Byrnes, Tricia	HD-063	R	42%	42%	х	-	-	-	-	х	4	3	3	4	-	-	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	48
Casteel, David	HD-097	R	29%	29%	5	-	-	-	-	х	4	3	3	-	-	-	х	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Chappell, Darin	HD-137	R	82%	82%	5	8	-	8	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	5
Christ, Brad	HD-096	R	29%	29%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Christensen, Mazzie	HD-002	R	84%	84%	5	-	-	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	4
Christofanelli, Phil	HD-104	R	74%	78%	5	-	-	8	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	7
Clemens, Doug	HD-072	D	0%	24%	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Coleman, Jeff	HD-032	R	61%	59%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	19
Collins, Kimberly-Ann	HD-077	D	3%	15%	-	-	-	-	х	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	х	-	2	-	-	121
Cook, Bennie	HD-143	R	31%	41%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	73
Copeland, Ron	HD-120	R	37%	45%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	Х	3	-	-	Х	2	8	5	-	2	Х	2	55

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Crossley, Aaron	HD-029	D	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	121
Cupps, Scott	HD-158	R	54%	57%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	4	Х	5	Х	8	5	5	2	Х	2	34
Davidson, Bishop	HD-130	R	61%	69%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	19
Davis, Michael	HD-056	R	100%	93%	5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	1
Deaton, Dirk	HD-159	R	66%	70%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Diehl, Dane	HD-125	R	27%	27%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	Х	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	94
Dinkins, Chris	HD-144	R	29%	46%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Doll, Jo	HD-091	D	14%	26%	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	Х	-	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	112
Ealy, Anthony	HD-036	D	0%	0%	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	127
Evans, David	HD-154	R	32%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	2	69
Falkner, Bill	HD-010	R	27%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	-	94
Farnan, Jeff	HD-001	R	30%	30%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	Х	78
Fogle, Betsy	HD-135	D	3%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	121
Fountain Henderson, Yolonda	HD-085	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Francis, Rick	HD-145	R	35%	37%	5	-	-	Х	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	2	62
Gallick, Sherri	HD-062	R	28%	28%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	2	91
Gragg, Jamie	HD-140	R	53%	53%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	35
Gray, Alan	HD-075	D	0%	27%	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	х	-	-	127
Gregory, Kurtis	HD-051	R	28%	43%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	Х	91
Griffith, Dave	HD-060	R	26%	40%	5	-	-	-	-	-	х	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Haden, Kent	HD-043	R	34%	45%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	63

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Haffner, Mike	HD-055	R	47%	54%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	Х	Х	40
Haley, Willard	HD-058	R	26%	38%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Hardwick, Bill	HD-121	R	59%	56%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	Х	5	-	Х	8	5	5	2	8	2	25
Hausman, Wendy	HD-065	R	58%	58%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	28
Hein, Stephanie	HD-136	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
Henderson, Mike	HD-117	R	34%	42%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	63
Hicks, Justin	HD-108	R	58%	58%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	28
Hinman, David	HD-103	R	34%	34%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	63
Houx, Dan	HD-054	R	52%	43%	Х	Х	Х	х	х	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	Х	2	-	2	36
Hovis, Barry	HD-146	R	30%	42%	5	Х	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	Х	-	2	78
Hudson, Brad	HD-138	R	61%	66%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	-	2	8	2	19
Hurlbert, Josh	HD-008	R	39%	46%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	-	5	2	-	2	52
Ingle, Keri	HD-035	D	0%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
Johnson, Jamie	HD-012	D	0%	0%	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Johnson, Michael	HD-023	D	0%	22%	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	127
Jones, Holly	HD-088	R	66%	66%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Justus, Doyle	HD-041	R	26%	26%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Kalberloh, Jim	HD-126	R	31%	41%	5	-	-	-	-	х	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	73
Keathley, Ben	HD-101	R	61%	61%	5	-	-	8	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	19
Kelley, Ann	HD-127	R	50%	52%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	-	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	37
Kelly, Hannah	HD-141	R	38%	49%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	4	-	-	2	8	-	5	2	-	2	53

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Knight, Jeff	HD-142	R	29%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Lavender, Deb	HD-098	D	0%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Lewis, Ed	HD-006	R	44%	52%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	43
Lewis, Patty	HD-025	D	12%	28%	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	114
Lonsdale, Chris	HD-038	R	73%	73%	5	-	-	8	10	5	4	-	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	8
Lovasco, Tony	HD-064	R	100%	92%	5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	1
Mackey, lan	HD-099	D	6%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	119
Mann, Douglas	HD-050	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Marquart, Kyle	HD-109	R	22%	22%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	111
Matthiesen, Mark	HD-107	R	77%	77%	5	8	8	-	10	5	4	3	3	4	-	-	2	8	-	5	2	8	2	6
Mayhew, Don	HD-124	R	43%	53%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	47
McGaugh, Peggy	HD-007	R	26%	39%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
McGirl, Mike	HD-118	R	29%	43%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
McMullen, Aaron	HD-020	R	70%	70%	5	-	-	8	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	х	5	2	Х	2	9
Merideth, Peter	HD-080	D	0%	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Morse, Herman	HD-151	R	26%	38%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	х	Х	-	-	х	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Mosley, Jay	HD-068	D	0%	26%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Murphy, Jim	HD-094	R	59%	60%	Х	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	25
Myers, Jeff	HD-042	R	38%	38%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	Х	53
Nickson-Clark, Chantelle	HD-067	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	Х	-	-	х	-	х	х	-	х	-	-	127
Nurrenbern, Maggie	HD-015	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
O'Donnell, Michael	HD-095	R	37%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	Х	2	55
Oehlerking, Philip	HD-100	R	41%	41%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	Х	5	2	ı	2	49
Owen, Bill	HD-131	R	32%	46%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	2	69
Parker, Cameron	HD-150	R	24%	24%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	Х	8	-	-	2	-	2	109
Patterson, Jonathan	HD-030	R	34%	46%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	63
Perkins, Chad	HD-040	R	36%	51%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	5	-	2	8	-	-	2	Х	х	59
Peters, Tara	HD-122	R	29%	29%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Phifer, Barbara	HD-090	D	14%	26%	-	-	8	-	-	Х	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	Х	-	х	-	-	112
Plank, Adrian	HD-047	D	0%	0%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Plocher, Dean	HD-089	R	37%	47%	5	-	-	Х	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	55
Pollitt, Brad	HD-052	R	46%	49%	5	-	-	-	-	Х	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	41
Pouche, Sean	HD-013	R	31%	48%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	5	х	8	-	-	2	-	Х	73
Proudie, Raychel	HD-073	D	0%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
Quade, Crystal	HD-132	D	0%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
Reedy, Rodger	HD-057	R	31%	43%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	Х	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	73
Reuter, Renee	HD-112	R	33%	33%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	х	8	-	-	2	-	2	68
Richey, Doug	HD-039	R	66%	68%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Riggs, Louis	HD-005	R	29%	45%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Riley, Alex	HD-134	R	44%	61%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	43
Roberts, Lane	HD-161	R	27%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	х	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	94
Sander, Chris	HD-033	R	59%	80%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	-	5	-	8	2	25

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 MISSOURI

MISSOURI SENATE SNAPSHOT

MISSOURI SENATE VOTES

MISSOURI SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> MISSOURI HOUSE SNAPSHOT

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTES

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Sassmann, Bruce	HD-061	R	29%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Sauls, Robert	HD-021	D	0%	25%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Schnelting, Adam	HD-069	R	66%	66%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Schulte, Jim	HD-049	R	34%	34%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	5	2	-	2	63
Schwadron, Adam	HD-105	R	66%	71%	5	-	-	8	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	10
Seitz, Brian	HD-156	R	56%	69%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	-	2	8	2	32
Sharp, Mark	HD-037	D	0%	20%	-	Х	Х	Х	х	-	-	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	х	127
Sharpe, Greg	HD-004	R	29%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Shields, Brenda	HD-011	R	29%	35%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	80
Smith, Cody	HD-163	R	57%	65%	5	-	-	-	-	5	Х	3	3	4	Х	5	Х	8	5	5	Х	Х	2	31
Smith, David	HD-046	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Smith, Travis	HD-155	R	24%	39%	Х	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	х	109
Sparks, Justin	HD-110	R	92%	92%	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	4	3	3	4	-	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	3
Stacy, Dan	HD-031	R	65%	79%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	х	8	2	17
Steinhoff, Kathy	HD-045	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Stephens, Mike	HD-128	R	26%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	-	х	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Stinnett, Melanie	HD-133	R	44%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	43
Strickler, Kemp	HD-034	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	127
Taylor, Del	HD-084	D	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	2	Х	-	118
Taylor, Tim	HD-048	R	41%	51%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	х	5	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	49
Terry, Marlene	HD-066	D	0%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х		127

Missouri 2023 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB2 Amdt 16	HB2	HB3	HB7	HB11	HB202	HB225	HB253	HB268	HB417	HB542	HB587	HB777	HB816	HB939	SB94	SB100	SB106	SB157 Amdt 11	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					5	8	8	8	10	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	
Thomas, Lisa	HD-123	R	44%	58%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	5	2	8	5	-	2	-	2	43
Thompson, Terry	HD-053	R	27%	36%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	Х	Х	2	94
Titus, Bob	HD-139	R	66%	66%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	8	2	10
Toalson Reisch, Cheri	HD-044	R	58%	63%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	2	28
Unsicker, Sarah	HD-083	D	0%	27%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	127
VanSchoiack, Dean	HD-009	R	26%	37%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Veit, Rudy	HD-059	R	32%	43%	5	-	-	Х	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	Х	-	2	69
Voss, John	HD-147	R	26%	26%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	98
Waller, Ken	HD-114	R	28%	28%	5	-	-	-	-	Х	4	Х	3	-	-	-	2	8	-	-	2	-	2	91
Walsh Moore, Bridget	HD-093	D	0%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	127
Weber, Emily	HD-024	D	0%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	127
West, Richard	HD-102	R	55%	69%	5	-	-	-	-	5	Х	3	3	4	5	5	2	8	5	5	2	-	Х	33
Wilson, Travis	HD-106	R	41%	41%	5	-	-	-	-	5	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	х	2	-	2	49
Windham, Kevin	HD-074	D	11%	29%	Х	-	8	-	-	-	х	-	-	Х	-	-	х	х	Х	-	-	-	-	116
Woods, Eric	HD-018	D	8%	8%	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117
Wright, Dale	HD-116	R	37%	44%	5	-	-	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	-	2	8	5	-	2	Х	2	55
Young, Yolanda	HD-022	D	0%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	127

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTES

MISSOURI HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**

Missouri 2023 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 2 AMDT 16

UNIVERSAL PRE-K SPENDING BINGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment attempts to fund a universal pre-K program by raiding the state's lottery fund. Specifically, this amendment to the K-12 spending package appropriates \$138 million from the Missouri lottery fund to pay for universal pre-K regardless of income level. The government should not subsidize daycare programs under the guise of education. Further, raiding the state's lottery fund to pay for such an expansion guarantees future tax increases to offset the revenue loss. The Missouri House opposed the amendment, 43-105, on March 28, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 2

BIG SPENDING EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates some \$9.8 billion for the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education in the Missouri FY24 state budget. This is a topline decrease of 5.8 percent from FY23 levels, however, that is due to reduced federal funds. Missouri general fund spending increased by 3.5 percent and comprises a large part of the record \$51 billion state budget. Specifically, the legislation includes \$56 million for expanded pre-K eligibility for "free and reduced-price" lunch subsidies, a \$233 million increase in K-12 public transportation spending, and \$32 million for a new teacher career advancement subsidy program. The Missouri House passed the bill, 150-4, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 24-9, on April 25, 2023.

HB 3

BIG SPENDING HIGHER EDUCATION APPROPRIATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill allocates funding for the state's public colleges and universities. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$1.47 billion for higher education and workforce development initiatives, including a "fast track" subsidy program that rewards government-preferred careers. The bill contains a \$145 million yearover-vear increase in spending from the state's General Fund, an increase of 13.4 percent. Missouri's current liabilities exceed \$17 billion with hardworking Missourans facing continued pressure from high costs and persistent inflation. Lawmakers should not raise spending baselines that will inevitably result in future tax and liability burdens on taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 139-9, on May 5. 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it. 26-6. on April 25, 2023.

HB 7

BIG SPENDING ECONOMIC CRONYISM PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill allocates funding for the Department of Economic Development, Department of Commerce, and Department of Labor. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$850 million for crony economic development programs that pick winners and losers, rewarding government-preferred entities with taxpayer funding at the expense of hardworking Missourans. The bill contains a \$150 million year-over-year increase in crony economic development spending from the state's General Fund, an increase of 21.6 percent. Missouri's current liabilities exceed \$17 billion with hardworking Missourans facing continued pressure from high costs and persistent inflation. Lawmakers should not raise spending baselines that will inevitably result in future tax and liability burdens on taxpavers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 144-9, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 26-6, on April 25, 2023.

HB 11

BIG SPENDING MEDICAID APPROPRIATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates \$16 billion to the Department of Social Services in the Missouri FY23 state budget. This is an increase of 12 percent over FY23 levels and comprises a large part of the record \$50.7 billion state budget, coming just one year after the legislature passed a 35 percent increase for the department. Additionally, the bill perpetuates the state's costly and harmful Medicaid expansion and spends \$1.3 billion more than requested by the governor. The Missouri House passed the bill. 150-6, on May 5, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 24-7, on April 25, 2023.

HB 202

EXPANDED CRONY AGRICULTURE PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill incorporates numerous tax carveouts for agricultural industries and expands existing fees and loan programs. Specifically, the legislation provides for a \$500,000 income tax deduction for selling a farm to a young

farmer with additional deductions of \$25,000 per year for crop-sharing agreements with new farmers. Further, the bill expands a crony green energy exemption for biofuel production and increases the aggregate cap by 38 percent to \$5.5 million. It also expands a \$360,000 veterinarian loan repayment program for twelve people per year while increasing an agriculture fee for specific devices by 300 percent. The Missouri House passed the bill, 105-47, on May 12, 2023. The Senate passed it, 32-1, on May 10, 2023.

HB 225

NUCLEAR ENERGY DEVELOPMENT

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill repeals language blocking the development of clean nuclear power for Missourians. Specifically, the legislation repeals statutory language from 1976 that prevents electric utilities from charging their customers for construction work-in-progress powergeneration facilities. When the statute was first enacted in 1976, it immediately halted construction on a second nuclear power unit

at Callaway Generating Station. The language has been used to great effect by the climate lobby in the heavily subsidized wind and solar sector to prevent the creation of new reliable and affordable nuclear power facilities. The Missouri House passed the bill, 108-39, on March 29, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 253

MODEST SCHOOL CHOICE EXPANSION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill establishes an incremental empowerment of parents and students in K-12 programs. Specifically, the legislation provides for an "open enrollment program" in K-12 schools that allows students to attend government schools within districts where they are not residents. The program does not cover charter schools and caps the annual percentage of students transferring out of a school district from exceeding 3 percent of the prior year's enrollment. Missouri remains one of the better states when it comes to school choice and further empowering parents, not government schools, is the best way to provide quality education for students stuck in failing

school systems. The Missouri House passed the bill. 85-69, on March 8, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 268

ECONOMIC REGULATORY SANDBOX PROGRAM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill creates a process and criteria by which some regulations may be waived for two vears to help encourage Missouri businesses to operate with a freer hand to innovate on product development. Regulatory sandbox approaches are a relatively new initiative that rolls back or waives various regulations-usually for a temporary period in specific areas-to allow businesses to operate without the burden of excessive, cost-driving regulations to spur new ideas and innovation. This approach also allows lawmakers to ascertain what regulations are necessary or perhaps unnecessary and in need of permanent repeal. The Missouri House passed the bill, 116-34, on February 27, 2023. The Missouri Senate substituted a different omnibus package for the bill.

HB 417

WORKFORCE CENTRAL PLANNING PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill incorporates numerous tax-andspend carveouts for workforce development initiatives. Specifically, the legislation contains a loan repayment program for only a handful of individuals pursuing medical, nursing, and veterinary careers equal to \$120,000 over four years. Additionally, the bill provides \$1,500 tax credits to companies for each intern or apprentice they hire at minimum wage levels and creates a new fund that awards certain employers with \$30,000 grants on an annual basis if they provide training opportunities to upskill their employees with industryrecognized credentials. Wealth redistribution schemes enrich select entities at the expense of hardworking Missouran families and taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 113-41, on May 9, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it. 29-4, on May 5, 2023.

SNAPSHOT

HB 542

STUDENT LOAN REPAYMENT CENTRAL PLANNING SCHEME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new Health Professional Loan Repayment Program. Specifically, the legislation puts taxpayers on the hook to repay the student loans of certain healthcare professionals who work for at least two years in an area the Department believes there is a "defined need" for additional services. Additionally, the bill leaves the funding openended, empowers state bureaucrats to centrally plan the healthcare resources for hardworking Missourans, and creates yet another moral hazard for taxpayers at the state level as total student loan debt now exceeds \$1.8 trillion in the United States. The Missouri House passed the bill, 128-24, on April 19, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 587

MUNICIPAL LAND BANK EXPANSIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill grants cities and counties the ability

to create land banks through tax bonds. Specifically, the legislation allows a newly established board to transfer vacant and tax-delinquent properties to third parties for redevelopment instead of auctioning off such properties to the highest bidder. This bill shrinks the private sector and creates permanent central-planning bureaucracies capable of seizing land for governmentpreferred interests. Existing land banks in Kansas City and St. Louis have been riddled with inefficiencies and prospective corruption since their establishment-often rejecting offers by private entities to purchase properties held by the bank in the hopes of scoring big with larger developers or cutting deals with politically well-connected interests. The Missouri House passed the bill, 108-45, on March 20, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 777

MINOR CERTIFICATE OF NEED REFORM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements modest reforms to the state's certificate of need laws. Specifically, the legislation provides for a greater number of health care and living facilities that can now be constructed in both urban and rural areas and disregards existing facilities as barriers for construction if they are within a certain mile radius. On average, healthcare costs are 11 percent higher in states with CON laws than in those without due to arbitrary bureaucratic constraints that restrict access. This measure takes modest steps to begin rolling back onerous regulations. The Missouri House passed the bill, 103-43, on April 24, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 816

SIGNIFICANT INCOME TAX REFORM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements further reductions in Missouri's income tax rates. Specifically, the legislation lowers the top rate from 4.95 percent to 4.5 percent beginning on January 1, 2024. Additionally, the bill cuts the corporate tax rate from 4 percent to 2 percent by January 1, 2024, and then lowers the rate to 1 percent in 2025 if certain fiscal standards are reached.

Cutting taxes for hardworking Missouri families and businesses is vital for economic growth. especially amid persistent inflation and soaring energy prices. The Missouri House passed the bill, 111-48, on March 23, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

HB 939

CRONY RAILROAD TAX CREDIT EXPANSION

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the amount of tax credits the Department of Economic Development may issue under the Missouri Works program. Specifically, the legislation creates a specialized tax credit equal to 50 percent of qualified railroad rehabilitation expenditures. The credit is available through 2029 and contains an effective annual cap of \$14.5 million. Additionally, the bill expands another crony tax credit for capital investment and corporate job creation by raising the annual aggregate cap from \$6 million to \$10 million. Lawmakers should not wield the tax code to benefit wellconnected special interests at the expense

of hardworking middle-class households and families. The Missouri House passed the bill. 70-57, on April 6, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

SB 94

HOLLYWOOD TAX GIVEAWAY

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements the Show MO subsidy program for companies producing television, film, and traveling entertainment projects in the state. Specifically, the legislation provides tax credits that reimburse between 20 to 30 percent of the costs of the projects up to a maximum amount of \$3 million. The programs are expected to cost taxpayers roughly \$24 million a year. This type of flagrant cronyismwherein Missourans essentially subsidize wealthy Hollywood production companies to entice them to film in the state-enriches a select few well-connected, government-preferred entities at the expense of hardworking taxpayers. The Missouri House passed the bill, 113-45, on May 4, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it as part of an omnibus vote, 20-12, on February 27, 2023.

SB 100

SOUND MONEY PROTECTIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill makes multiple changes to the state's legal tender law and taxation of precious metals. Specifically, the legislation requires the State Treasurer to hold at least 1 percent of state funds in gold or silver and ensures that gold and silver are accepted as legal tender for payment of all debts in Missouri at their spot price plus market premium. Additionally, the bill exempts all gold and silver bullion purchases from state and local sales taxes and the state capital gains tax. This bill helps ensure gold and silver can serve as an alternative competitive currency to the dollar, as well as provide taxpayers some protection during a significant inflation or hyperinflationary event. The Missouri House passed the bill, 108-42, on May 11, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it, 21-12, on February 9, 2023.

SNAPSHOT

SB 106

MASSIVE WELFARE EXPANSION

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands several welfare programs. Specifically, the legislation creates a transitional benefits program for both TANF and food stamps by implementing a reduced benefit scale for households with incomes exceeding 138 percent of the federal poverty level. This change significantly expands eligibility for two of the largest welfare programs. Additionally, the bill further expands Medicaid under Obamacare from 60 days postpartum to a full year at a cost estimate of \$2.6 million annually while also establishing a new state health insurance mandate for mammogram screenings. Missouri's state debt and liabilities currently exceed \$17 billion, representing a \$8,600 debt burden for each Missouran. Lawmakers should not increase the size and reach of costly and destructive welfare programs. The Missouri House passed the bill, 119-23, on May 2, 2023. The Missouri Senate passed it as part of an omnibus vote, 26-7, on May 5, 2023.

SB 157 AMDT 11

PREEMPTING MUNICIPAL LICENSING **REGULATIONS**

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This amendment prevents local governments from enacting any additional occupational or professional licensing regulations beyond what has been set by the state legislature. Onerous occupational licensing schemes serve as barriers to entry that disproportionately impact lower-income populations. Lawmakers should work to remove or prevent such barriers to expand economic opportunity and competition. The Missouri House passed the amendment, 102-48, on May 3, 2023. The Missouri Senate did not take it up.

SNAPSHOT