

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. This is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION **EDUCATES THE** PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-**GROWTH POLICIES.**

THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS **COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.**

THE FOUNDATION'S **GOAL IS TO INFORM** THE PUBLIC AND **BRING AWARENESS** TO LAWMAKERS.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE

Methodology | Pennsylvania 2022

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Pennsylvania's elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examines votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS **CONSIDERED IN PENNSYLVANIA IN 2022**

The Foundation's study examined over 900 floor votes and, in the end, included 17 Pennsylvania House votes and 14 Pennsylvania Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted signified as an "X" on the scorecard - though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #203 in the House and #50 in the Senate if all lawmakers are present. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2022 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for "not applicable" appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Pennsylvania House and Pennsylvania Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating progrowth policies.

"Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID McINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2022 **PENNSYLVANIA**

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT



Pennsylvania Senate Snapshot

50 MEMBERS

28 Republicans

21 Democrats

1 Independent

Q Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 49% (Drop from 58% in 2020)

Average Democrat Score: 9% (Drop from 24% in 2020)



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN
Senator Scott Hutchinson (SD-21) | 70%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN
Senator Robert Tomlinson (SD-6) | 40%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT
Senator Martin Flynn (SD-22) | 24%





LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS (clockwise from left)
Senator Maria Collett (SD-12) | 0%
Senator Art Haywood (SD-4) | 0%
Senator John Kane (SD-9) | 0%
Senator Sharif Street (SD-3) | 0%

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

Pennsylvania 2022 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB581	HB1059	HB1342	HB1421	HCRRR1	SB251	SB771	SB967	SB1100	SB1124	SB1243	SB1284	SB1287	SCRRR1	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	
POINTS					6	4	9	9	6	4	8	8	9	7	7	9	7	7	
Argall, David	SD-29	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Aument, Ryan	SD-36	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Baker, Lisa	SD-20	R	51%	52%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	7	7	9
Bartolotta, Camera	SD-46	R	51%	49%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	7	7	9
Boscola, Lisa	SD-18	D	15%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	36
Brewster, James	SD-45	D	16%	15%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	34
Brooks, Michele	SD-50	R	49%	48%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	х	9	-	7	12
Browne, Patrick	SD-16	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Cappelletti, Amanda	SD-17	D	4%	4%	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
Collett, Maria	SD-12	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
Comitta, Carolyn	SD-19	D	13%	19%	-	4	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	37
Corman, Jake	SD-34	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Costa, Jay	SD-43	D	16%	7%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	34
Dillon, James	SD-05	D	17%	17%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	I	32
DiSanto, John	SD-15	R	62%	53%	-	-	9	9	6	-	8	-	9	7	7	-	-	7	3
Dush, Cris	SD-25	R	53%	64%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	9	-	7	5
Flynn, Martin	SD-22	D	24%	20%	-	-	9	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	30
Fontana, Wayne	SD-42	D	23%	13%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	31
Gebhard, Chris	SD-48	R	53%	53%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	9	-	7	5
Gordner, John	SD-27	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Haywood, Art	SD-04	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB581	HB1059	HB1342	HB1421	HCRRR1	SB251	SB771	SB967	SB1100	SB1124	SB1243	SB1284	SB1287	SCRRR1	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	
POINTS					6	4	9	9	6	4	8	8	9	7	7	9	7	7	
Hughes, Vincent	SD-07	D	17%	11%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	7	-	32
Hutchinson, Scott	SD-21	R	70%	68%	-	4	9	-	6	4	8	-	9	7	7	9	-	7	1
Kane, John	SD-09	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-	47
Kearney, Timothy	SD-26	D	4%	14%	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
Langerholc, Wayne	SD-35	R	44%	56%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Laughlin, Daniel	SD-49	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Martin, Scott	SD-13	R	46%	54%	-	Х	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	13
Mastriano, Doug	SD-33	R	57%	69%	-	4	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	9	-	7	4
Mensch, Bob	SD-24	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Muth, Katie	SD-44	D	4%	19%	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
Phillips-Hill, Kristin	SD-28	R	66%	69%	-	4	9	-	6	-	8	-	9	7	7	9	-	7	2
Pittman, Joe	SD-41	R	44%	54%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Regan, Mike	SD-31	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Robinson, Devlin	SD-37	R	51%	51%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	7	7	9
Santarsiero, Steven	SD-10	D	9%	9%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
Saval, Nikil	SD-01	D	4%	4%	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43
Scavello, Mario	SD-40	R	52%	49%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	8	-	7	7	-	-	7	8
Schwank, Judy	SD-11	D	9%	16%	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
Stefano, Patrick	SD-32	R	53%	51%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	9	-	7	5
Street, Sharif	SD-03	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47
Tartaglione, Christine	SD-02	D	7%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	40

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB581	HB1059	HB1342	HB1421	HCRRR1	SB251	SB771	SB967	SB1100	SB1124	SB1243	SB1284	SB1287	SCRRR1	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	N	Υ	
POINTS					6	4	9	9	6	4	8	8	9	7	7	9	7	7	
Tomlinson, Robert	SD-06	R	40%	39%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	Х	-	-	7	29
Vogel, Elder	SD-47	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Ward, Judy	SD-30	R	44%	55%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Ward, Kim	SD-39	R	44%	50%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Williams, Anthony	SD-08	D	6%	7%	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42
Williams, Lindsey	SD-38	D	7%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	40
Yaw, Gene	SD-23	R	44%	47%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14
Yudichak, John	SD-14	I	44%	29%	-	-	9	-	6	-	8	-	-	7	7	-	-	7	14

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2022 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 581

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CARVEOUTS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a number of tax provisions in order to incentivize so-called affordable housing construction. Specifically, the legislation authorizes local taxing authorities to grant real property tax exemptions to lowincome households for any property tax liability due to increases in the assessed value of a homestead. Additionally, the bill allows local authorities to grant a 10-year tax exemption for new construction on low-income housing units. While housing prices have skyrocketed in Pennsylvania, the legislation embraces special treatment for preferred interest groups at the expense of working and middle-class Pennsylvanians. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 172-28, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 50-0, on the same day.

HB 1059

MASSIVE CRONY TAX CREDIT PACKAGE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a slew of new special tax credits to benefit hand-selected industries and further distort the Pennsylvania code. Specifically, the legislation increases the annual cap on the local resource manufacturing tax credit by \$30 million, creates a new \$15 million milk processing tax credit for milk products purchased or produced in Pennsylvania, creates a new \$50 million clean hydrogen hub tax credit for companies that own or operate federally-approved facilities, and creates a new \$10 million semiconductor manufacturing tax credit. Lawmakers should not wield the tax code to reward powerful and well-connected interests at the expense of hardworking blue-collar and middle-class taxpayers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 139-59, on October 26, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 41-8, on the same day.

HB 1342

SIGNIFICANT TAX REFORM PACKAGE (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a series of substantial tax reforms that lower corporate income tax rates and streamline Section 179 expensing. Specifically, the legislation enacts an incremental rate reduction in the corporate tax rate from 8.99 percent to 4.99 percent in 2031. Additionally, the bill modernizes state law to finally allow full expensing of Section 179 assets, which is a boon for individual business owners. The legislation also finally permits taxpayers to defer gains on investment properties. While the bill does double down on the flawed adoption of an Internet sales tax scheme in the wake of Wayfair v. South Dakota and continues a series of crony tax carveouts, it overall makes significant strides to lower taxes for small businesses and job creators to ensure a more favorable growth climate in the Keystone state. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-16, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 38-12, on the same day.

Pennsylvania 2022 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 1421

FISCAL CODE SPENDING SPREE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The 2022-23 Fiscal Code locks in \$45.3 billion in state spending outlined in the budget and includes an astounding \$300 million spending increase for Medicaid for skilled nursing care. Additionally, the code directs \$220 million in federal COVID relief funds to a new environmental account that will partner with environmental activists. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 185-14, on July 8, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 49-1, on the same day.

HCRRR 1

PROHIBITING EXCESSIVE CHARTER SCHOOL REGULATIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This resolution blocks the implementation of new charter school regulations imposed

by Governor Wolf. Specifically, the measure disapproves Department of Education regulations (#6-349) mandating charter schools provide comparable health benefits equal to K-12 government schools and meet new strenuous disclosure requirements when applying for establishment, among other new rules. While charter schools should remain under scrutiny, these measures are designed to protect government schools from competition and ultimately diminish options for parents seeking a better education for their children. The Pennsylvania House passed the resolution, 107-92, on April 27, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 30-20, on June 15, 2022.

SB 251

FERTILIZER FEE SMASH-AND-GRAB (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a substantial regulatory apparatus on the fertilizer industry. The legislation requires fertilizer application businesses to pay a \$100 annual fee. Businesses with multiple locations must pay the annual fee for each location. Additionally, the bill enacts certification measures for commercial

fertilizer applicators that includes an exam fee and implements annual reporting policies for these businesses. The bill doubles the annual fertilizer licensing fee from \$25 to \$50 and quadruples the annual license fee for specialty fertilizers to \$100. Finally, the bill grants the state Department of Agriculture the authority to increase fees as the agency sees fit. This regulatory power grab is designed to benefit niche industries while empowering government bureaucrats at the expense of customers who will bear the brunt of higher costs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-16, on July 1, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 49-1, on July 6, 2022.

SB 771

CORPORATE TAX RATE PHASE DOWN (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a graduated phase down of Pennsylvania's corporate tax rate. Specifically, the legislation cuts the corporate tax rate from 8.99 percent to 5.99 percent by 2026. Reducing the tax burden on small businesses and employers is necessary for creating an environment to foster long-term economic

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

Pennsylvania 2022 | Senate Vote Descriptions

growth. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 31-19, on June 15, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 967

NEW WELFARE MAXIMIZATION BOARD

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates the Women, Infants, and Children State Advisory Board to evaluate the status of the WIC program in Pennsylvania. Specifically, the legislation explicitly aims to maximize participation in the WIC welfare program, undercutting a decades-long tenet for reform which is to minimize reliance on state and federal welfare initiatives. Furthermore, the WIC program has played a key role in the ongoing baby formula crisis as it is responsible for over half of the formula purchased nationwide. The program relies on sole-source providers meaning families on WIC can only purchase formula from one provider: a devastating welfare-induced monopoly on the market. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 49-1, on June 15, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 1100

BUDGET BUSTING GENERAL FUND

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates a staggering amount of taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs. Specifically, the legislation spends \$45.2 billion for the FY2022-23 fiscal year, a 2.9 percent increase over spending in FY2021-22. Furthermore, the package includes \$525 million in new education spending, an 8 percent annual increase, for a total of \$7 billion in state spending for school districts despite declining performance. The legislation also incorporates \$640 million in new spending from federal COVID relief for environmental pet projects and \$156 million in combined state and federal spending for "outdoor recreation" and park infrastructure. As Pennsylvanians face skyrocketing energy costs, record inflation, and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should not impose additional burdens on taxpayers and employers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 180-20, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 47-3, on July 8, 2022.

SB 1124

FOOD STAMP FRAUD REFORM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a common sense reform for recipients of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, better known as food stamps. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Human Services to coordinate with the Bureau of Vital Statistics on a monthly basis to evaluate death certificates against recipients of both state-provided medical assistance and food stamps. This is a practical reform to prevent potential fraud and abuse within a taxpayer-funded welfare program. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 30-20, on June 21, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 1243

ECONOMICS AND PERSONAL FINANCE LITERACY

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill adds an economics and personal finance course requirement necessary for graduation in taxpayer-funded high schools.

SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

Pennsylvania 2022 | Senate Vote Descriptions

Specifically, the legislation requires that high school students learn about managing credit cards, borrowing money, home mortgages, and basic principles of both insurance and filing taxes. Americans currently have over \$1 trillion in credit card debt. It is imperative that future workers and voting citizens learn how to be good stewards of their personal finances to benefit both their families and civil society as a whole. Lawmakers should show similar commitments through good stewardship of taxpayer money. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 29-18, on October 18, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 1284

HIGHER EDUCATION SPENDING BINGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates nearly \$597 million for four state universities in Pennsylvania. The proposal maintains increased funding levels despite record inflation, tuition hikes, and federal efforts to "forgive" student loans that would simply pass the cost of subsidized college degrees on to working and middle-class

households. Lawmakers should not reward higher education institutions that willingly gouge taxpayers for increasingly diminishing returns. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 145-55, on July 6, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-7, on July 7, 2022.

SB 1287

HAIR SALON FLOOR SPACE REGULATION (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill enacts regulations and restrictions on the size of cosmetology salons operating in Pennsylvania. Specifically, the legislation implements a floor space mandate requiring a minimum area of 120 square feet as well as a minimum width of 10 feet. Furthermore, the bill requires 60 additional square feet of space for each licensee operating in the salon. Lawmakers have no business dictating the building size and space requirements for beauty and hair operations. These types of onerous occupational regulations disproportionately impact lower-income brackets for the sole purpose of empowering state bureaucracies. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 43-7,

on July 6, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SCRRR 1

VETO OVERRIDE PROTECTING TAXPAYERS FROM CLIMATE SCAM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This measure overturns Governor's Wolf veto of legislation preventing Pennsylvania's entrance into the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI). The Wolf administration entered Pennsylvania into the RGGI without the approval of the legislature. The RGGI serves as a carbon tax imposed on electricity producers that is estimated to cost hardworking Pennsylvania families and taxpayers \$781 million annually. Entry into the RGGI's cap and trade system is also estimated to increase consumer electricity costs by 30 percent while significantly harming the competitiveness of the state's manufacturing sector. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the veto override, 32-17, on April 4, 2022. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES



Pennsylvania House Snapshot

203 MEMBERS

113 Republicans

91 Democrats

O Independent

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 54% (No change from 2020)

Average Democrat Score: 13% (Drop from 25% in 2020)









Rep. Stephanie Borowicz (HD-76) | 97%

Rep. Joseph Hamm (HD-84) | 97%

Rep. David Rowe (HD-85) | 97%





LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICANS

Rep. Thomas Mehaffie (HD-106) | 21% Rep. Todd Stephens (HD-151) | 21%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Rep. Frank Burns (HD-72) | 29%







Rep. Jessica Benham (HD-36) | 0%

Rep. Martell Covington (HD-24) | 0%

Rep. Emily Kinkead (HD-20) | 0%

Rep. Summer Lee (HD-34) | 0%

SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE **SNAPSHOT**







f clubforgrowthfoundation

Pennsylvania 2022 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Abney, Aerion	HD-019	D	13%	13%	ı	-	ı	-	-	9	-	I	ı	-	ı	ı	-	ı	-	-	-	155
Armanini, Michael	HD-075	R	45%	45%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	73
Benham, Jessica	HD-036	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	199
Benninghoff, Kerry	HD-171	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Bernstine, Aaron	HD-010	R	64%	71%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	31
Bizzarro, Ryan	HD-003	D	15%	21%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Boback, Karen	HD-117	R	34%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	103
Bonner, Timothy	HD-008	R	49%	52%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Borowicz, Stephanie	HD-076	R	97%	83%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	х	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	1
Boyle, Kevin	HD-172	D	23%	22%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	117
Bradford, Matthew	HD-070	D	9%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Briggs, Tim	HD-149	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Brooks, Bob	HD-054	R	45%	54%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	-	7	-	6	-	2	-	-	9	73
Brown, Amen	HD-190	D	28%	28%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	111
Brown, Rosemary	HD-189	R	33%	42%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Bullock, Donna	HD-195	D	15%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Burgos, Danilo	HD-197	D	6%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Burns, Frank	HD-072	D	29%	33%	Х	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	х	6	-	2	-	-	-	110
Carroll, Mike	HD-118	D	11%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Causer, Martin	HD-067	R	40%	46%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Cephas, Morgan	HD-192	D	15%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Ciresi, Joe	HD-146	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Conklin, Scott	HD-077	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Cook, Bud	HD-049	R	61%	51%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	33
Covington, Martell	HD-024	D	0%	0%	I	-	ı	-	-	-	-	I	I	-	I	ı	-	I	-	-	-	199
Cox, Jim	HD-129	R	69%	59%	-	х	6	Х	-	х	х	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	х	х	26
Cruz, Angel	HD-180	D	9%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Culver, Lynda Schlegel	HD-108	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Curry, Gina	HD-164	D	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Cutler, Bryan	HD-100	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Daley, Mary Jo	HD-148	D	6%	18%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Davanzo, Eric	HD-058	R	70%	67%	-	5	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	9	22
Davis, Austin	HD-035	D	10%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	166
Davis, Tina	HD-141	D	21%	19%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	118
Dawkins, Jason	HD-179	D	9%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Day, Gary	HD-187	R	49%	50%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Deasy, Daniel	HD-027	D	15%	16%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
DeLissio, Pamela	HD-194	D	15%	19%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Delloso, David	HD-162	D	15%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Delozier, Sheryl	HD-088	R	40%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
DelRosso, Carrie	HD-033	R	46%	46%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67
DeLuca, Anthony	HD-032	D	21%	18%	-	-	6	I	Х	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Diamond, Russ	HD-102	R	77%	68%	-	5	6	-	-	9	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	17
Dowling, Matthew	HD-051	R	60%	60%	-	-	6	6	Х	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	Х	-	9	36
Driscoll, Michael	HD-173	D	n/a	22%	-	ı	х	ı	ı	ı	ı	6	-	-	-	-	-	х	ı	ı	ı	
Dunbar, George	HD-056	R	45%	52%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	73
Ecker, Torren	HD-193	R	49%	49%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Emrick, Joe	HD-137	R	40%	45%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Evans, Carol	HD-095	D	15%	16%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Farry, Frank	HD-142	R	33%	33%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Fee, Mindy	HD-037	R	49%	47%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Fiedler, Elizabeth	HD-184	D	12%	20%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
Fitzgerald, Isabella	HD-203	D	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Flood, Ann	HD-138	R	49%	49%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Frankel, Dan	HD-023	D	15%	18%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Freeman, Robert	HD-136	D	15%	14%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Fritz, Jonathan	HD-111	R	50%	49%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	-	50
Galloway, John	HD-140	D	17%	18%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	127
Gaydos, Valerie	HD-044	R	46%	51%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67
Gillen, Mark	HD-128	R	65%	61%	-	5	6	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	9	29
Gillespie, Keith	HD-047	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Gleim, Barbara	HD-199	R	80%	62%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	•	6	6	2	-	9	9	14
Gregory, Jim	HD-080	R	49%	48%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Y	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Greiner, Keith	HD-043	R	59%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	9	37
Grove, Seth	HD-196	R	49%	57%	-	5	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	-	52
Guenst, Nancy	HD-152	D	15%	15%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Guzman, Manuel	HD-127	D	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Hamm, Joseph	HD-084	R	97%	97%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	1
Hanbidge, Liz	HD-061	D	15%	19%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Harkins, Patrick	HD-001	D	15%	15%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Harris, Jordan	HD-186	D	15%	8%	-	-	-	-	•	9	-	6	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Heffley, Doyle	HD-122	R	50%	52%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	-	50
Helm, Susan	HD-104	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Hennessey, Tim	HD-026	R	40%	46%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Herrin, Dianne	HD-156	D	15%	15%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Hershey, Johnathan	HD-082	R	49%	47%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Hickernell, David	HD-098	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Hohenstein, Joseph	HD-177	D	12%	17%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
Howard, Kristine	HD-167	D	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Innamorato, Sara	HD-021	D	6%	19%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Irvin, Rich	HD-081	R	65%	54%	-	5	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	29
Isaacson, MaryLouise	HD-175	D	21%	22%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118
James, R. Lee	HD-064	R	56%	56%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	41
Jones, Mike	HD-093	R	87%	73%	-	-	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	9	9	10

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Jozwiak, Barry	HD-005	R	49%	53%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Kail, Joshua	HD-015	R	40%	45%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Kaufer, Aaron	HD-120	R	48%	49%	Х	-	6	-	-	9	х	-	4	7	Х	6	6	2	-	-	-	66
Kauffman, Robert	HD-089	R	80%	70%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	14
Keefer, Dawn	HD-092	R	93%	79%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	_	9	9	4
Kenyatta, Malcolm	HD-181	D	6%	17%	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Kerwin, Joseph	HD-125	R	86%	86%	-	х	6	6	5	Х	х	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	Х	Х	х	11
Kim, Patty	HD-103	D	9%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Kinkead, Emily	HD-020	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	199
Kinsey, Stephen	HD-201	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Kirkland, Brian	HD-159	D	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Klunk, Kate	HD-169	R	55%	49%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	42
Knowles, Jerry	HD-124	R	66%	67%	-	-	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	28
Kosierowski, Bridget	HD-114	D	11%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Krajewski, Rick	HD-188	D	12%	12%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
Krueger, Leanne	HD-161	D	15%	20%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Kulik, Anita Astorino	HD-045	D	27%	25%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	112
Labs, Shelby	HD-143	R	33%	33%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Lawrence, John	HD-013	R	57%	50%	-	5	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	40
Lee, Summer	HD-034	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	199
Lewis, Andrew	HD-105	R	92%	78%	-	5	6	6	-	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	6

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Longietti, Mark	HD-007	D	21%	21%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	118
Mackenzie, Milou	HD-131	R	59%	59%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	9	37
Mackenzie, Ryan	HD-134	R	59%	53%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	9	37
Madden, Maureen	HD-115	D	9%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Major, Abby	HD-060	R	49%	49%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Mako, Zachary	HD-183	R	49%	47%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Malagari, Steven	HD-053	D	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Maloney, David	HD-130	R	88%	71%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	9
Markosek, Brandon	HD-025	D	17%	18%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	127
Marshall, Jim	HD-014	R	33%	42%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Masser, Kurt	HD-107	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Matzie, Robert	HD-016	D	19%	19%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	126
McClinton, Joanna	HD-191	D	9%	6%	-	-	•	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
McNeill, Jeanne	HD-133	D	9%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Mehaffie, Thomas	HD-106	R	21%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	118
Mentzer, Steven	HD-097	R	40%	41%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Mercuri, Robert	HD-028	R	46%	46%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67
Merski, Robert	HD-002	D	15%	10%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Metcalfe, Daryl	HD-012	R	83%	74%	-	5	6	6	5	-	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	9	9	12
Metzgar, Carl Walker	HD-069	R	91%	67%	-	5	6	-	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	8
Mihalek Stuck, Natalie	HD-040	R	46%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Millard, David	HD-109	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Miller, Brett	HD-041	R	61%	57%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	33
Miller, Daniel	HD-042	D	10%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	Х	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	166
Mizgorski, Lori	HD-030	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Moul, Dan	HD-091	R	49%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Mullery, Gerald	HD-119	D	11%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Mullins, Kyle	HD-112	D	11%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Mustello, Marci	HD-011	R	54%	52%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	47
Neilson, Ed	HD-174	D	14%	18%	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	154
Nelson, Eric	HD-057	R	70%	60%	-	5	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	9	22
Nelson, Napoleon	HD-154	D	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
O'Mara, Jennifer	HD-165	D	15%	20%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
O'Neal, Timothy	HD-048	R	46%	51%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67
Oberlander, Donna	HD-063	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Ortitay, Jason	HD-046	R	52%	53%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	48
Otten, Danielle Friel	HD-155	D	12%	19%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	156
Owlett, Clinton	HD-068	R	55%	49%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	42
Parker, Darisha	HD-198	D	15%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Pashinski, Eddie Day	HD-121	D	11%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Peifer, Michael	HD-139	R	38%	44%	-	5	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	102
Pennycuick, Tracy	HD-147	R	68%	68%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	9	9	27

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Pickett, Tina	HD-110	R	49%	48%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Pisciottano, Nickolas	HD-038	D	15%	15%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Polinchock, Todd	HD-144	R	40%	45%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Puskaric, Michael	HD-039	R	74%	64%	-	5	6	6	-	-	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	20
Quinn, Christopher	HD-168	R	41%	40%	-	-	Х	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	Х	-	-	-	77
Rabb, Christopher	HD-200	D	21%	22%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118
Rader, Jack	HD-176	R	55%	50%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	42
Rapp, Kathy	HD-065	R	75%	72%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	9	19
Rigby, Jim	HD-071	R	46%	52%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	67
Roae, Brad	HD-006	R	61%	67%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	33
Rossi, Leslie	HD-059	R	92%	92%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	9	9	6
Rothman, Greg	HD-087	R	40%	50%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Rowe, David	HD-085	R	97%	89%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	1
Rozzi, Mark	HD-126	D	11%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	160
Ryan, Frank	HD-101	R	93%	78%	-	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	9	9	4
Sainato, Chris	HD-009	D	27%	27%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	112
Samuelson, Steve	HD-135	D	15%	14%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Sanchez, Benjamin	HD-153	D	15%	11%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Sankey, Thomas	HD-073	R	55%	50%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	-	42
Sappey, Christina	HD-158	D	15%	20%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Saylor, Stan	HD-094	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Y	Y	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Schemel, Paul	HD-090	R	80%	75%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	14
Schlossberg, Michael	HD-132	D	9%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Schmitt, Louis	HD-079	R	55%	54%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	42
Schnee, Robert	HD-116	R	24%	24%	ı	-	I	-	-	9	-	I	I	7	ı	ı	-	ı	-	-	-	116
Schroeder, Meghan	HD-029	R	33%	37%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Schweyer, Peter	HD-022	D	9%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Shusterman, Melissa	HD-157	D	15%	17%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Silvis, Jason	HD-055	R	70%	70%	-	5	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	9	22
Sims, Brian	HD-182	D	15%	23%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Smith, Brian	HD-066	R	70%	70%	-	-	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	-	9	22
Snyder, Pam	HD-050	D	27%	28%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	112
Solomon, Jared	HD-202	D	9%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Sonney, Curtis	HD-004	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Staats, Craig	HD-145	R	62%	56%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	32
Stambaugh, Perry	HD-086	R	83%	83%	-	5	6	6	-	9	8	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	9	9	12
Stephens, Todd	HD-151	R	21%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	118
Struzzi, James	HD-062	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Sturla, P. Mike	HD-096	D	9%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Thomas, Wendi	HD-178	R	27%	37%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	112
Tomlinson, Kathleen	HD-018	R	33%	42%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	104
Topper, Jesse	HD-078	R	45%	46%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	73

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB223	HB581	HB637	HB1059	HB1161	HB1342	HB1421	HB1440	HB1947	HB2169	HB2456	HB2461	HCRRR1	HR189	SB251	SB1100	SB1284	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	N	N	
POINTS					3	5	6	6	5	9	8	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	4	9	9	
Twardzik, Timothy	HD-123	R	51%	51%	-	-	6	-	5	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	49
Vitali, Gregory	HD-166	D	6%	18%	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194
Warner, Ryan	HD-052	R	76%	65%	-	5	6	6	5	9	-	6	4	7	5	6	6	2	-	-	9	18
Warren, Perry	HD-031	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Webster, Joe	HD-150	D	9%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Welby, Thom	HD-113	D	9%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Wentling, Parke	HD-017	R	49%	58%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	9	52
Wheatley, Jake	HD-019	D	n/a	15%	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	I	-	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	I	
Wheeland, Jeff	HD-083	R	40%	45%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
White, Martina	HD-170	R	40%	44%	-	-	6	-	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	78
Williams, Craig	HD-160	R	40%	40%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	-	4	7	-	6	-	2	-	-	-	78
Williams, Dan	HD-074	D	9%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168
Young, Regina	HD-185	D	15%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	129
Zabel, Michael	HD-163	D	21%	21%	-	-	-	6	-	9	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	118
Zimmerman, David	HD-099	R	74%	61%	-	-	6	6	-	9	-	6	4	7	-	6	6	2	4	9	9	20

HCRRR 1

PROHIBITING EXCESSIVE CHARTER SCHOOL REGULATIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This resolution blocks the implementation of new charter school regulations imposed by Governor Wolf. Specifically, the measure disapproves Department of Education regulations (#6-349) mandating charter schools provide comparable health benefits equal to K-12 government schools and meet new strenuous disclosure requirements when applying for establishment, among other new rules. While charter schools should remain under scrutiny, these measures are designed to protect government schools from competition and ultimately diminish options for parents seeking a better education for their children. The Pennsylvania House passed the resolution, 107-92, on April 27, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 30-20, on June 15, 2022.

HB 223

NEW CRONY DAIRY PRODUCTION PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill establishes a new government program for dairy production facilities called the Keystone Opportunity Dairy Zone. The legislation authorizes the creation of 30 zones across the state that allow dairy production centers to claim various local and state tax abatements including sales tax exemptions and credits against corporate income tax liabilities. These privileged benefits for the dairy industry are estimated at \$70 million over a five-year period. While dairy producers are a staple of Pennsylvania's agricultural industry, lawmakers should not wield the tax code to pick winners and losers at the expense of hardworking Pennsylvania taxpayers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 198-0, on April 13, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 581

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CARVEOUTS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a number of tax provisions in order to incentivize so-called affordable housing construction. Specifically, the legislation authorizes local taxing authorities to grant real property tax exemptions to lowincome households for any property tax liability due to increases in the assessed value of a homestead. Additionally, the bill allows local authorities to grant a 10-year tax exemption for new construction on low-income housing units. While housing prices have skyrocketed in Pennsylvania, the legislation embraces special treatment for preferred interest groups at the expense of working and middle-class Pennsylvanians. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 172-28, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 50-0, on the same day.

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES



HB 637

PROHIBITION ON CAP-AND-TRADE **PARTICIPATION**

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill creates a framework that prohibits the state Department of Environmental Protection from joining a regional cap-and-trade carbon regulation scheme without legislative approval. The legislation also requires that any agency proposal suggesting Pennsylvania participate in a regional greenhouse gas regulatory effort comply with a litany of reports on the front end detailing the cost estimates to specific industries, taxpayers, and the impact such provisions will have on energy production as well as likely facility closures. Cap-and-trade schemes elevate destructive green energy agendas while imposing massive taxes and cost increases on working and lower-class households. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 126-72, on March 30, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1059

MASSIVE CRONY TAX CREDIT PACKAGE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a slew of new special tax credits to benefit hand-selected industries and further distort the Pennsylvania code. Specifically, the legislation increases the annual cap on the local resource manufacturing tax credit by \$30 million, creates a new \$15 million milk processing tax credit for milk products purchased or produced in Pennsylvania, creates a new \$50 million clean hydrogen hub tax credit for companies that own or operate federally-approved facilities, and creates a new \$10 million semiconductor manufacturing tax credit. Lawmakers should not wield the tax code to reward powerful and well-connected interests at the expense of hardworking blue-collar and middle-class taxpayers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 139-59, on October 26, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 41-8, on the same day.

HB 1161

UNRELIABLE SOLAR ENERGY BOONDOGGLE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill establishes a crony solar power scheme under the guise of job creation that incentivizes local electric utilities to offer solar energy packages to their consumers. The legislation calls for electric distribution companies to enter into long-term power generation agreements with solar facilities for at least 15 years, meaning taxpayers will remain on the hook for potentially costly bailouts with overall grid reliability jeopardized due to an infusion of unsustainable and unreliable solar power. Additionally, the bill authorizes the distribution of solar energy tax credits and requires lower rates for low-income customers, which will be offset by higher costs passed on to other consumers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 167-33, on June 14, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

HB 1342

SIGNIFICANT TAX REFORM PACKAGE (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a series of substantial tax reforms that lower corporate income tax rates and streamline Section 179 expensing. Specifically, the legislation enacts an incremental rate reduction in the corporate tax rate from 8.99 percent to 4.99 percent in 2031. Additionally, the bill modernizes state law to finally allow full expensing of Section 179 assets, which is a boon for individual business owners. The legislation also finally permits taxpayers to defer gains on investment properties. While the bill does double down on the flawed adoption of an Internet sales tax scheme in the wake of Wayfair v. South Dakota and continues a series of crony tax carveouts, it overall makes significant strides to lower taxes for small businesses and job creators to ensure a more favorable growth climate in the Keystone state. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-16, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 38-12, on the same day.

HB 1421

FISCAL CODE SPENDING SPREE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The 2022-23 Fiscal Code locks in \$45.3 billion in state spending outlined in the budget and includes an astounding \$300 million spending increase for Medicaid for skilled nursing care. Additionally, the code directs \$220 million in federal COVID relief funds to a new environmental account that will partner with environmental activists. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 185-14, on July 8, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 49-1, on the same day.

HB 1440

MASSIVE OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING

SCHEME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new licensing scheme for some medical occupations. Specifically, the

legislation implements a series of onerous regulations on medical imaging professionals, radiation therapists, and radiation assistants that limit the scope of practice, require payment of application fees, and institute renewal fees of \$96 on a biennial basis to offset \$1.25 million in additional personnel costs for the State Board of Medicine. Such schemes only serve to create burdensome barriers to entry in fields that disproportionately impact those in lower income brackets while empowering government bureaucracies. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 132-67, on February 9, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1947

PREEMPTING MUNICIPAL UTILITY

REGULATIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill preempts local governments from regulating utility services predicated on the source of energy provided to a consumer. Specifically, the legislation ensures that municipalities cannot restrict or prohibit the connection or reconnection of utility services

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES



that use reliable and sustainable sources of energy. Some municipal governments across the country have attempted to force costly Green New Deal-style mandates on their citizens that curb natural gas and coal in favor of expensive and unreliable solar and wind power. This measure protects hardworking Pennsylvanians from that emerging threat. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 118-83, on January 26, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 2169

EXPANSIVE LOW-INCOME SCHOOL CHOICE LEGISLATION

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements strong pro-parent and prostudent policies within a new choice initiative called the Lifeline Scholarship Program. The legislation offers an education opportunity account to any student attending schools in the bottom 15 percent of state performance measures. These accounts directly fund students and may be used for qualified education expenses that include tuition,

textbooks, and tutoring fees among other provisions. Additionally, these new scholarships are available to roughly 190,000 mostly lowincome and minority students with a value of \$6,800 per student. Empowering parents, not failing government schools, is the best way to provide quality education for students. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 104-98, on April 27, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 2456

UNLICENSED MILK DISTRIBUTION **REVENUE GRAB**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the fine for distributing milk without a license by an astonishing 566 percent. Specifically, the legislation increases the existing penalty from \$150 to \$1,000 per day in lieu of suspending the license of milk processors. This is little more than a revenue grab designed to benefit bureaucrats at the Milk Marketing Board, who already oversee a complex regulatory scheme on the state's dairy processors. The perpetual cycle of overregulation and higher fines exist to weaponize the government against taxpayers and employers in the false name of protecting consumers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 183-15, on April 13, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 2461

ENERGY EXPLORATION ON STATE-OWNED LANDS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill provides for the leasing of subsurface rights on state-owned forests and park property for oil and gas exploration. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources to reopen leasing for drilling programs on state-owned land following a moratorium enacted by the governor and affirmatively repeals executive orders prohibiting energy development. Pennsylvanians are suffering from elevated gas prices and skyrocketing utility costs amid sustained high inflation. The energy industry is a futures market and new exploration leases often have an immediate positive impact by

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES





lowering costs for consumers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 116-84, on April 11, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HR 189

RESOLUTION CONDEMNING WAR ON AMERICAN ENERGY

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This resolution calls on the Biden administration to end its sustained war against domestic energy production in lieu of increasing gas prices and high energy costs. The resolution identifies the cancellation of the Keystone XL pipeline, new barriers to oil and gas leases on federal lands, and proposed FERC regulations thwarting pipeline development as intentionally harmful policies inflicted upon Pennsylvanians—and all Americans—by the Biden administration and radical left—wing bureaucrats. The Pennsylvania House passed the resolution, 126-72, on March 30, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

SB 251

FERTILIZER FEE SMASH-AND-GRAB (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a substantial regulatory apparatus on the fertilizer industry. The legislation requires fertilizer application businesses to pay a \$100 annual fee. Businesses with multiple locations must pay the annual fee for each location. Additionally, the bill enacts certification measures for commercial fertilizer applicators that includes an exam fee and implements annual reporting policies for these businesses. The bill doubles the annual fertilizer licensing fee from \$25 to \$50 and quadruples the annual license fee for specialty fertilizers to \$100. Finally, the bill grants the state Department of Agriculture the authority to increase fees as the agency sees fit. This regulatory power grab is designed to benefit niche industries while empowering government bureaucrats at the expense of customers who will bear the brunt of higher costs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-16, on July 1, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 49-1, on July 6, 2022.

SB 1100

BUDGET BUSTING GENERAL FUND

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates a staggering amount of taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs. Specifically, the legislation spends \$45.2 billion for the FY2022-23 fiscal year, a 2.9 percent increase over spending in FY2021-22. Furthermore, the package includes \$525 million in new education spending, an 8 percent annual increase, for a total of \$7 billion in state spending for school districts despite declining performance. The legislation also incorporates \$640 million in new spending from federal COVID relief for environmental pet projects and \$156 million in combined state and federal spending for "outdoor recreation" and park infrastructure. As Pennsylvanians face skyrocketing energy costs, record inflation, and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should not impose additional burdens on taxpayers and employers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 180-20, on July 7, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 47-3, on July 8, 2022.

METHODOLOGY 2022 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

SB 1284

HIGHER EDUCATION SPENDING BINGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates nearly \$597 million for four state universities in Pennsylvania. The proposal maintains increased funding levels despite record inflation, tuition hikes, and federal efforts to "forgive" student loans that would simply pass the cost of subsidized college degrees on to working and middle-class households. Lawmakers should not reward higher education institutions that willingly gouge taxpayers for increasingly diminishing returns. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 145-55, on July 6, 2022. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-7, on July 7, 2022.