



NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. It is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION
EDUCATES THE
PUBLIC ABOUT PROGROWTH POLICIES.

THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.

THE FOUNDATION'S GOAL IS TO INFORM THE PUBLIC AND BRING AWARENESS TO LAWMAKERS.

METHODOLOGY 2020 **NEW HAMPSHIRE**

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

Methodology | New Hampshire 2020

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of New Hampshire's elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examined votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS **CONSIDERED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE IN 2020**

The Foundation's study examined over 270 floor votes and, in the end, included 15 New Hampshire House votes and 9 New Hampshire Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted - signified as an "X" on the scorecard - though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was

ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #400 in the House and #24 in the Senate. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2020 and all previous vears where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for "not applicable" appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the New Hampshire House and New Hampshire Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating pro-growth policies.

"Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID McINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 **NEW HAMPSHIRE**

SNAPSHOT



24 MEMBERS

New Hampshire Senate Snapshot

10 Republicans

14 Democrats

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 66%

Average Democrat Score: 1%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS Multiple Senators | 68%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Senator Sharon Carson (SD-14) | 52%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Senator Jeanne Dietsch (SD-09) | 7%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Multiple Senators | 0%

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

New Hampshire 2020 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB712	HB731	HB1280	SB124	SB304	SB603	SB651	SB688	SB754	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	9	14	13	7	16	9	14	
Birdsell, Regina	SD-19	R	68%	59%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Bradley, Jeb	SD-03	R	68%	61%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Carson, Sharon	SD-14	R	52%	53%	11	7	-	14	13	7	-	-	-	10
Cavanaugh, Kevin	SD-16	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Chandley, Shannon	SD-11	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
D'Allesandro, Lou	SD-20	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Dietsch, Jeanne	SD-09	D	7%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	11
Feltes, Dan	SD-15	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
French, Harold	SD-07	R	68%	58%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Fuller Clark, Martha	SD-21	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	12
Giuda, Bob	SD-02	R	68%	65%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Gray, James	SD-06	R	68%	61%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Hennessey, Martha	SD-05	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	12
Kahn, Jay	SD-10	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Levesque, Melanie	SD-12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Morgan, Jon	SD-23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Morse, Chuck	SD-22	R	68%	64%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Reagan, John	SD-17	R	68%	63%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Rosenwald, Cindy	SD-13	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Sherman, Tom	SD-24	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB712	HB731	HB1280	SB124	SB304	SB603	SB651	SB688	SB754	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	9	14	13	7	16	9	14	
Soucy, Donna	SD-18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
Starr, David	SD-01	R	68%	61%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Ward, Ruth	SD-08	R	68%	62%	11	7	-	14	13	7	16	-	-	1
Watters, David	SD-04	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE **VOTES**

SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

New Hampshire 2020 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 712

TAX HIKE FOR PAID FAMILY LEAVE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would create a new paid family leave insurance program paid for with a tax hike on businesses equal to 0.5% of wages, or over \$168 million a year. This is not a core function of government. It is better left to the private sector where competition and choice will keep economic growth high and costs low. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 215-141, on January 8, 2020. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on March 12, 2020.

HB 731

MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The minimum wage is a price control on labor. Increasing or expanding the minimum wage often has the opposite desired effect, leading to job losses and shortages. This bill would establish a new statewide minimum wage starting at \$10 an hour and gradually going up to \$12 in 2023. The bill also creates a price floor of \$4 an hour for tipped employees. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 14-10, on June 16, 2020. The New Hampshire House passed it, 199-124, on July 1, 2020.

HB 1280

MORE REGULATIONS ON HEALTH **INSURANCE**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill places several new anti-growth regulations on health insurance. It includes a mandate that insurance plans provide coverage for epinephrine autoinjectors. It institutes a price cap on co-pays for the purchase of insulin and it creates a so-called "drug affordability" advisory board that could lead to more price controls. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services and enact price controls, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces

overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on June 16, 2020. The New Hampshire House passed it, 224-104, on July 1, 2020.

SB 124

HIGHER RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARDS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the required minimum percentages for different kinds of renewable energy for the state's electric renewable portfolio standards through the year 2040. This is a huge transfer of wealth from ratepayers to politically-connected energy companies who are now able to force new kinds of energy use on the public. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 214-141, on January 9, 2020. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on June 29, 2020.

New Hampshire 2020 | Senate Vote Descriptions

SB 304

COMMITTEE TO STUDY PUBLIC CAMPAIGN **FUNDING**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a committee to study the public funding of certain state election campaigns. The public funding of campaigns restricts a person's 1st Amendment rights by forcing them to pay for the campaigns of candidates whom they may disagree with. This is not a core function of government. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 13-10, on January 8, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire House.

SB 603

JOBS TRAINING PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides a jobs training program for employees in the biomass and related forest product industries who have been laid off or whose company has relocated. Taxpayers should not be forced to pay for job training programs that single out politically-connected industries or that could be handled by the

private sector. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 13-11, on March 11, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire House.

SB 651

RIGHT TO WORK LEGISLATION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill states, among other things, that no person shall be required, as a condition of employment or continuation of employment, to join a labor union or to pay dues or fees to a labor union. This is a common-sense bill that protects individual freedom and economic growth. On the motion of "Inexpedient to Legislate", the New Hampshire Senate voted, 14-9, on February 6, 2020. This effectively killed the bill.

SB 688

OUTLAW PRICE GOUGING ON GENERIC DRUGS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The bill authorizes the state's Department of Justice to investigate suspected price gouging of generic drugs. The department has broad authority to determine what is "fair". It also gets to investigate price gouging that has yet to occur but might occur. This is plain abuse of government power. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on March 12, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire House.

SB 754

NEW DENTAL ENTITLEMENT UNDER MEDICAID

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Similar to HB 250 in the House, this bill requires the commissioner of the Department of Health and Human Services to contract with dental managed care organizations to provide dental care to Medicaid recipients. Rather than expand government-run healthcare with new services, lawmakers should be passing legislation that keeps the government out of the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on March 12, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire House.

SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES



400 MEMBERS

New Hampshire House Snapshot

163 Republicans

234 Democrats

3 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 94%

Average Democrat Score: 2%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS Multiple Reps | 100%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Rep. Dan Wolf (Merrimack 5) | 29%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Rep. Barbara Shaw (Hillsborough 16) | 28%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Multiple Reps | 0%

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE **SNAPSHOT**

New Hampshire 2020 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Abbas, Daryl	Rockingham 8	R	96%	90%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Abbott, Michael	Cheshire 1	D	7%	4%	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	158
Abel, Richard	Grafton 13	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Abrami, Patrick	Rockingham 19	R	89%	89%	11	7	3	9	5	-	-	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	105
Abramson, Max	Rockingham 20	R	66%	82%	-	7	3	9	5	Х	1	6	-	7	-	10	4	4	Х	135
Acton, Dennis	Rockingham 10	R	95%	93%	11	7	3	9	-	Х	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	89
Adjutant, Joshua	Grafton 17	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Aldrich, Glen	Belknap 2	R	n/a	100%	Х	7	3	9	Х	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	11	
Alexander, Joe	Hillsborough 6	R	100%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Allard, James	Merrimack 21	R	89%	89%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	105
Almy, Susan	Grafton 13	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Altschiller, Debra	Rockingham 19	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Ames, Richard	Cheshire 9	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Aron, Judy	Sullivan 7	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Avellani, Lino	Carroll 5	R	100%	99%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	6	7	7	11	10	х	4	11	1
Backus, Robert	Hillsborough 19	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Balch, Chris	Hillsborough 38	D	0%	1%	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Baldasaro, Al	Rockingham 5	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	х	Х	10	4	4	11	1
Barnes, Arthur	Rockingham 8	R	84%	81%	11	х	х	х	5	-	-	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	х	122
Baroody, Benjamin	Hillsborough 43	D	n/a	4%	-	-	-	-	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	-	Х	Х	Х	_	

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Barry, Richard	Hillsborough 21	R	99%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	-	6	7	7	х	10	4	4	11	76
Bartlett, Christy	Merrimack 19	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Bean, Harry	Belknap 2	R	96%	93%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Beaudoin, Richard	Belknap 3	R	100%	100%	Х	7	3	9	х	4	х	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	1
Beaudoin, Steven	Strafford 9	R	100%	100%	11	х	х	Х	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	х	Х	1
Beaulieu, Jane	Hillsborough 45	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Berch, Paul	Cheshire 1	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	183
Bergeron, Paul	Hillsborough 29	D	7%	8%	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	158
Bernet, Jennifer	Hillsborough 4	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Berrien, Skip	Rockingham 18	D	0%	1%	-	х	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Bershtein, Alan	Rockingham 2	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Bixby, Peter	Strafford 17	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Boehm, Ralph	Hillsborough 20	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Bordenet, John	Cheshire 5	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Bordy, William	Hillsborough 28	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Bosman, James	Hillsborough 38	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	183
Bouchard, Donald	Hillsborough 11	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Bouldin, Amanda	Hillsborough 12	D	7%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	х	-	158
Bouldin, Andrew	Hillsborough 12	D	7%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	158
Buchanan, Ryan	Merrimack 15	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Buco, Thomas	Carroll 2	D	n/a	23%	Х	-	3	-	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	-	Х	Х	Х	11	
Bunker, Lisa	Rockingham 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	х	-	х	-	-	_	183
Burns, Charles	Hillsborough 23	R	n/a	94%	ı	7	х	9	ı	х	х	х	х	х	11	х	х	x	х	
Burroughs, Anita	Carroll 1	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Burt, John	Hillsborough 39	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Bushway, Patricia	Rockingham 21	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163
Butler, Edward	Carroll 7	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Cahill, Michael	Rockingham 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Cali-Pitts, Jacqueline	Rockingham 30	D	n/a	5%	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	Х	-	х	х	х	-	
Callum, John	Sullivan 6	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	1	6	7	7	Х	10	4	4	11	1
Camarota, Linda	Hillsborough 7	R	100%	100%	11	Х	3	Х	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Campion, Polly	Grafton 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Cannon, Gerri	Strafford 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	183
Carson, Clyde	Merrimack 7	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Chase, Wendy	Strafford 18	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Chirichiello, Brian	Rockingham 6	R	n/a	89%	11	7	3	9	5	х	х	х	Х	Х	11	х	х	х	11	
Chretien, Jacqueline	Hillsborough 42	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	Х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Cleaver, Skip	Hillsborough 35	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Cloutier, John	Sullivan 10	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Cohen, Bruce	Hillsborough 28	D	8%	6%	х	-	-	-	Х	-	х	6	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	154

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Comeau, Ed	Carroll 5	R	100%	97%	х	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Comtois, Barbara	Belknap 7	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Conley, Casey	Strafford 13	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	-	-	-	X	x	-	183
Connors, Erika	Hillsborough 15	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Cordelli, Glenn	Carroll 4	R	n/a	88%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	х	Х	х	х	11	х	Х	Х	11	
Cornell, Patricia	Hillsborough 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Costable, Michael	Rockingham 3	R	100%	99%	х	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Cote, David	Hillsborough 31	D	0%	0%	х	-	-	-	х	Х	Х	Х	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Coursin, David	Rockingham 1	D	n/a	1%	Х	-	-	-	х	Х	х	Х	-	х	-	х	Х	х	-	
Craig, Kevin	Coos 4	R	100%	98%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Crawford, Karel	Carroll 4	R	n/a	60%	Х	х	3	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	-	х	х	х	4	Х	11	
Cushing, Robert Renny	Rockingham 21	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Danielson, David	Hillsborough 7	R	68%	74%	11	-	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	-	11	10	Х	х	-	134
Dargie, Paul	Hillsborough 23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Davis, Dan	Rockingham 16	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Davis, Fred	Hillsborough 31	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
DeClercq, Edward	Rockingham 8	R	n/a	89%	Х	7	3	9	х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	11	
Desilets, Joel	Rockingham 7	R	100%	100%	х	7	3	9	х	4	Х	6	7	х	11	х	4	4	11	1
DeSimone, Debra	Rockingham 14	R	100%	94%	Х	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Desjardin, Kathy	Hillsborough 13	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
DesMarais, Edith	Carroll 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	183
Diggs, Francesca	Grafton 16	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	Х	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	183
DiLorenzo, Charlotte	Rockingham 17	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
DiSilvestro, Linda	Hillsborough 9	D	n/a	0%	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	-	х	Х	х	-	
Doherty, David	Merrimack 20	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Dolan, Tom	Rockingham 5	R	88%	81%	11	7	3	9	5	-	-	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	111
Dontonville, Roger	Grafton 10	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Doucette, Fred	Rockingham 8	R	86%	86%	11	7	3	9	5	-	-	-	7	Х	Х	10	Х	4	11	117
Dutzy, Sherry	Hillsborough 30	D	11%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	х	-	148
Eaton, Daniel	Cheshire 3	D	8%	5%	-	-	3	-	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	154
Ebel, Karen	Merrimack 5	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Edgar, Michael	Rockingham 21	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Edwards, Jess	Rockingham 4	R	100%	95%	х	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Egan, Timothy	Grafton 2	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Eisner, Mary	Rockingham 6	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	Х	Х	-	х	Х	х	-	
Elliott, Robert	Rockingham 8	R	73%	81%	х	7	-	-	Х	-	х	х	7	Х	11	х	4	4	11	131
Ellis, Donna	Strafford 8	D	4%	2%	-	-	3	-	-	-	х	х	-	Х	-	х	-	-	-	170
Ellison, Arthur	Merrimack 27	D	0%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Erf, Keith	Hillsborough 2	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Espitia, Manny	Hillsborough 31	D	0%	1%	-	Х	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Fargo, Kristina	Strafford 14	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	183
Faulkner, Barry	Cheshire 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Fedolfi, Jim	Hillsborough 1	R	n/a	88%	Х	х	3	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	11	
Feeney, George	Belknap 5	R	n/a	85%	11	7	3	9	5	х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	11	
Fellows, Sallie	Grafton 8	D	6%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163
Fenton, Donovan	Cheshire 8	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Fields, Dennis	Belknap 4	R	90%	87%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	103
Flanagan, Jack	Hillsborough 26	R	100%	97%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	6	7	7	11	Х	4	4	х	1
Fontneau, Timothy	Strafford 7	D	13%	11%	-	-	3	Х	-	-	х	6	-	-	-	Х	-	-	х	144
Ford, Susan	Grafton 3	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Forsythe, Robert	Merrimack 8	R	n/a	100%	Х	7	3	9	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	11	Х	х	Х	11	
Fothergill, John	Coos 1	R	n/a	79%	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	
Fowler, William	Rockingham 20	R	n/a	n/a	11	Х	3	Х	5	х	х	х	7	Х	11	Х	Х	х	х	
Fox, Samantha	Merrimack 23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Freitas, Mary	Hillsborough 14	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
French, Elaine	Grafton 14	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	183
Frost, Sherry	Strafford 16	D	0%	0%	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	183
Fulweiler, Joyce	Merrimack 3	D	4%	3%	-	-	3	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	Х	Х	-	170
Furbush, Michael	Coos 1	R	100%	94%	х	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Gagne, Larry	Hillsborough 13	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Gay, Betty	Rockingham 8	R	73%	80%	11	7	3	9	-	-	х	6	-	7	11	х	-	-	11	131
Gidge, Kenneth	Hillsborough 33	D	13%	13%	х	х	-	х	х	х	х	-	-	7	Х	-	-	-	_	144
Gilman, Julie	Rockingham 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	х	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Goley, Jeffrey	Hillsborough 8	D	14%	9%	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	х	_	142
Gomarlo, Jennie	Cheshire 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Gordon, Edward	Grafton 9	R	88%	84%	11	7	3	9	Х	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	111
Gould, Linda	Hillsborough 7	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	х	7	Х	11	Х	4	4	11	1
Gourgue, Amanda	Strafford 25	D	n/a	1%	Х	-	-	-	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	-	х	х	Х	-	
Graham, John	Hillsborough 7	R	n/a	90%	11	7	3	9	5	х	х	х	Х	Х	11	Х	х	х	11	
Grassie, Chuck	Strafford 11	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Green, Dennis	Rockingham 13	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	1
Greene, Bob	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Griffin, Barbara	Hillsborough 6	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	х	7	Х	11	Х	4	4	11	1
Griffin, Mary	Rockingham 7	R	100%	93%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	х	7	Х	11	х	4	4	11	1
Griffith, Willis	Hillsborough 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Grossman, Gaby	Rockingham 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Grote, Jaci	Rockingham 24	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Gunski, Michael	Hillsborough 6	R	100%	97%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Guthrie, Joseph	Rockingham 13	R	80%	79%	-	7	-	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	127
Hall, Brett	Hillsborough 26	D	0%	0%	Х	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Hamer, Heidi	Hillsborough 17	D	7%	7%	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	158
Harb, Robert	Rockingham 14	R	90%	89%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	103
Harrington, Michael	Strafford 3	R	100%	93%	11	х	Х	х	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	x	1
Harriott-Gathright, Linda	Hillsborough 36	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	X	-	-	183
Harvey, Cathryn	Cheshire 1	D	0%	1%	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Hatch, William	Coos 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Hayward, Peter	Strafford 1	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	Х	10	4	4	11	1
Heath, Mary	Hillsborough 14	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	183
Hennessey, Erin	Grafton 1	R	76%	82%	-	7	3	9	5	4	1	-	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	129
Herbert, Christopher	Hillsborough 43	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Higgins, Peg	Strafford 22	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	х	х	х	-	х	Х	Х	-	
Hill, Gregory	Merrimack 3	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	х	10	4	4	11	1
Hinch, Richard	Hillsborough 21	R	96%	93%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	х	11	10	4	4	11	78
Hobson, Deborah	Rockingham 35	R	96%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	-	11	78
Hoelzel, Kathleen	Rockingham 3	R	89%	88%	11	7	3	9	5	-	х	-	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	105
Hopper, Gary	Hillsborough 2	R	96%	93%	11	7	3	Х	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Horgan, James	Strafford 2	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Horn, Werner	Merrimack 2	R	87%	84%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	-	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	113
Horrigan, Timothy	Strafford 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Howard, Raymond	Belknap 8	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Hunt, John	Cheshire 11	R	n/a	100%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	11	Х	Х	Х	11	
Huot, David	Belknap 3	D	0%	1%	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	_	183
Indruk, Greg	Hillsborough 34	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	183
Jack, Martin	Hillsborough 36	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Janigian, John	Rockingham 8	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Janvrin, Jason	Rockingham 37	R	91%	88%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	1	6	-	7	11	10	Х	4	Х	102
Jeudy, Jean	Hillsborough 10	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	183
Josephson, Timothy	Grafton 11	D	4%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	170
Jurius, Deanna	Belknap 2	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Kanzler, Harrison	Carroll 2	D	19%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	6	-	-	11	х	-	-	-	138
Karrick, David	Merrimack 25	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	183
Katsakiores, Phyllis	Rockingham 6	R	87%	87%	-	7	3	9	5	Х	х	6	7	7	11	Х	4	4	11	113
Keans, Sandra	Strafford 23	D	8%	7%	-	7	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	154
Kenney, Cam	Strafford 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Khan, Aboul	Rockingham 20	R	83%	83%	11	7	3	9	-	х	-	-	Х	7	11	10	х	х	Х	124
King, Mark	Hillsborough 33	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Kittredge, Mac	Strafford 12	R	n/a	87%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	11	
Klee, Patricia	Hillsborough 30	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Klein-Knight, Nicole	Hillsborough 11	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Klose, John	Merrimack 21	R	85%	86%	11	-	3	9	5	4	х	-	7	7	11	Х	4	4	11	119

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Knirk, Jerry	Carroll 3	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	183
Kolodziej, Walter	Rockingham 7	R	n/a	100%	11	х	3	х	х	4	х	Х	х	х	11	х	4	4	11	
Komi, Richard	Hillsborough 43	D	n/a	2%	I	-	Х	-	ı	-	х	Х	-	Х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	
Kotowski, Frank	Merrimack 24	R	87%	85%	х	7	3	9	х	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	113
L'Heureux, Robert	Hillsborough 21	R	n/a	n/a	11	х	х	Х	5	х	х	х	Х	7	Х	х	х	х	х	
Ladd, Rick	Grafton 4	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	Х	х	6	х	7	11	х	х	х	11	1
Laflamme, Larry	Coos 3	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Lane, Connie	Merrimack 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183
Lang, Timothy	Belknap 4	R	n/a	92%	11	х	х	Х	5	х	1	6	х	7	х	10	х	х	х	
Langley, Diane	Hillsborough 8	D	0%	1%	-	х	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	183
Lascelles, Richard	Hillsborough 20	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Laware, Thomas	Sullivan 8	R	84%	83%	11	7	3	9	-	4	1	6	7	7	-	10	4	4	11	122
Le, Tamara	Rockingham 31	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Leishman, Peter	Hillsborough 24	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Lekas, Alicia	Hillsborough 37	R	n/a	90%	11	7	3	9	5	х	х	х	Х	Х	11	х	х	х	11	
Lekas, Tony	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	х	7	Х	11	Х	4	4	11	1
Levesque, Cassandra	Strafford 4	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Ley, Douglas	Cheshire 9	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	183
Long, Patrick	Hillsborough 10	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Loughman, Tom	Rockingham 21	D	0%	0%	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	Х	Х	-	Х	-	Х	-	-	Х	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Love, David	Rockingham 6	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Lovejoy, Patricia	Rockingham 36	D	0%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	183
Lucas, Gates	Sullivan 2	R	95%	90%	х	7	х	9	х	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	89
Lundgren, David	Rockingham 5	R	n/a	n/a	х	х	3	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	х	11	
Luneau, David	Merrimack 10	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	183
MacDonald, John	Carroll 6	R	95%	90%	11	7	3	9	5	-	-	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	89
MacKay, James	Merrimack 14	D	14%	8%	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	142
Mackie, Jonathan	Belknap 2	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Maes, Kevin	Grafton 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Maggiore, Jim	Rockingham 22	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Major, Norman	Rockingham 14	R	96%	91%	11	7	3	9	5	-	х	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	78
Malloy, Dennis	Rockingham 23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Mangipudi, Latha	Hillsborough 35	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Mann, John	Cheshire 2	D	1%	1%	х	-	-	-	Х	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Marsh, William	Carroll 8	R	89%	88%	11	7	х	9	5	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	105
Martin, Joelle	Hillsborough 23	D	0%	1%	-	Х	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183
Martins, Kathleen	Merrimack 24	D	n/a	n/a	-	ı	ı	ı	-	ı	I	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	I	ı	
Marzullo, JP	Hillsborough 2	R	78%	83%	х	7	3	х	х	-	х	х	-	х	11	х	4	4	11	128
Massimilla, Linda	Grafton 1	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	5	Х	х	х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	-	
McBeath, Rebecca	Rockingham 26	D	0%	0%	х	-	-	-	х	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	Х	х	-	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
McBride, Everett	Rockingham 8	R	71%	71%	-	Х	Х	Х	5	Х	-	-	Х	7	11	10	Χ	Х	11	133
McConnell, Liz	Rockingham 11	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
McGhee, Kat	Hillsborough 40	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	11	х	Χ	Х	-	
McGuire, Carol	Merrimack 29	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
McKinney, Betsy	Rockingham 5	R	n/a	76%	х	7	3	Х	х	х	х	х	7	Х	11	х	4	4	11	
McLean, Mark	Hillsborough 44	R	100%	92%	11	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
McMahon, Charles	Rockingham 7	R	81%	78%	11	7	х	9	5	-	х	-	7	7	11	х	4	-	х	126
McWilliams, Rebecca	Merrimack 27	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Meader, David	Cheshire 6	D	n/a	4%	х	-	х	-	Х	-	х	х	-	Х	-	х	-	х	х	
Melvin, Charles	Rockingham 15	R	100%	99%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Merchant, Gary	Sullivan 4	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163
Merlino, Timothy	Hillsborough 25	R	n/a	n/a	х	7	3	9	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	
Merner, Troy	Coos 7	R	85%	76%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	-	10	4	4	11	119
Meuse, David	Rockingham 29	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Migliore, Vincent	Grafton 9	R	99%	93%	ı	7	3	9	ı	4	-	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	76
Milz, David	Rockingham 6	R	100%	91%	х	7	3	9	х	4	х	х	7	х	11	х	4	4	11	1
Moffett, Howard	Merrimack 9	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Mombourquette, Donna	Hillsborough 5	D	n/a	0%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	-	
Morrill, David	Cheshire 4	D	0%	0%	ı	-	-	-	ı	-	-	х	-	Х	х	-	-	-	-	183
Moynihan, Wayne	Coos 2	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Mullen, Sue	Hillsborough 7	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	163
Mulligan, Mary Jane	Grafton 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Murphy, Nancy	Hillsborough 21	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-		163
Murray, Kate	Rockingham 24	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Murray, Megan	Hillsborough 22	D	0%	1%	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Muscatel, Garrett	Grafton 12	D	0%	0%	ı	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	-	183
Myler, Mel	Merrimack 10	D	0%	1%	х	х	-	х	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Nelson, Bill	Carroll 5	R	94%	87%	11	7	3	9	5	-	х	х	7	х	11	х	4	Х	11	96
Newman, Ray	Hillsborough 29	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Newman, Sue	Hillsborough 29	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Noel, Henry	Coos 3	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Nordgren, Sharon	Grafton 12	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Notter, Jeanine	Hillsborough 21	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Nunez, Hershel	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	98%	11	7	3	9	Х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Nutter-Upham, Frances	Hillsborough 33	D	9%	5%	-	Х	Х	х	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	153
Nutting-Wong, Allison	Hillsborough 32	D	0%	1%	х	х	х	Х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	х	183
O'Brien, Michael	Hillsborough 36	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
O'Connor, John	Rockingham 6	R	93%	86%	х	7	3	9	х	-	Х	х	7	х	11	х	4	4	11	98
O'Day, John	Cheshire 11	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
O'Hearne, Andrew	Sullivan 3	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Ober, Lynne	Hillsborough 37	R	95%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	-	х	Х	7	х	11	Х	4	4	11	89
Ober, Russell	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	Х	7	х	11	Х	4	4	11	1
Opderbecke, Linn	Strafford 15	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	183
Osborne, Jason	Rockingham 4	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Osborne, Richard	Grafton 7	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Owens, Becky	Rockingham 4	R	n/a	n/a	х	х	3	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	11	
Oxenham, Lee	Sullivan 1	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	Х	Х	-	
Packard, Sherman	Rockingham 5	R	95%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	х	Х	11	10	4	4	11	89
Panasiti, Reed	Hillsborough 22	R	86%	87%	х	-	3	9	х	-	-	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	117
Pantelakos, Laura	Rockingham 25	D	n/a	n/a	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	Х	-	х	Х	х	-	
Parkhurst, Henry	Cheshire 13	D	3%	15%	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	174
Pearl, Howard	Merrimack 26	R	93%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	-	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	98
Pearson, Mark	Rockingham 34	R	92%	87%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	-	11	101
Pearson, Stephen	Rockingham 6	R	100%	91%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Pearson, William	Cheshire 16	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183
Pedersen, Michael	Hillsborough 32	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Perreault, Mona	Strafford 24	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Petrigno, Peter	Hillsborough 23	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Pickering, Daniel	Hillsborough 3	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Piedra, Israel	Hillsborough 9	D	0%	1%	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Piemonte, Tony	Rockingham 4	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Pimentel, Roderick	Merrimack 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Pitre, Joseph	Strafford 2	R	89%	89%	-	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	105
Plett, Fred	Hillsborough 6	R	96%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	-	11	78
Plumer, John	Belknap 6	R	n/a	79%	11	Х	х	Х	5	х	х	х	х	Х	11	х	х	х	Х	
Porter, Marjorie	Hillsborough 1	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Potucek, John	Rockingham 6	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	х	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	1
Pratt, Kevin	Rockingham 3	R	96%	90%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Proulx, Mark	Hillsborough 44	R	75%	73%	11	-	3	9	5	4	1	6	-	7	-	10	4	4	11	130
Prout, Andrew	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Prudhomme-O'Brien, Katherine	Rockingham 6	R	96%	91%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	Х	10	4	4	11	78
Query, Joshua	Hillsborough 16	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Radhakrishnan, Julie	Hillsborough 22	D	0%	3%	-	х	Х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	Х	183
Read, Ellen	Rockingham 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Renzullo, Andrew	Hillsborough 37	R	96%	96%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Rice, Kimberly	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	х	11	1
Rich, Cecilia	Strafford 18	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Richards, Beth	Merrimack 13	D	0%	1%	х	-	Х	-	х	-	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	183
Riel, Cole	Hillsborough 6	D	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	170
Rodd, Beth	Merrimack 6	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	х	-	Х	Х	х	-	

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Rogers, Katherine	Merrimack 28	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	183
Rollins, Skip	Sullivan 6	R	96%	87%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	78
Rooney, Abigail	Strafford 1	R	95%	91%	11	7	х	9	5	-	1	6	7	7	X	10	4	4	X	89
Roy, Terry	Rockingham 32	R	87%	90%	11	7	х	9	5	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	Х	113
Rung, Rosemarie	Hillsborough 21	D	n/a	8%	-	-	3	-	-	Х	х	х	х	х	11	х	х	Х	-	
Ruprecht, Dennis	Grafton 15	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Salloway, Jeffrey	Strafford 5	D	13%	12%	-	7	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	144
Sanborn, Laurie	Hillsborough 41	R	100%	100%	х	7	х	9	х	х	1	6	7	х	11	10	4	4	11	1
Sandler, Catt	Strafford 21	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	Х	Х	-	х	х	-	Х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Saunderson, George	Merrimack 9	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Schamberg, Thomas	Merrimack 4	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Schapiro, Joe	Cheshire 16	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	-	х	-	х	-	-	-	183
Schmidt, Janice	Hillsborough 28	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Schmidt, Peter	Strafford 19	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Schuett, Dianne	Merrimack 20	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Schultz, Kristina	Merrimack 18	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163
Seaworth, Brian	Merrimack 20	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Shaw, Barbara	Hillsborough 16	D	28%	21%	-	-	Х	9	-	Х	1	6	-	х	-	-	4	4	-	137
Shurtleff, Stephen	Merrimack 11	D	n/a	n/a	-	х	Х	Х	-	Х	х	-	х	Х	х	-	Х	Х	х	
Smith, Marjorie	Strafford 6	D	0%	1%	Х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Smith, Steven	Sullivan 11	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	Χ	4	11	1
Smith, Suzanne	Grafton 8	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Smith, Timothy	Hillsborough 17	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Snow, Kendall	Hillsborough 19	D	18%	9%	Х	7	-	-	х	Х	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	139
Sofikitis, Catherine	Hillsborough 34	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Somero, Paul	Hillsborough 25	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Somssich, Peter	Rockingham 27	D	3%	2%	-	-	3	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	174
Soucy, Timothy	Merrimack 16	D	3%	5%	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	174
Southworth, Thomas	Strafford 20	D	0%	1%	х	Х	х	Х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183
Spang, Judith	Strafford 6	D	n/a	1%	х	-	-	-	х	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	-	
Spanos, Peter	Belknap 3	R	94%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	96
Spillane, James	Rockingham 2	R	100%	99%	11	Х	3	Х	5	Х	х	6	7	7	11	х	4	Х	х	1
St. Clair, Charlie	Belknap 9	D	n/a	25%	-	-	3	9	-	Х	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	-	
St. John, Michelle	Hillsborough 27	D	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	177
Stack, Kathryn	Hillsborough 21	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	183
Stapleton, Walter	Sullivan 5	R	83%	79%	-	7	3	9	5	4	1	-	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	124
Stavis, Laurel	Grafton 13	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	183
Stevens, Deb	Hillsborough 34	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Stringham, Jerry	Grafton 5	D	11%	9%	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	148
Sullivan, Brian	Sullivan 1	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	183

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Swinburne, Sandy	Cheshire 10	D	0%	1%	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	х	Х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	183
Sykes, George	Grafton 13	D	0%	0%	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Sylvia, Michael	Belknap 6	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Sytek, John	Rockingham 8	R	93%	90%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	-	7	7	X	10	4	4	11	98
Tanner, Linda	Sullivan 9	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Tatro, Bruce	Cheshire 15	D	10%	5%	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	Х	-	-	х	Х	152
Telerski, Laura	Hillsborough 35	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Testerman, Dave	Merrimack 2	R	85%	90%	11	х	3	Х	5	4	1	6	7	7	-	10	4	4	Х	119
Thomas, Douglas	Rockingham 5	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Thomas, Wendy	Hillsborough 21	D	n/a	1%	-	-	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	Х	-	х	х	х	-	
Thomas, Yvonne	Coos 3	D	0%	3%	Х	-	-	-	х	х	х	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	183
Thompson, Craig	Cheshire 14	D	n/a	0%	Х	х	-	х	х	-	х	х	-	х	х	х	х	Х	-	
Ticehurst, Susan	Carroll 3	D	17%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	140
Tilton, Franklin	Belknap 3	R	95%	92%	11	7	3	9	5	-	-	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	89
Toomey, Dan	Hillsborough 32	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	163
Torosian, Peter	Rockingham 14	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Towne, Matthew	Strafford 4	D	11%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	148
Treleaven, Susan	Strafford 17	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
True, Chris	Rockingham 4	R	100%	100%	Х	7	3	9	х	4	х	х	7	Х	11	х	4	4	11	1
Tucker, Edith	Coos 5	D	8%	6%	-	7	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	154

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Turcotte, Alan	Merrimack 22	D	15%	8%	х	-	3	-	х	-	х	6	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	141
Ulery, Jordan	Hillsborough 37	R	100%	95%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Vail, Suzanne	Hillsborough 30	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	х	х	-	-	-	183
Vallone, Mark	Rockingham 9	D	11%	14%	-	-	3	-	-	х	х	6	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	148
Van Houten, Constance	Hillsborough 45	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Vann, Ivy	Hillsborough 24	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	Х	х	Х	-	х	Х	х	-	183
Varney, Peter	Belknap 5	R	n/a	n/a	х	х	3	Х	х	4	х	х	7	х	11	х	4	4	11	
Verville, Kevin	Rockingham 2	R	100%	99%	11	7	х	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	х	1
Viens, Harry	Belknap 1	R	n/a	97%	х	х	3	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	11	
Vincent, Kenneth	Strafford 17	D	0%	3%	х	-	-	-	Х	х	-	-	х	-	х	-	х	х	-	183
Von Plinsky, Sparky	Cheshire 7	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	183
Vose, Michael	Rockingham 9	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Wall, Janet	Strafford 6	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Wallace, Scott	Rockingham 12	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	х	1	6	7	7	11	х	4	4	11	1
Wallner, Mary Jane	Merrimack 10	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Walsh, Thomas	Merrimack 24	R	100%	97%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Walz, Mary Beth	Merrimack 23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	183
Ward, Gerald	Rockingham 28	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	183
Warden, Mark	Hillsborough 15	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Warner, Anne	Rockingham 5	D	n/a	n/a	х	-	х	-	Х	-	х	х	-	х	11	х	-	-	х	

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB250	HB546	HB559	HB712	HB731	HB1102	HB1147	HB1275	HB1280	HB1286	HB1399	HB1444	HB1472	HB1701	SB124	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	
Wazir, Safiya	Merrimack 17	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	х	-	-	х	Х	Х	-	183
Webb, James	Rockingham 6	R	n/a	n/a	Х	-	Х	9	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	х	
Weber, Lucy	Cheshire 1	D	0%	1%	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	183
Welch, David	Rockingham 13	R	89%	83%	11	7	3	9	5	-	1	6	-	7	11	10	4	4	11	105
Wells, Kenneth	Merrimack 1	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Weston, Joyce	Grafton 8	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Weyler, Kenneth	Rockingham 13	R	100%	94%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Whittemore, James	Merrimack 13	R	n/a	90%	ı	7	3	9	ı	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	х	11	
Wilhelm, Matthew	Hillsborough 42	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Williams, Kermit	Hillsborough 4	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	х	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	183
Wolf, Dan	Merrimack 5	R	29%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	7	7	11	х	-	Х	-	136
Woodbury, David	Hillsborough 5	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Woodcock, Stephen	Carroll 2	D	12%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	Х	147
Woods, Gary	Merrimack 23	D	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	183
Wuelper, Kurt	Strafford 3	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Yakubovich, Michael	Merrimack 24	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	5	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1
Yokela, Josh	Rockingham 33	R	100%	100%	11	7	3	9	Х	4	1	6	7	7	11	10	4	4	11	1

HB 250

NEW DENTAL ENTITLEMENT UNDER MEDICAID

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Similar to SB 754 in the Senate, this bill requires the commissioner of the Department of Health and Human Services to contract with dental managed care organizations to provide dental care to Medicaid recipients. Rather than expand government-run healthcare with new services, lawmakers should be passing legislation that keeps the government out of the health insurance industry. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate voted, 19-5, on June 16, 2020. The New Hampshire House passed it, 205-124, on July 1, 2020.

HB 546

NEW OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REGIME FOR ART THERAPISTS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Occupational licensure laws restrict innovation

and job growth. It's also a prime example of regulatory capture where industry can control its own competition through the political process. This bill creates the licensure and regulation of art therapists and adds an art therapist to the board of mental health practice. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 218-144, on January 8, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 559

NEW TAXES ON SINGLE-USE BAGS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This anti-growth bill requires New Hampshire stores to charge customers a minimum of 10 cents per single-use bag - both paper and plastic - up to a maximum of 50 cents per purchase at the point of sale. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 205-158, on January 9, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 712

TAX HIKE FOR PAID FAMILY LEAVE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would create a new paid family leave insurance program paid for with a tax hike on businesses equal to 0.5% of wages, or over \$168 million a year. This is not a core function of government. It is better left to the private sector where competition and choice will keep economic growth high and costs low. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 215-141, on January 8, 2020. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on March 12, 2020.

HB 731

MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

The minimum wage is a price control on labor. Increasing or expanding the minimum wage often has the opposite desired effect, leading to job losses and shortages. This bill would establish a new statewide minimum wage

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

starting at \$10 an hour and gradually going up to \$12 in 2023. The bill also creates a price floor of \$4 an hour for tipped employees. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 14-10, on June 16, 2020. The New Hampshire House passed it, 199-124, on July 1, 2020.

HB 1102

REQUIRED FOOD ALLERGY EMPLOYEE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires all restaurants to establish food allergy awareness procedures. This includes having a person present during all hours of operation who has been designated as a "food protection manager" and who must be certified by the state. These nanny-state regulations are costly and restrict economic freedom. This is not a core function of government. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 232-81, on March 11, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 1147

PROHIBIT LICENSING FOR LEMONADE **STANDS**

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

Overly aggressive local governments across the country have sought to shut down entrepreneurial lemonade stands run by children. This common-sense bill would prohibit municipalities from requiring a license for any lemonade stand operated by a person under the age of 18. On the motion of "Inexpedient to Legislate", the New Hampshire House voted, 181-110, on March 12, 2020. This effectively killed the bill.

HB 1275

ALLOW LAB TESTS WITHOUT A PRESCRIPTION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill allows a person to order a laboratory test for themself without the consent of a medical practitioner. This pro-growth bill expands healthcare freedom by giving the customer direct access to lab tests without the cost and interference of getting a doctor's approval

beforehand. On the motion of "Inexpedient to Legislate", the New Hampshire House voted, 189-123, on March 12, 2020. This effectively killed the bill.

HB 1280

MORE REGULATIONS ON HEALTH **INSURANCE**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill places several new anti-growth regulations on health insurance. It includes a mandate that insurance plans provide coverage for epinephrine autoinjectors. It institutes a price cap on co-pays for the purchase of insulin and it creates a so-called "drug affordability" advisory board that could lead to more price controls. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services and enact price controls, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The New Hampshire Senate passed this bill, 24-0, on June 16, 2020. The New Hampshire House passed it, 224-104, on July 1, 2020.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**

32

HB 1286

NEW OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REGIME FOR MUSIC THERAPISTS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

Occupational licensure laws restrict innovation and job growth. It's also a prime example of regulatory capture where industry can control its own competition through the political process. This bill creates the licensure and regulation of music therapists and establishes a music therapy governing board in the Office of Licensed Allied Health Professionals. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 182-125, on March 12, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 1399

LABOR UNION "CARD CHECK"

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would effectively institute "card check" - a method that labor unions use to harass and bully employees into approving the organization of a union without a secret ballot vote. On the motion of "Ought to Pass", the New Hampshire House passed the bill, 205-142, on March 5, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 1444

IMPORT CALIFORNIA'S CRAZY LAWS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This anti-growth bill requires the adoption of California's Low Emission/Zero Emission vehicle standards. This legislation would grow government through more bureaucracy and spending, force dealerships to buy cars that consumers may not want, and limit consumer choice and economic freedom. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed it, 179-109, on March 13, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 1472

RESTRICT USAGE OF PLASTIC STRAWS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill prohibits businesses from providing a single-use plastic straw unless a customer specifically requests one. When the government is regulating something so small as a plastic straw, it is overreaching into the private sector. Instead, consumer demand and individual freedom should dictate such decisions. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 197-135, on March 11, 2020. The bill died in the New Hampshire Senate.

HB 1701

NEW PLASTIC BAG REGULATIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill would force certain stores to establish a recycling program for various kinds of plastic bags and register with the Department of Environmental Services. Recycling programs are already being done voluntarily in the private sector. This would simply grow the government through more bureaucracy and stifle economic growth by raising the cost of doing business. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment". the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 197-127. on March 11. 2020.

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**

SB 124

HIGHER RENEWABLE ENERGY STANDARDS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the required minimum percentages for different kinds of renewable energy for the state's electric renewable portfolio standards through the year 2040. This is a huge transfer of wealth from ratepayers to politically-connected energy companies who are now able to force new kinds of energy use on the public. On the motion of "Ought to Pass with Amendment", the New Hampshire House passed this bill, 214-141, on January 9, 2020. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 14-10, on June 29, 2020.

NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**