

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. It is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION **EDUCATES THE** PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-**GROWTH POLICIES.**

THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS **COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.**

THE FOUNDATION'S **GOAL IS TO INFORM** THE PUBLIC AND **BRING AWARENESS** TO LAWMAKERS.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Methodology | New Hampshire 2019

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of New Hampshire's elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examined legislative votes related to the Foundation's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examined votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

2019 LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

The Foundation's study examined over 440 floor votes and, in the end, included 22 New Hampshire House votes and 15 New Hampshire Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted signified as an "X" on the scorecard - though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #348 in the House and #12 in the Senate. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2019 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for not applicable appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the New Hampshire House and New Hampshire Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating progrowth policies.

"Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID McINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 **NEW HAMPSHIRE**

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS



24 MEMBERS

New Hampshire Senate Snapshot

10 Republicans

14 Democrats

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 54%

Average Democrat Score: 2%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Senator Bob Giuda (SD-02) | 61%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Senator Harold French (SD-07) | 47%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Senator Martha Fuller Clark (SD-21) | 3%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Several with 2%

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

New Hampshire 2019 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB3	HB4	HB692	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB57	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB247	SB249	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					8	8	7	9	11	6	7	8	6	7	2	7	4	6	4	
Birdsell, Regina	SD-19	R	49%	49%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	-	9
Bradley, Jeb	SD-03	R	53%	53%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	4	5
Carson, Sharon	SD-14	R	53%	53%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	4	5
Cavanaugh, Kevin	SD-16	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Chandley, Shannon	SD-11	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
D'Allesandro, Lou	SD-20	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Dietsch, Jeanne	SD-09	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Feltes, Dan	SD-15	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
French, Harold	SD-07	R	47%	47%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	-	7	2	-	4	6	4	10
Fuller Clark, Martha	SD-21	D	3%	3%	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	11
Giuda, Bob	SD-02	R	61%	61%	-	8	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	4	1
Gray, James	SD-06	R	53%	53%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	4	5
Hennessey, Martha	SD-05	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Kahn, Jay	SD-10	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Levesque, Melanie	SD-12	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE **VOTES**

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB3	HB4	HB692	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB57	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB247	SB249	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					8	8	7	9	11	6	7	8	6	7	2	7	4	6	4	
Morgan, Jon	SD-23	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Morse, Chuck	SD-22	R	60%	60%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	7	4	6	4	2
Reagan, John	SD-17	R	58%	58%	-	-	-	9	11	-	7	8	-	7	2	-	4	6	4	3
Rosenwald, Cindy	SD-13	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Sherman, Tom	SD-24	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Soucy, Donna	SD-18	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12
Starr, David	SD-01	R	53%	53%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	-	4	6	4	5
Ward, Ruth	SD-08	R	56%	56%	-	-	-	9	-	-	7	8	6	7	2	7	4	6	-	4
Watters, David	SD-04	D	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	12

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE **VOTES**

New Hampshire 2019 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 3

THE 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The state's official budget would spend \$12.9 billion over the next two years - an increase of more than 10% over the previous budget. This increase in spending is well over the growth in population plus inflation - a common benchmark used to track the growth in the size of government. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 327-29, on September 25, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 24-0, on the same day.

HB 4

THE 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill accompanies HB3 as part of the budget process. While HB3 is the bill that actually allocates the money within the budget, HB4 makes the updates to existing law that enables the spending changes. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire House passed

this bill, 316-40, on September 25, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 23-1, on the same day.

HB 692

NEW DENTAL COVERAGE FOR MEDICAID

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill removes the prohibition of dental coverage within the state's Medicaid program. Rather than expand government-run healthcare with new services, lawmakers should be passing legislation that keeps the government out of the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire Senate passed it, 24-0, on May 2, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it by voice vote on June 13, 2019.

SB 1

MANDATORY FAMILY LEAVE PROGRAM WITH TAX HIKE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would implement a new government

program providing mandatory paid family and medical leave benefits financed by a new half-percent income tax. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 13-10, on February 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 219-142, on March 20, 2019.

SB 4

AFFIRMING THE HIGHER COST OF OBAMACARE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Federal law under Obamacare mandates coverage for "essential benefits" that raises the cost of insurance for everyone. This bill would keep those mandates in place in the event that Obamacare is repealed. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 22-1, on March 27, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 213-137, on May 8, 2019.

METHODOLOGY 2019 NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

New Hampshire 2019 | Senate Vote Descriptions

SB 5

MORE MENTAL HEALTH COVERAGE FOR **MEDICAID**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would increase spending under Medicaid for mental health issues by \$3 million. Rather than expand government-run healthcare, lawmakers should be passing legislation that keeps the government out of the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on January 31, 2019. The bill went to the New Hampshire House where a slightly amended version passed, 207-130, on May 8, 2019.

SB 10

NEW MINIMUM WAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The minimum wage is a price control on labor. Increasing or expanding the minimum wage often has the opposite desired effect, leading to job losses and shortages. This bill would establish a new statewide minimum wage starting at \$10 an hour and gradually going up to \$12 in 2022. On the motion of adopting the conference

committee report, the New Hampshire House passed this bill. 207-143, on June 27, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on the same day.

SB 57

REPEAL OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY TAX

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill would phase out and ultimately repeal the utility property tax, saving ratepayers over \$42 million a year. On the motion of "Inexpedient to Legislate," the New Hampshire Senate voted, 14-10, on March 7, 2019. This effectively killed the bill.

SB 100

NEW REGULATIONS ON HIRING

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would prohibit public and private employers from inquiring about prior arrests or criminal charges on an employment application, or run a criminal background check before the initial interview. Businesses should be free of government interference when they make hiring decisions. On the motion of "Ought to

Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 16-8, on March 28, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 217-130, on May 23, 2019.

SB 168

HIGHER SOLAR ENERGY MANDATE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would increase the solar energy mandate within the state's renewable portfolio standard from .07 today to 5.4 percent in 2025. This restrictive regulation will likely lead to higher costs for the consumer and stalled economic growth in the state. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 217-139, on June 6, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on June 13, 2019.

SB 242

BLOCK OUT-OF-STATE SALES TAX COLLECTION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill prohibits other states from forcing New Hampshire businesses to collect sales taxes for

SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

New Hampshire 2019 | Senate Vote Descriptions

them. New Hampshire does not have a sales tax so forcing them to incur costs is both anti-growth and likely unconstitutional. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on February 21, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 308-25, on June 6, 2019.

SB 247

SUNNY DAY SLUSH FUND

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would create a "Sunny Day" fund that would provide \$10 million in research grants for competitive startup companies. This sort of activity crowds out private investment and is not a core function of government. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 22-2, on March 21, 2019. The bill failed to reach the New Hampshire House.

SB 249

UNIONIZING LEGISLATIVE STAFF

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would establish the New Hampshire legislature as a public employer so that nonpartisan employees could unionize and establish procedures for collective bargaining. This would likely result in a more rigid bureaucracy and higher costs for taxpayers. On the motion of "Ought to Pass," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 14-10, on March 14, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire House.

SB 271

LABOR UNION WAGES FOR PUBLIC **PROJECTS**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would require all workers to be paid high "prevailing wages" for state-funded public works projects, resulting in higher costs to taxpayers. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 14-10, on March 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 213-140, on May 8, 2019.

SB 275

HIGHER COSTS FROM ZERO EMISSION **VEHICLES**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires that all of the state's motor vehicles emit zero emissions by the year 2039. With a price tag of at least \$28 million and more bureaucracy caused by state agencies having to

consult with a Zero Emissions Vehicle committee, this is a needlessly burdensome law that will harm taxpayers. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended," the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 16-8, on March 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 205-145, on May 8, 2019.

SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES



400 MEMBERS

New Hampshire House Snapshot

166 Republicans

233 Democrats

1 Libertarian

Average Republican Score: 89%

Average Libertarian Score: 97%

Average Democrat Score: 2%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS Several with 100%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Rep. Karel Crawford (Carroll 4) | 60%



LIBERTARIAN RATING

Rep. Max Abramson (Rockingham 20) | 97%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Rep. Henry Parkhurst (Cheshire 13) | 27%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Several with 0%

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2019 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-0TP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Abbas, Daryl	Rockingham 8	R	84%	84%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	-	4	7	7	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	124
Abbott, Michael	Cheshire 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Abel, Richard	Grafton 13	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	348
Abrami, Patrick	Rockingham 19	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Abramson, Max	Rockingham 20	L	97%	97%	9	5	5	2	3	-	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	Х	2	32
Acton, Dennis	Rockingham 10	R	91%	91%	9	-	5	Х	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	-	5	1	4	2	49
Adjutant, Joshua	Grafton 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Aldrich, Glen	Belknap 2	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	Х	Х	5	4	5	1	Х	Х	1
Alexander, Joe	Hillsborough 6	R	84%	84%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	-	5	1	4	2	124
Allard, James	Merrimack 21	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Almy, Susan	Grafton 13	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Altschiller, Debra	Rockingham 19	D	8%	8%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	166
Ames, Richard	Cheshire 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Aron, Judy	Sullivan 7	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Avellani, Lino	Carroll 5	R	99%	99%	9	Х	Х	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	-	4	2	28
Backus, Robert	Hillsborough 19	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Balch, Chris	Hillsborough 38	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Baldasaro, Al	Rockingham 5	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	х	Х	4	2	78
Barnes, Arthur	Rockingham 8	R	78%	78%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	-	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	Х	2	143

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	НВ120-ОТРА	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Baroody, Benjamin	Hillsborough 43	D	4%	4%	х	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	Х	-	х	-	-	183
Barry, Richard	Hillsborough 21	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	х	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Bartlett, Christy	Merrimack 19	D	0%	0%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	348
Bean, Harry	Belknap 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Beaudoin, Richard	Belknap 3	R	n/a	n/a	х	-	-	-	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	5	4	5	1	х	Х	N/A
Beaudoin, Steven	Strafford 9	R	100%	100%	9	х	х	Х	Х	3	3	3	6	5	5	Х	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Beaulieu, Jane	Hillsborough 45	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Belanger, James	Hillsborough 27	R	100%	100%	9	ı	ı	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	Х	Х	4	2	1
Berch, Paul	Cheshire 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Bergeron, Paul	Hillsborough 29	D	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	1	Х	-	164
Bernet, Jennifer	Hillsborough 4	D	2%	2%	х	х	х	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	194
Berrien, Skip	Rockingham 18	D	1%	1%	-	х	х	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Bershtein, Alan	Rockingham 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Bixby, Peter	Strafford 17	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Boehm, Ralph	Hillsborough 20	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Bordenet, John	Cheshire 5	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	348
Bordy, William	Hillsborough 28	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Bosman, James	Hillsborough 38	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Bouchard, Donald	Hillsborough 11	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Bouldin, Amanda	Hillsborough 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	1	Х	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-0TP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Bouldin, Andrew	Hillsborough 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	1	Х	-	200
Buchanan, Ryan	Merrimack 15	D	2%	2%	Х	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	х	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	194
Buco, Thomas	Carroll 2	D	23%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	7	-	-	-	5	Х	Х	Х	-	2	159
Bunker, Lisa	Rockingham 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Burns, Charles	Hillsborough 23	R	94%	94%	9	-	Х	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	х	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	Х	Х	4	2	43
Burroughs, Anita	Carroll 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Burt, John	Hillsborough 39	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Bushway, Patricia	Rockingham 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Butler, Edward	Carroll 7	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Cahill, Michael	Rockingham 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Cali-Pitts, Jacqueline	Rockingham 30	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	176
Callum, John	Sullivan 6	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	Х	Х	4	2	78
Camarota, Linda	Hillsborough 7	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Campion, Polly	Grafton 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Cannon, Gerri	Strafford 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Carson, Clyde	Merrimack 7	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Chase, Wendy	Strafford 18	D	0%	0%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	348
Chirichiello, Brian	Rockingham 6	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	7	8	х	5	4	5	1	4	Х	78
Chretien, Jacqueline	Hillsborough 42	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	200
Cleaver, Skip	Hillsborough 35	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Cloutier, John	Sullivan 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Cohen, Bruce	Hillsborough 28	D	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	Х	Х	-	-	183
Comeau, Ed	Carroll 5	R	94%	94%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	Х	Х	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	43
Comtois, Barbara	Belknap 7	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	х	х	х	5	4	5	1	х	х	1
Conley, Casey	Strafford 13	D	0%	0%	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	348
Connors, Erika	Hillsborough 15	D	1%	1%	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Cordelli, Glenn	Carroll 4	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Cornell, Patricia	Hillsborough 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Costable, Michael	Rockingham 3	R	99%	99%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	-	4	2	28
Cote, David	Hillsborough 31	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	Х	Х	х	-	-	х	х	Х	Х	348
Coursin, David	Rockingham 1	D	1%	1%	Х	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Craig, Kevin	Coos 4	R	95%	95%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Crawford, Karel	Carroll 4	R	60%	60%	9	-	-	-	3	3	Х	Х	-	Х	-	х	х	7	х	х	5	4	Х	Х	4	Х	156
Cushing, Robert Renny	Rockingham 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Danielson, David	Hillsborough 7	R	80%	80%	9	-	-	2	3	3	-	3	6	5	5	4	7	Х	8	4	Х	4	-	1	4	2	137
Dargie, Paul	Hillsborough 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Davis, Dan	Rockingham 16	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Davis, Fred	Hillsborough 31	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
DeClercq, Edward	Rockingham 8	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	х	3	3	3	3	х	5	х	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	78
Desilets, Joel	Rockingham 7	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
DeSimone, Debra	Rockingham 14	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	Х	5	4	5	Х	4	2	78
Desjardin, Kathy	Hillsborough 13	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	•	200
DesMarais, Edith	Carroll 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Diggs, Francesca	Grafton 16	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	176
DiLorenzo, Charlotte	Rockingham 17	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	200
DiSilvestro, Linda	Hillsborough 9	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	х	Х	-	-	348
Doherty, David	Merrimack 20	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	Х	-	х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Dolan, Tom	Rockingham 5	R	71%	71%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	-	-	7	7	Х	х	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	152
Dontonville, Roger	Grafton 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Doucette, Fred	Rockingham 8	R	85%	85%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	Х	5	5	4	7	7	Х	4	5	4	5	Х	Х	2	122
Dutzy, Sherry	Hillsborough 30	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Eaton, Daniel	Cheshire 3	D	1%	1%	Х	х	х	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Ebel, Karen	Merrimack 5	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Edgar, Michael	Rockingham 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Edwards, Jess	Rockingham 4	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Egan, Timothy	Grafton 2	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Eisner, Mary	Rockingham 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Elliott, Robert	Rockingham 8	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	Х	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	4	х	Х	4	2	91
Ellis, Donna	Strafford 8	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	1	-	Х	200
Ellison, Arthur	Merrimack 27	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	Х	4	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	170

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Erf, Keith	Hillsborough 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	50
Espitia, Manny	Hillsborough 31	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Fargo, Kristina	Strafford 14	D	1%	1%	Х	-	-	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Faulkner, Barry	Cheshire 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Fedolfi, Jim	Hillsborough 1	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	Х	5	Х	4	2	91
Feeney, George	Belknap 5	R	85%	85%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	Х	Х	5	4	5	1	Х	Х	122
Fellows, Sallie	Grafton 8	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Fenton, Donovan	Cheshire 8	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	348
Fields, Dennis	Belknap 4	R	83%	83%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	-	1	4	2	129
Flanagan, Jack	Hillsborough 26	R	95%	95%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Fontneau, Timothy	Strafford 7	D	9%	9%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	164
Ford, Susan	Grafton 3	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Forsythe, Robert	Merrimack 8	R	100%	100%	9	Х	Х	2	Х	3	3	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	7	8	4	Х	Х	5	1	4	2	1
Fothergill, John	Coos 1	R	79%	79%	9	х	Х	2	3	-	3	3	Х	5	5	Х	7	-	8	-	5	Х	5	Х	4	-	141
Fowler, William	Rockingham 20	R	n/a	n/a	9	х	Х	-	3	3	3	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	7	Х	х	х	Х	х	Х	4	х	N/A
Fox, Samantha	Merrimack 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Freitas, Mary	Hillsborough 14	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
French, Elaine	Grafton 14	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Frost, Sherry	Strafford 16	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	348
Fulweiler, Joyce	Merrimack 3	D	1%	1%	х	х	х	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Furbush, Michael	Coos 1	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	Х	Х	4	2	78
Gagne, Larry	Hillsborough 13	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Gay, Betty	Rockingham 8	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	Х	Х	5	1	4	2	108
Gidge, Kenneth	Hillsborough 33	D	n/a	n/a	x	-	-	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	x	х	x	x	х	x	х	x	х	х	х	N/A
Gilman, Julie	Rockingham 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	200
Goley, Jeffrey	Hillsborough 8	D	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	1	-	2	190
Gomarlo, Jennie	Cheshire 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Gordon, Edward	Grafton 9	R	77%	77%	Х	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	6	5	-	х	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	146
Gould, Linda	Hillsborough 7	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	78
Gourgue, Amanda	Strafford 25	D	1%	1%	Х	-	-	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Graham, John	Hillsborough 7	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Grassie, Chuck	Strafford 11	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Green, Dennis	Rockingham 13	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Greene, Bob	Hillsborough 37	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Griffin, Barbara	Hillsborough 6	R	100%	100%	9	Х	Х	2	Х	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	Х	1
Griffin, Mary	Rockingham 7	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Griffith, Willis	Hillsborough 18	D	1%	1%	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Grossman, Gaby	Rockingham 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Grote, Jaci	Rockingham 24	D	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	190
Gunski, Michael	Hillsborough 6	R	93%	93%	9	-	5	2	Х	3	3	3	6	5	5	Х	7	7	Х	Х	5	Х	Х	Х	4	2	46

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	НВ120-ОТРА	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Guthrie, Joseph	Rockingham 13	R	77%	77%	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	5	4	7	Х	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	146
Hall, Brett	Hillsborough 26	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	348
Hamer, Heidi	Hillsborough 17	D	7%	7%	-	-	-	Х	-	-	3	3	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Harb, Robert	Rockingham 14	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Harrington, Michael	Strafford 3	R	87%	87%	Х	-	-	2	3	Х	х	х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	х	4	2	108
Harriott-Gathright, Linda	Hillsborough 36	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Harvey, Cathryn	Cheshire 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Hatch, William	Coos 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	200
Hayward, Peter	Strafford 1	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Heath, Mary	Hillsborough 14	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Hennessey, Erin	Grafton 1	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	х	7	8	4	5	4	5	х	4	2	78
Herbert, Christopher	Hillsborough 43	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Higgins, Peg	Strafford 22	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Hill, Gregory	Merrimack 3	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	Х	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	78
Hinch, Richard	Hillsborough 21	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Hobson, Deborah	Rockingham 35	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	2	-	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	108
Hoelzel, Kathleen	Rockingham 3	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	Х	х	4	2	108
Hopper, Gary	Hillsborough 2	R	90%	90%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	х	5	-	5	1	4	2	50
Horgan, James	Strafford 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	50
Horn, Werner	Merrimack 2	R	80%	80%	9	-	5	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	-	7	7	8	4	5	-	-	1	4	2	137

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Horrigan, Timothy	Strafford 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Howard, Raymond	Belknap 8	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Hunt, John	Cheshire 11	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	Х	1
Huot, David	Belknap 3	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Indruk, Greg	Hillsborough 34	D	1%	1%	-	х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Jack, Martin	Hillsborough 36	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Janigian, John	Rockingham 8	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Janvrin, Jason	Rockingham 37	R	84%	84%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	-	5	1	4	2	124
Jeudy, Jean	Hillsborough 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Josephson, Timothy	Grafton 11	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	348
Jurius, Deanna	Belknap 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Kanzler, Harrison	Carroll 2	D	2%	2%	Х	Х	Х	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	194
Karrick, David	Merrimack 25	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Katsakiores, Phyllis	Rockingham 6	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	4	5	х	4	2	108
Keans, Sandra	Strafford 23	D	6%	6%	Х	-	-	-	-	х	Х	Х	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	170
Kenney, Cam	Strafford 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Khan, Aboul	Rockingham 20	R	83%	83%	9	-	-	х	3	3	Х	х	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	129
King, Mark	Hillsborough 33	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Kittredge, Mac	Strafford 12	R	87%	87%	х	-	-	2	3	х	Х	х	6	5	5	4	7	х	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	108
Klee, Patricia	Hillsborough 30	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Klein-Knight, Nicole	Hillsborough 11	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Klose, John	Merrimack 21	R	86%	86%	Х	-	-	2	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	Х	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	118
Knirk, Jerry	Carroll 3	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Kolodziej, Walter	Rockingham 7	R	100%	100%	9	х	х	х	3	3	3	3	6	х	х	4	7	7	8	х	5	4	5	1	4	х	1
Komi, Richard	Hillsborough 43	D	2%	2%	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	х	-	-	х	х	х	-	-	Х	1	-	Х	194
Kotowski, Frank	Merrimack 24	R	82%	82%	Х	-	-	-	3	Х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	х	8	4	х	Х	5	1	4	2	132
L'Heureux, Robert	Hillsborough 21	R	n/a	n/a	Х	-	-	2	3	х	Х	х	6	5	5	4	7	Х	х	х	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	N/A
Ladd, Rick	Grafton 4	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	х	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Laflamme, Larry	Coos 3	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Lane, Connie	Merrimack 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Lang, Timothy	Belknap 4	R	92%	92%	9	-	5	2	х	3	3	3	6	5	Х	х	7	7	х	х	х	4	5	1	Х	Х	48
Langley, Diane	Hillsborough 8	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Lascelles, Richard	Hillsborough 20	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	50
Laware, Thomas	Sullivan 8	R	82%	82%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	132
Le, Tamara	Rockingham 31	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Leishman, Peter	Hillsborough 24	D	15%	15%	Х	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	х	х	х	-	Х	1	Х	Х	161
Lekas, Alicia	Hillsborough 37	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	50
Lekas, Tony	Hillsborough 37	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	50
Levesque, Cassandra	Strafford 4	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Ley, Douglas	Cheshire 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Long, Patrick	Hillsborough 10	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	ı	348
Loughman, Tom	Rockingham 21	D	0%	0%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	-	•	348
Love, David	Rockingham 6	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Lovejoy, Patricia	Rockingham 36	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	176
Lucas, Gates	Sullivan 2	R	83%	83%	Х	-	-	-	3	Х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	Х	Х	4	2	129
Lundgren, David	Rockingham 5	R	n/a	n/a	9	-	-	х	3	3	3	3	Х	Х	5	Х	х	Х	Х	х	5	Х	5	Х	Х	Х	N/A
Luneau, David	Merrimack 10	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	348
MacDonald, John	Carroll 6	R	84%	84%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	-	4	7	7	8	Х	5	4	5	1	4	2	124
MacKay, James	Merrimack 14	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Mackie, Jonathan	Belknap 2	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Maes, Kevin	Grafton 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Maggiore, Jim	Rockingham 22	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Major, Norman	Rockingham 14	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	х	3	3	3	3	Х	Х	х	4	х	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	108
Malloy, Dennis	Rockingham 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Mangipudi, Latha	Hillsborough 35	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Mann, John	Cheshire 2	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Marple, Richard	Merrimack 24	R	95%	95%	9	5	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Marsh, William	Carroll 8	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Martin, Joelle	Hillsborough 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Marzullo, JP	Hillsborough 2	R	86%	86%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	Х	8	4	5	4	Х	1	4	2	118

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Massimilla, Linda	Grafton 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	1	-	-	200
McBeath, Rebecca	Rockingham 26	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	348
McBride, Everett	Rockingham 8	R	n/a	n/a	Х	Х	Х	Х	3	Х	Х	Х	6	Х	-	4	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	4	Х	Х	Х	Х	N/A
McConnell, Liz	Rockingham 11	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
McGhee, Kat	Hillsborough 40	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
McGuire, Carol	Merrimack 29	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	Х	6	5	5	х	7	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	78
McKinney, Betsy	Rockingham 5	R	76%	76%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	-	5	5	Х	7	7	Х	Х	х	х	5	Х	Х	Х	149
McLean, Mark	Hillsborough 44	R	82%	82%	х	-	-	2	3	х	Х	Х	6	5	-	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	132
McMahon, Charles	Rockingham 7	R	76%	76%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	-	4	7	-	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	149
McNally, Jody	Strafford 10	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	Х	Х	х	4	5	1	Х	Х	108
McWilliams, Rebecca	Merrimack 27	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	348
Meader, David	Cheshire 6	D	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	183
Melvin, Charles	Rockingham 15	R	97%	97%	9	5	5	2	-	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	32
Merchant, Gary	Sullivan 4	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	3	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	1	х	х	176
Merlino, Timothy	Hillsborough 25	R	n/a	n/a	х	-	5	2	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	х	5	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	N/A
Merner, Troy	Coos 7	R	65%	65%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	-	5	-	4	7	7	х	х	5	4	-	1	-	Х	154
Meuse, David	Rockingham 29	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Migliore, Vincent Paul	Grafton 9	R	88%	88%	х	-	-	2	3	Х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Milz, David	Rockingham 6	R	84%	84%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	х	х	х	5	Х	5	Х	4	Х	124
Moffett, Howard	Merrimack 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Mombourquette, Donna	Hillsborough 5	D	0%	0%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	348
Morrill, David	Cheshire 4	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	348
Morrison, Sean	Rockingham 9	R	n/a	n/a	Х	ı	1	2	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	I	4	ı	1	Х	Х	N/A
Moynihan, Wayne	Coos 2	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	348
Mullen, Sue	Hillsborough 7	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Mulligan, Mary Jane	Grafton 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Murphy, Nancy	Hillsborough 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Murray, Kate	Rockingham 24	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Murray, Megan	Hillsborough 22	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Muscatel, Garrett	Grafton 12	D	0%	0%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	348
Myler, Mel	Merrimack 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Nelson, Bill	Carroll 5	R	80%	80%	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	х	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	137
Newman, Ray	Hillsborough 29	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Newman, Sue	Hillsborough 29	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Noel, Henry	Coos 3	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Nordgren, Sharon	Grafton 12	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Notter, Jeanine	Hillsborough 21	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Nunez, Hershel	Hillsborough 37	R	95%	95%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Nutter-Upham, Frances	Hillsborough 33	D	1%	1%	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Nutting-Wong, Allison	Hillsborough 32	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	НВ120-ОТРА	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
O'Brien, Michael	Hillsborough 36	D	2%	2%	Х	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	х	Х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	194
O'Connor, John	Rockingham 6	R	77%	77%	х	-	-	-	Х	х	3	3	6	5	5	Х	7	7	х	х	5	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	146
O'Day, John	Cheshire 11	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
O'Hearne, Andrew	Sullivan 3	D	1%	1%	-	х	x	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Ober, Lynne	Hillsborough 37	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	Х	5	х	4	2	78
Ober, Russell	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	100%	9	Х	Х	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	Х	5	Х	4	2	1
Opderbecke, Linn	Strafford 15	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Osborne, Jason	Rockingham 4	R	100%	100%	х	5	5	2	3	х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Osborne, Richard	Grafton 7	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Owens, Becky	Rockingham 4	R	n/a	n/a	Х	Х	Х	2	3	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	5	4	х	Х	х	х	5	Х	5	х	4	Х	
Oxenham, Lee	Sullivan 1	D	1%	1%	-	х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Packard, Sherman	Rockingham 5	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Panasiti, Reed	Hillsborough 22	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	х	7	8	4	5	Х	5	1	4	2	91
Pantelakos, Laura	Rockingham 25	D	n/a	n/a	х	-	-	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	-	х	х	х	Х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	
Parkhurst, Henry	Cheshire 13	D	27%	27%	9	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	5	1	-	2	157
Pearl, Howard	Merrimack 26	R	95%	95%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	х	4	2	36
Pearson, Mark	Rockingham 34	R	81%	81%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	Х	5	-	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	Х	2	136
Pearson, Stephen	Rockingham 6	R	80%	80%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	Х	5	-	4	х	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	Х	2	137
Pearson, William	Cheshire 16	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	х	348
Pedersen, Michael	Hillsborough 32	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Perreault, Mona	Strafford 24	R	88%	88%	Х	-	-	2	3	Х	Х	Х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Petrigno, Peter	Hillsborough 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Pickering, Daniel	Hillsborough 3	D	1%	1%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Piedra, Israel	Hillsborough 9	D	1%	1%	х	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Piemonte, Tony	Rockingham 4	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Pimentel, Roderick	Merrimack 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Pitre, Joseph	Strafford 2	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	Х	5	х	4	7	7	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	91
Plett, Fred	Hillsborough 6	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	Х	Х	Х	4	2	78
Plumer, John	Belknap 6	R	79%	79%	Х	-	-	2	3	Х	Х	Х	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	4	-	1	4	2	141
Porter, Marjorie	Hillsborough 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Potucek, John	Rockingham 6	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Pratt, Kevin	Rockingham 3	R	82%	82%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	Х	5	-	4	7	Х	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	132
Proulx, Mark	Hillsborough 44	R	71%	71%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	-	5	-	4	7	х	8	4	5	4	5	1	-	2	152
Prout, Andrew	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Prudhomme-O'Brien, Katherine	Rockingham 6	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	х	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	108
Query, Joshua	Hillsborough 16	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Radhakrishnan, Julie	Hillsborough 22	D	6%	6%	-	х	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	170
Read, Ellen	Rockingham 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	-	348
Renzullo, Andrew	Hillsborough 37	R	95%	95%	9	-	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Rice, Kimberly	Hillsborough 37	R	87%	87%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	Х	Х	5	Х	5	1	Х	Х	108

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Rich, Cecilia	Strafford 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	1	Х	Х	200
Richards, Beth	Merrimack 13	D	2%	2%	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	194
Riel, Cole	Hillsborough 6	D	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Rodd, Beth	Merrimack 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Rogers, Katherine	Merrimack 28	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Rollins, Skip	Sullivan 6	R	78%	78%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	-	7	8	4	5	4	-	1	4	2	143
Rooney, Abigail	Strafford 1	R	86%	86%	9	-	-	Х	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	Х	Х	Х	5	4	5	1	Х	Х	118
Roy, Terry	Rockingham 32	R	93%	93%	9	-	5	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	Х	4	2	46
Rung, Rosemarie	Hillsborough 21	D	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	-	166
Ruprecht, Dennis	Grafton 15	D	4%	4%	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	183
Salloway, Jeffrey	Strafford 5	D	11%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	163
Sanborn, Laurie	Hillsborough 41	R	n/a	n/a	Х	Х	Х	2	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	4	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	1	Х	Х	N/A
Sandler, Catt	Strafford 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Saunderson, George	Merrimack 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Schamberg, Thomas	Merrimack 4	D	3%	3%	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	190
Schapiro, Joe	Cheshire 16	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Schmidt, Janice	Hillsborough 28	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Schmidt, Peter	Strafford 19	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Schuett, Dianne	Merrimack 20	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Schultz, Kristina	Merrimack 18	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Seaworth, Brian	Merrimack 20	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Shaw, Barbara	Hillsborough 16	D	15%	15%	Х	-	-	-	3	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	1	-	2	161
Shurtleff, Stephen	Merrimack 11	D	n/a	n/a	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	N/A
Smith, Marjorie	Strafford 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Smith, Steven	Sullivan 11	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Smith, Suzanne	Grafton 8	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	Х	3	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	176
Smith, Timothy	Hillsborough 17	D	4%	4%	Х	-	-	Х	3	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Snow, Kendall	Hillsborough 19	D	1%	1%	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Sofikitis, Catherine	Hillsborough 34	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Somero, Paul	Hillsborough 25	R	86%	86%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	Х	7	Х	Х	5	4	5	Х	Х	Х	118
Somssich, Peter	Rockingham 27	D	1%	1%	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Soucy, Timothy	Merrimack 16	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	170
Southworth, Thomas	Strafford 20	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	200
Spang, Judith	Strafford 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Spanos, Peter	Belknap 3	R	89%	89%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	7	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	78
Spillane, James	Rockingham 2	R	98%	98%	Х	5	5	2	3	х	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	7	х	х	5	4	5	-	Х	Х	31
St. Clair, Charlie	Belknap 9	D	25%	25%	х	х	х	-	-	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	-	-	7	-	-	-	4	Х	1	-	2	158
St. John, Michelle	Hillsborough 27	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Stack, Kathryn	Hillsborough 21	D	1%	1%	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Stapleton, Walter	Sullivan 5	R	74%	74%	9	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	6	5	5	-	-	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	151

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	НВ120-ОТРА	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Stavis, Laurel	Grafton 13	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Stevens, Deb	Hillsborough 34	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	348
Stringham, Jerry	Grafton 5	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	170
Sullivan, Brian	Sullivan 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Swinburne, Sandy	Cheshire 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	1	-	-	200
Sykes, George	Grafton 13	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	348
Sylvia, Michael	Belknap 6	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Sytek, John	Rockingham 8	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	Х	Х	х	4	5	1	4	Х	91
Tanner, Linda	Sullivan 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Tatro, Bruce	Cheshire 15	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	348
Telerski, Laura	Hillsborough 35	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Testerman, Dave	Merrimack 2	R	95%	95%	9	5	-	2	Х	3	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	36
Thomas, Douglas	Rockingham 5	R	100%	100%	9	х	х	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Thomas, Wendy	Hillsborough 21	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Thomas, Yvonne	Coos 3	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	170
Thompson, Craig	Cheshire 14	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	Х	-	-	348
Ticehurst, Susan	Carroll 3	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	176
Tilton, Franklin	Belknap 3	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	Х	5	4	х	7	8	4	5	4	Х	х	4	2	91
Toomey, Dan	Hillsborough 32	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Torosian, Peter	Rockingham 14	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	х	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	4	5	1	4	2	1

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	HB120-OTP	HB120-OTPA	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Towne, Matthew	Strafford 4	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	200
Treleaven, Susan	Strafford 17	D	0%	0%	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	х	348
Trento, Michael	Hillsborough 7	R	96%	96%	9	I	I	-	Х	3	3	3	6	Х	5	Х	Х	Х	8	4	I	Х	I	I	4	2	35
True, Chris	Rockingham 4	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Tucker, Edith	Coos 5	D	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	183
Turcotte, Alan	Merrimack 22	D	3%	3%	-	х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	190
Ulery, Jordan	Hillsborough 37	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Vail, Suzanne	Hillsborough 30	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Vallone, Mark	Rockingham 9	D	16%	16%	-	-	-	2	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	х	4	-	1	-	2	160
Van Houten, Constance	Hillsborough 45	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Vann, Ivy	Hillsborough 24	D	0%	0%	х	-	-	-	-	х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	-	-	348
Varney, Peter	Belknap 5	R	n/a	n/a	х	5	5	Х	3	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	4	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	Х	5	1	Х	х	N/A
Verville, Kevin	Rockingham 2	R	99%	99%	Х	5	5	Х	3	Х	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	Х	8	4	5	4	5	-	4	2	28
Viens, Harry	Belknap 1	R	97%	97%	9	Х	Х	-	х	3	3	3	Х	5	х	х	7	7	8	4	5	х	5	1	4	2	32
Vincent, Kenneth	Strafford 17	D	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	х	-	-	х	х	х	х	-	-	176
Von Plinsky, Sparky	Cheshire 7	D	4%	4%	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	183
Wall, Janet	Strafford 6	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Wallace, Scott	Rockingham 12	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	Х	Х	6	5	Х	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Wallner, Mary Jane	Merrimack 10	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	Х	-	200
Walsh, Thomas	Merrimack 24	R	94%	94%	9	х	-	2	х	3	3	3	6	5	5	х	7	7	8	4	5	4	х	х	4	2	43

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	CACR12	HB3	HB4	НВ120-ОТР	НВ120-ОТРА	HB277	HB558	HB560	HB622	HB623	HB629	HB641	HB686	SB1	SB4	SB5	SB10	SB100	SB168	SB242	SB271	SB275	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	N	
POINTS					9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	
Walz, Mary Beth	Merrimack 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Ward, Gerald	Rockingham 28	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Warden, Mark	Hillsborough 15	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	Х	4	5	1	4	2	1
Warner, Anne	Rockingham 5	D	n/a	n/a	х	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	-	х	-	-	-	х	х	-	х	х	х	х	х	N/A
Wazir, Safiya	Merrimack 17	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Webb, James	Rockingham 6	R	n/a	n/a	Х	-	-	2	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	5	Х	х	7	Х	Х	Х	5	4	5	1	Х	Х	N/A
Weber, Lucy	Cheshire 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Welch, David	Rockingham 13	R	78%	78%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	-	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	-	5	Х	4	2	143
Wells, Kenneth	Merrimack 1	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Weston, Joyce	Grafton 8	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Weyler, Kenneth	Rockingham 13	R	88%	88%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	91
Whittemore, James	Hillsborough 37	R	90%	90%	9	-	-	Х	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	50
Wilhelm, Matthew	Hillsborough 42	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	Х	х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	348
Williams, Kermit	Hillsborough 4	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	348
Wolf, Dan	Merrimack 5	R	64%	64%	9	-	-	-	3	3	3	3	6	Х	-	х	х	7	Х	Х	х	4	-	1	Х	Х	155
Woodbury, David	Hillsborough 5	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Woodcock, Stephen	Carroll 2	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Woods, Gary	Merrimack 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	200
Wuelper, Kurt	Strafford 3	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1
Yakubovich, Michael	Merrimack 24	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	х	4	5	1	4	2	1
Yokela, Josh	Rockingham 33	R	100%	100%	9	5	5	2	3	3	3	3	6	5	5	4	7	7	8	4	5	4	5	1	4	2	1

CACR 12

PROHIBIT A STATE INCOME TAX

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

New Hampshire currently has no state income tax. but this proposal would put prohibition of such a tax into the state's constitution if the resolution is subsequently approved by two-thirds of the voters. On the motion of "Inexpedient To Legislate", the New Hampshire House voted. 206-143, on March 19, 2019. This effectively killed the bill.

HB 3

THE 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The state's official budget would spend \$12.9 billion over the next two years - an increase of more than 10% over the previous budget. This increase in spending is well over the growth in population plus inflation - a common benchmark used to track the growth in the size of government. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 32729, on September 25, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it. 24-0, on the same day.

HB 4

THE 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill accompanies HB3 as part of the budget process. While HB3 is the bill that actually allocates the money within the budget, HB4 makes the updates to existing law that enables the spending changes. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 316-40, on September 25, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 23-1, on the same day.

HB 120 (OTP)

NEW OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REGIME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Occupational licensure laws restrict innovation and job growth. It's also a prime example of regulatory capture where industry can control its own competition through the political process.

This bill would require licensing and inspection for tattoo artists. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 255-115, on January 31, 2019. After passage, it was referred to the House Finance Committee (see next vote).

HB 120 (OTPA)

NEW OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REGIME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill was further amended by the Finance Committee to include the regulation of massage therapists and massage establishments. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire House voted, 218-155, on April 4, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 277

STUDY ON GOVERNMENT-RUN HEALTHCARE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would establish a commission that would study a possible "public option" for health insurance. This would be the first step towards

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

more government control and bureaucracy in the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 211-141, on March 19, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 558

BAN ON PLASTIC STRAWS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would prohibit any food service company from handing out plastic straws unless a customer requests one. Private companies should be able to make decisions like this without interference from the government. On the motion of "Ought To Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire House voted, 196-146, on March 19, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 560

BAN ON PLASTIC BAGS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would prohibit certain retail establishments from providing plastic bags to its customers unless the business charged at least ten cents for each bag. Private companies

should be able to make decisions like this without interference from the government. On the motion "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire House passed the bill, 201-145, on March 19, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 622

RIGHT TO WORK

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill, also known as "Right to Work" legislation, would prohibit collective bargaining agreements that require employees to join or contribute to a labor union. On the motion of "Inexpedient To Legislate", the New Hampshire House voted, 214-135, on March 7, 2019. This effectively killed the bill.

HB 623

REPEAL OF BUSINESS TAX CUTS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would repeal scheduled cuts to the state's Business Profits Tax and the Business Enterprise Tax. Doing so would discourage economic growth and job creation. On the motion of "Ought To Pass as Amended", the

New Hampshire House passed this bill, 200-141, on February 28, 2019. It subsequently died in the House Finance Committee.

HB 629

NEW DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

Across the country, lawmakers have failed to adequately fund defined benefit plans for public employees, racking up billions in unfunded liabilities. This bill would establish a defined contribution plan for all new state employees, thus limiting the risk that taxpayers will have to pay in the future. On the motion of "Inexpedient To Legislate", the New Hampshire House voted, 224-137, on March 7, 2019. This effectively killed the bill.

HB 641

NEW HOTEL TAX

(CFGF OPPOSES)

In a dubious effort to boost tourism, this antigrowth bill would allow municipalities to impose a \$2 occupancy tax per day on hotel rooms. On the motion of "Ought To Pass as Amended",

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

the New Hampshire House passed the bill, 209-146, on April 4, 2019. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 686

NEW CAPITAL GAINS TAX

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This "tax and spend" bill would impose a new five percent tax on capital gains. The proceeds would be used to lower property taxes, but also to increase spending on education grants. This anti-growth bill would grow government and hinder job creation. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 199-143, on February 28, 2019. It subsequently died in the House Finance Committee.

SB₁

MANDATORY FAMILY LEAVE PROGRAM WITH TAX HIKE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would implement a new government program providing mandatory paid family and medical leave benefits financed by a new half-

percent income tax. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 13-10, on February 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 219-142, on March 20, 2019.

SB 4

AFFIRMING THE HIGHER COST OF OBAMACARE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Federal law under Obamacare mandates coverage for "essential benefits" that raises the cost of insurance for everyone. This bill would keep those mandates in place in the event that Obamacare is repealed. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 22-1, on March 27, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 213-137, on May 8, 2019.

SB 5

MORE MENTAL HEALTH COVERAGE FOR **MEDICAID**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would increase spending under Medicaid

for mental health issues by \$3 million. Rather than expand government-run healthcare. lawmakers should be passing legislation that keeps the government out of the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on January 31, 2019. The bill went to the New Hampshire House where a slightly amended version passed, 207-130, on May 8, 2019.

SB 10

NEW MINIMUM WAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The minimum wage is a price control on labor. Increasing or expanding the minimum wage often has the opposite desired effect, leading to job losses and shortages. This bill would establish a new statewide minimum wage starting at \$10 an hour and gradually going up to \$12 in 2022. On the motion of adopting the conference committee report, the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 207-143, on June 27, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on the same day.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

SB 100

NEW REGULATIONS ON HIRING

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would prohibit public and private employers from inquiring about prior arrests or criminal charges on an employment application, or run a criminal background check before the initial interview. Businesses should be free of government interference when they make hiring decisions. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 16-8, on March 28, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 217-130, on May 23, 2019.

SB 168

HIGHER SOLAR ENERGY MANDATE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would increase the solar energy mandate within the state's renewable portfolio standard from .07 today to 5.4 percent in 2025. This restrictive regulation will likely lead to higher costs for the consumer and stalled economic growth in the state. On the motion of "Ought to

Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 217-139, on June 6, 2019. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on June 13, 2019.

SB 242

BLOCK OUT-OF-STATE SALES TAX COLLECTION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill prohibits other states from forcing New Hampshire businesses to collect sales taxes for them. New Hampshire does not have a sales tax so forcing them to incur costs is both anti-growth and likely unconstitutional. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on February 21, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 308-25, on June 6, 2019.

SB 271

LABOR UNION WAGES FOR PUBLIC **PROJECTS**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would require all workers to be paid high "prevailing wages" for state-funded public works

projects, resulting in higher costs to taxpayers. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 14-10, on March 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it, 213-140, on May 8, 2019.

SB 275

HIGHER COSTS FROM ZERO EMISSION **VEHICLES**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires that all of the state's motor vehicles emit zero emissions by the year 2039. With a price tag of at least \$28 million and more bureaucracy caused by state agencies having to consult with a Zero Emissions Vehicle committee. this is a needlessly burdensome law that will harm taxpayers. On the motion of "Ought to Pass as Amended", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 16-8, on March 14, 2019. The New Hampshire House passed it. 205-145, on May 8, 2019.

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES