

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE **DESCRIPTIONS**



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. This is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION **EDUCATES THE** PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-**GROWTH POLICIES.**

THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS **COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.**

THE FOUNDATION'S **GOAL IS TO INFORM** THE PUBLIC AND **BRING AWARENESS** TO LAWMAKERS.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE

Methodology | Pennsylvania 2023

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Pennsylvania's elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examines votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS **CONSIDERED IN PENNSYLVANIA IN 2023**

The Foundation's study examined over 1.100 floor votes and, in the end, included 19 Pennsylvania House votes and 15 Pennsylvania Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted signified as an "X" on the scorecard - though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #203 in the House and #50 in the Senate if all lawmakers are present. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2023 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for "not applicable" appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Pennsylvania House and Pennsylvania Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating progrowth policies.

"Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID McINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 **PENNSYLVANIA**

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

DESCRIPTIONS



Pennsylvania Senate Snapshot

50 MEMBERS

28 Republicans

22 Democrats

O Independent

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 56% (Up from 49% in 2022)

Average Democrat Score: 25% (Up from 9% in 2022)



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Senator Jarrett Coleman (SD-16) | 74%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Senator Sen. Greg Rothman (SD-34) | 49%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Senator Martin Flynn (SD-22) | 47%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Senator Maria Collett (SD-12) | 17% Senator Art Haywood (SD-4) | 17%

Senator Lindsey Williams (SD-38) | 17%





PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

Pennsylvania 2023 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB611	HB1300	SB35	SB143	SB188	SB243	SB244	SB248	SB254	SB350	SB497	SB562	SB671	SB721	SB757	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					10	10	8	8	6	3	3	7	9	7	9	5	5	2	8	
Argall, David	SD-29	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Aument, Ryan	SD-36	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Baker, Lisa	SD-20	R	55%	53%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Bartolotta, Camera	SD-46	R	55%	51%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Boscola, Lisa	SD-18	D	41%	27%	10	-	8	8	-	3	3	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
Brewster, James	SD-45	D	30%	19%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	5	-	-	-	32
Brooks, Michele	SD-50	R	51%	49%	-	-	8	х	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	23
Brown, Rosemary	SD-40	R	55%	45%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Cappelletti, Amanda	SD-17	D	26%	15%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	9	-	-	-	-	35
Coleman, Jarrett	SD-16	R	74%	74%	-	10	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	9	5	5	-	8	1
Collett, Maria	SD-12	D	17%	11%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	48
Comitta, Carolyn	SD-19	D	18%	19%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	Х	45
Costa, Jay	SD-43	D	25%	12%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	36
Dillon, James	SD-05	D	30%	24%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	5	-	-	-	32
DiSanto, John	SD-15	R	65%	56%	-	10	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	2
Dush, Cris	SD-25	R	55%	62%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Farry, Frank	SD-06	R	50%	37%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	-	5	-	8	27
Flynn, Martin	SD-22	D	47%	27%	10	-	8	8	-	-	-	-	9	7	-	5	-	-	-	29
Fontana, Wayne	SD-42	D	30%	17%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	5	-	-	-	32
Gebhard, Chris	SD-48	R	55%	54%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Haywood, Art	SD-04	D	17%	9%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	48

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB611	HB1300	SB35	SB143	SB188	SB243	SB244	SB248	SB254	SB350	SB497	SB562	SB671	SB721	SB757	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					10	10	8	8	6	3	3	7	9	7	9	5	5	2	8	
Hughes, Vincent	SD-07	D	21%	13%	10	-	-	8	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	43
Hutchinson, Scott	SD-21	R	65%	67%	-	10	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	2
Kane, John	SD-09	D	25%	13%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	36
Kearney, Timothy	SD-26	D	18%	15%	10	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	45
Langerholc, Wayne	SD-35	R	55%	56%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Laughlin, Daniel	SD-49	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Martin, Scott	SD-13	R	55%	54%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Mastriano, Doug	SD-33	R	51%	64%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	х	23
Miller, Nicholas	SD-14	D	19%	19%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	44
Muth, Katie	SD-44	D	36%	23%	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	9	-	-	-	-	31
Pennycuick, Tracy	SD-24	R	55%	62%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Phillips-Hill, Kristin	SD-28	R	65%	68%	-	10	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	2
Pittman, Joe	SD-41	R	55%	54%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Regan, Mike	SD-31	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Robinson, Devlin	SD-37	R	55%	53%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Rothman, Greg	SD-34	R	49%	50%	-	-	8	8	6	Х	Х	-	9	-	-	5	Х	-	8	28
Santarsiero, Steven	SD-10	D	22%	12%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	5	-	-	42
Saval, Nikil	SD-01	D	18%	11%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	х	45
Schlegel Culver, Lynda	SD-27	R	51%	46%	-	-	ı	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	23
Schwank, Judy	SD-11	D	25%	18%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	36
Stefano, Patrick	SD-32	R	55%	52%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Street, Sharif	SD-03	D	25%	9%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	36

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB611	HB1300	SB35	SB143	SB188	SB243	SB244	SB248	SB254	SB350	SB497	SB562	SB671	SB721	SB757	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	
POINTS					10	10	8	8	6	3	3	7	9	7	9	5	5	2	8	
Tartaglione, Christine	SD-02	D	25%	19%	10	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	36
Vogel, Elder	SD-47	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Ward, Judy	SD-30	R	51%	54%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	Х	-	-	5	5	-	8	23
Ward, Kim	SD-39	R	55%	51%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5
Williams, Anthony	SD-08	D	23%	11%	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	8	41
Williams, Lindsey	SD-38	D	17%	19%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	48
Yaw, Gene	SD-23	R	55%	49%	-	-	8	8	6	3	3	-	9	-	-	5	5	-	8	5

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE **VOTES**

HB 611

GENERAL FUND SPENDING SPREE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates a staggering amount of taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs. Specifically, the legislation spends \$45.5 billion for the FY2023-24 fiscal year, a 5 percent increase in General Fund spending over the previous budget. Furthermore, the package includes \$2.4 billion more than projected revenue, \$567 million in new basic education spending, \$46 million for a new universal "free" breakfast program, and a \$67 million increase in subsidized childcare for low-income households. As Pennsylvanians face skyrocketing persistent inflation and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should not impose additional burdens on taxpayers and employers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 117-86, on July 5, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 29-21, on June 30, 2023.

HB 1300

FISCAL CODE SPENDING BINGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The 2023-24 Fiscal Code locks in \$45.5 billion in state spending outlined in the budget and includes a new \$60 million tax surcharge on phone bills for 911 services. Additionally, the code directs \$12 million in new taxpayerfunded Medicaid coverage for dental services. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill. 154-49, on December 13, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 45-5, on the same day.

SB 35

REDUCED GAS TAX RATE

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill changes the definition of average wholesale price for taxable liquid fuels. Specifically, the legislation changes the current law, which sets a price floor of \$2.99 a gallon and instead permanently caps it at \$2.99 per gallon. For 2023, the Department of Revenue determined that the average wholesale price for the franchise tax on fuels was \$3.17 per gallon. Estimates show that capping the average wholesale price at \$2.99 per gallon will reduce the oil company franchise tax burden by \$228 million over the next year, leading to lower prices for consumers and hardworking Pennsylvanians. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 29-19, on January 11, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 143

PREEMPTING MUNICIPAL UTILITY

REGULATIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill preempts local governments from regulating utility services predicated on the source of energy provided to a consumer. Specifically, the legislation ensures that municipalities cannot restrict or prohibit the connection or reconnection of utility services

SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

that use reliable and sustainable sources of energy. Some municipal governments across the country have attempted to force costly Green New Deal-style mandates on their citizens that curb natural gas and coal in favor of expensive and unreliable solar and wind power. This measure protects hardworking Pennsylvanians from that emerging threat. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 40-9, on March 8, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 188

REGULATORY REVIEW REFORMS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements several reforms designed to curb costly state regulations. Specifically, the legislation requires the passage of a concurrent resolution in both chambers for any regulation estimated to have direct or indirect costs at or above \$1 million. Additionally, the bill requires an independent audit of the potential fiscal impact of the proposed regulation and enacts a requirement that the legislature affirmatively adopt the resolution approving the regulation within 30 calendar days or 10 legislative days. If

the legislature does not, the regulation does not take effect. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 28-21, on May 9, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 243

FOOD STAMP FRAUD REFORM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a common sense reform for recipients of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, better known as food stamps. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Human Services to coordinate with the Bureau of Vital Statistics every month to evaluate death certificates against recipients of both state-provided medical assistance and food stamps. This is a practical reform to prevent potential fraud and abuse within a taxpayer-funded welfare program. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 28-21, on May 3, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 244

ADDITIONAL FOOD STAMP FRAUD **REFORMS**

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements another common-sense reform for recipients of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, better known as food stamps. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Human Services to coordinate with the Department of Labor and Industry every quarter to evaluate wage records and employment history against recipients of both state-provided medical assistance and food stamps. This is a practical reform to prevent potential fraud and abuse within a taxpayer-funded welfare program. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 28-21, on May 3, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 248

CRONY BUSINESS LOAN GUARANTEE

PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new loan guarantee handout through the existing Second Stage Loan

SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

program. Specifically, the legislation authorizes loan guarantees to commercial lenders to provide taxpayer-backed loans to veteranowned businesses. The guarantee is equal to 50 percent of the principal amount and is capped at \$250,000 per recipient. Total funding for this new crony handout is \$5 million as part of the Commonwealth Financing Authority's \$50 million loan guarantee authority. This central-planning cronyism scheme rewards government-preferred interests on the backs of hardworking families and households. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 50-0, on May 8, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 254

GREEN ENERGY TRUCKING REGULATION REPEAL

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill enacts a five-year suspension of the provisions within the Heavy-Duty Diesel Emissions Control Program. The legislation requires the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to stop enforcing the diesel emissions program, which is based on California Air Resources Board standards, for all vehicles through model year 2026 to reduce immediate and future costs for diesel-reliant businesses. The CARB regulations adopted for 2022 are estimated to significantly increase the cost of new trucks in Pennsylvania with the warranty and engine labeling requirements increasing costs by an additional \$4,000 per vehicle, further squeezing an already overburdened trucking industry critical for the nation's supply chain. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 29-20, on March 1, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 350

NEW CRONY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OFFICE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements numerous permitting changes for state agencies and establishes a new Office of Transformation and Opportunity to maximize crony economic development initiatives. Specifically, the legislation creates a new \$3 million central hub to expand the practice of rewarding government-preferred industries using taxpayer resources at the

expense of hardworking Pennsylvanians. Additionally, the bill creates a new economic development task force run directly out of the Governor's office to facilitate a statewide strategy for rewarding special interests, increasing both the burden on taxpayers and the risk of corruption. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 29-19, on May 10, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023. 28 Rs for (Drew Recommendation: 7)

SB 497

CRONY MANUFACTURING GRANT PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new crony grant and loan program in the Department of Economic Development. Specifically, the legislation creates the Max Manufacturing Initiative Fund to provide taxpayer-funded grants to well-connected interests in the manufacturing, technology, and medical fields as well as a new taxpayer-backed loan program to issue fixed 2 percent interest rate loans over 15 years for these government-preferred industries. Additionally, the bill provides for the loans

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

to be used for 75 percent of the cost of new equipment up to \$200,000 and 75 percent of the cost of facility construction up to \$100,000. The bill does not include appropriations or funding levels for the new program. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 155-48, on December 12, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 47-3, on June 21, 2023.

SB 562

EMISSIONS TESTING EXEMPTIONS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill exempts several counties from the enhanced vehicle emissions inspection program. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Environmental Protection to remove seven class three, four, and five counties from the emissions inspection program, saving residents in those counties nearly \$2 million in annual inspection fees. Environmental fees and fines only serve to enrich government bureaucracies at the expense of taxpayers and consumers. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 31-19, on June 21, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 671

PHILADELPHIA TAXATION REFORMS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill repeals the Sterling Act and amends the Local Tax Enabling Act. Specifically, the legislation prohibits Philadelphia from taxing certain nonresidents whose duties and services are performed outside the city and requires the city to reimburse school districts and municipalities for credits claimed against their local income taxes. Estimates show that these reforms will reduce the tax burden imposed by the city of Philadelphia by nearly \$190 million. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 28-21, on May 3, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

SB 721 / HB 664

WELFARE MAXIMIZATION ADVISORY **BOARD**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new welfare advisory board. Specifically, the legislation creates the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) state advisory board with the explicit purpose of increasing enrollment among residents in the WIC

welfare program. WIC is a \$5.7 billion stateadministered and federally-funded welfare program that subsidizes food purchases for low-income households. Instead of creating new bureaucracies to create more dependency, lawmakers should focus on reforms that increase prosperity and opportunity by moving people off taxpayer-funded welfare programs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 155-48, on June 12, 2023, under a different bill number (HB 664). The Pennsylvania Senate passed it. 50-0, on December 12, 2023.

SB 757

STUDENT SUCCESS SCHOLARSHIP **PROGRAM**

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill creates a new education scholarship program for low-income K-12 students to attend a non-government school. Specifically, the legislation implements an education scholarship program for low-income K-12 students below 250 percent of the federal poverty line (FPL) with awards equal to \$2,500 per year for half-day kindergarten, \$5,000 for full-day K-8, \$10,000 for high school, and

SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

\$15,000 for special needs students. Parents will have access to these allotments to use for tuition, books, tutoring, and other qualified education expenses. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 28-19, on August 30, 2023. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up in 2023.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES



Pennsylvania House Snapshot

203 MEMBERS

101 Republicans

102 Democrats

O Independent

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 76% (Up from 54% from 2022)

Average Democrat Score: 0%

(Drop from 13% in 2022)



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS Multiple Reps at 100%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Rep. Thomas Mehaffie (HD-106) | 8%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Rep. Frank Burns (HD-72) | 24%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Multiple Reps at 0%

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE **SNAPSHOT**

Pennsylvania 2023 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Abney, Aerion	HD-019	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Adams, Joseph	HD-139	R	48%	48%	7	-	-	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	88
Armanini, Michael	HD-075	R	91%	68%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	6	5	8	7	34
Banta, Jacob	HD-004	R	93%	93%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	6	5	8	7	25
Barton, Jamie	HD-124	R	81%	81%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	7	46
Bellmon, Anthony	HD-203	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Benham, Jessica	HD-036	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Benninghoff, Kerry	HD-171	R	81%	54%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	6	5	8	7	46
Bernstine, Aaron	HD-008	R	100%	78%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Bizzarro, Ryan	HD-003	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Bonner, Timothy	HD-017	R	80%	62%	-	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	50
Borowicz, Stephanie	HD-076	R	100%	87%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Borowski, Lisa	HD-168	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Boyd, Heather	HD-163	D	0%	0%	-	ı	-	-	-	ı	ı	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Boyle, Kevin	HD-172	D	0%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Bradford, Matthew	HD-070	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Brennan, Timothy	HD-029	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Briggs, Tim	HD-149	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	104
Brown, Amen	HD-010	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Brown, Marla	HD-009	R	76%	76%	-	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	-	10	7	6	5	8	-	56
Bullock, Donna	HD-195	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Burgos, Danilo	HD-197	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Burns, Frank	HD-072	D	8%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	102
Cabell, Michael	HD-117	R	83%	83%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	7	44
Causer, Martin	HD-067	R	75%	53%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	7	57
Cepeda-Freytiz, Johanny	HD-129	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Cephas, Morgan	HD-192	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Cerrato, Melissa	HD-151	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Ciresi, Joe	HD-146	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Conklin, Scott	HD-077	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Cook, Bud	HD-050	R	80%	59%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	-	5	8	-	50
Cooper, Jill	HD-055	R	91%	91%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	- 1	34
Curry, Gina	HD-164	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Cutler, Bryan	HD-100	R	81%	54%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	7	46
D'Orsie, Joseph	HD-047	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Daley, Mary Jo	HD-148	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Davanzo, Eric	HD-058	R	79%	71%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	6	5	8	-	52
Davis, Tina	HD-141	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Dawkins, Jason	HD-179	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Deasy, Daniel	HD-027	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	104
Delloso, David	HD-162	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Delozier, Sheryl	HD-088	R	56%	52%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	82

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Diamond, Russ	HD-102	R	92%	74%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	32
Donahue, Kyle	HD-113	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Dunbar, George	HD-056	R	75%	58%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	7	57
Ecker, Torren	HD-193	R	93%	60%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	•	25
Emrick, Joe	HD-137	R	39%	44%	7	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	3	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	93
Fee, Mindy	HD-037	R	96%	60%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	21
Fiedler, Elizabeth	HD-184	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Fink, Wendy	HD-094	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Fleming, Justin	HD-105	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Flick, Jamie	HD-083	R	89%	89%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	-	40
Flood, Ann	HD-138	R	73%	61%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	62
Frankel, Dan	HD-023	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Freeman, Robert	HD-136	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Friel, Paul	HD-026	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Fritz, Jonathan	HD-111	R	56%	51%	7	-	-	2	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	6	5	8	-	82
Gallagher, Patrick	HD-173	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Galloway, John	HD-140	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Gaydos, Valerie	HD-044	R	65%	55%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	73
Gergely, Matthew	HD-035	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Gillen, Mark	HD-128	R	67%	63%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	7	69
Giral, Jose	HD-180	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Gleim, Barbara	HD-199	R	100%	72%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Green, Roni	HD-190	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Gregory, Jim	HD-080	R	81%	57%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	-	46
Greiner, Keith	HD-043	R	94%	62%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	23
Grimm Krupa, Charity	HD-051	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Grove, Seth	HD-196	R	98%	67%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	19
Guenst, Nancy	HD-152	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Guzman, Manuel	HD-127	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Haddock, James	HD-118	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Hamm, Joseph	HD-084	R	100%	99%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Hanbidge, Liz	HD-061	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Harkins, Patrick	HD-001	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Harris, Jordan	HD-186	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Heffley, Doyle	HD-122	R	67%	56%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	- 1	69
Hill-Evans, Carol	HD-095	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Hogan, Joseph	HD-142	R	28%	28%	7	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	100
Hohenstein, Joseph	HD-177	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Howard, Kristine	HD-167	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Innamorato, Sara	HD-021	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ı	-	-	ı	ı	ı	ı	-		104
Irvin, Rich	HD-081	R	93%	64%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	6	5	8	7	25
Isaacson, MaryLouise	HD-175	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
James, R. Lee	HD-064	R	65%	58%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	-	-	7	-	5	8	-	73
Jones, Mike	HD-093	R	100%	80%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Jones, Thomas	HD-098	R	93%	93%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	-	25
Jozwiak, Barry	HD-005	R	61%	55%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	77
Kail, Joshua	HD-015	R	63%	50%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	75
Kaufer, Aaron	HD-120	R	36%	45%	7	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	96
Kauffman, Robert	HD-089	R	100%	78%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Kazeem, Carol	HD-159	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Keefer, Dawn	HD-092	R	100%	84%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Kenyatta, Malcolm	HD-181	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Kephart, Dallas	HD-073	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Kerwin, Joseph	HD-125	R	69%	75%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	6	5	8	-	67
Khan, Tarik	HD-194	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Kim, Patty	HD-103	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Kinkead, Emily	HD-020	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Kinsey, Stephen	HD-201	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Klunk, Kate	HD-169	R	85%	58%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	-	42
Kosierowski, Bridget	HD-114	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Krajewski, Rick	HD-188	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Krueger, Leanne	HD-161	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Kulik, Anita Astorino	HD-045	D	0%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	104

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Kutz, Thomas	HD-087	R	78%	78%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	-	5	8	-	54
Kuzma, Andrew	HD-039	R	46%	46%	-	-	-	-	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	91
Labs, Shelby	HD-143	R	38%	35%	7	-	-	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	Х	8	-	95
Lawrence, John	HD-013	R	92%	61%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	32
Leadbeter, Robert	HD-109	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Mackenzie, Milou	HD-131	R	59%	59%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	78
Mackenzie, Ryan	HD-187	R	59%	55%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	78
Madden, Maureen	HD-115	D	7%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	103
Madsen, David	HD-104	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Major, Abby	HD-060	R	66%	58%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	72
Mako, Zachary	HD-183	R	73%	57%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	62
Malagari, Steven	HD-053	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Maloney, David	HD-130	R	90%	76%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	7	37
Marcell, Kristin	HD-178	R	46%	46%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	91
Markosek, Brandon	HD-025	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Marshall, Jim	HD-014	R	36%	41%	7	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	96
Matzie, Robert	HD-016	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Mayes, La'Tasha	HD-024	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
McAndrew, Joe	HD-032	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
McClinton, Joanna	HD-191	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
McNeill, Jeanne	HD-133	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Mehaffie, Thomas	HD-106	R	24%	32%	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	101
Mentzer, Steven	HD-097	R	91%	54%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	34
Mercuri, Robert	HD-028	R	56%	51%	-	2	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	-	-	7	-	5	8	-	82
Merski, Robert	HD-002	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Metzgar, Carl Walker	HD-069	R	72%	68%	7	-	10	2	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	-	10	-	6	5	8	-	64
Mihalek, Natalie	HD-040	R	49%	51%	-	2	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	87
Miller, Brett	HD-041	R	93%	66%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	-	25
Miller, Daniel	HD-042	D	0%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Moul, Dan	HD-091	R	90%	60%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	7	37
Mullins, Kyle	HD-112	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Munroe, Brian	HD-144	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Mustello, Marci	HD-011	R	74%	58%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	-	60
Neilson, Ed	HD-174	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Nelson, Eric	HD-057	R	77%	65%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	10	-	6	5	8	-	55
Nelson, Napoleon	HD-154	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
O'Mara, Jennifer	HD-165	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
O'Neal, Timothy	HD-048	R	70%	56%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	-	66
Oberlander, Donna	HD-063	R	79%	53%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	-	52
Ortitay, Jason	HD-046	R	56%	54%	7	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	82
Otten, Danielle Friel	HD-155	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Owlett, Clinton	HD-068	R	90%	60%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	6	5	8	7	37

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Parker, Darisha	HD-198	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Pashinski, Eddie Day	HD-121	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Pickett, Tina	HD-110	R	68%	53%	-	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	7	68
Pielli, Christopher	HD-156	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Pisciottano, Nickolas	HD-038	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Powell, Lindsay	HD-021	D	n/a	n/a	ı	ı	ı	I	I	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	-	1	ı	-	-	-	-	ı	-	
Probst, Tarah	HD-189	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Rabb, Christopher	HD-200	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Rader, Jack	HD-176	R	63%	53%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	75
Rapp, Kathy	HD-065	R	87%	76%	-	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	41
Rigby, Jim	HD-071	R	51%	52%	-	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	-	86
Roae, Brad	HD-006	R	100%	75%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Rossi, Leslie	HD-059	R	94%	93%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	7	23
Rowe, David	HD-085	R	100%	93%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Rozzi, Mark	HD-126	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Ryncavage, Alec	HD-119	R	48%	48%	7	-	-	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	7	-	5	8	-	88
Salisbury, Abigail	HD-034	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Samuelson, Steve	HD-135	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Sanchez, Benjamin	HD-153	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Sappey, Christina	HD-158	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Schemel, Paul	HD-090	R	93%	80%	-	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	25

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Scheuren, Donna	HD-147	R	58%	58%	7	2	-	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	-	-	7	-	5	8	-	80
Schlegel, John	HD-101	R	67%	67%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	69
Schlossberg, Michael	HD-132	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Schmitt, Louis	HD-079	R	84%	62%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	-	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	•	43
Schweyer, Peter	HD-134	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Scialabba, Stephenie	HD-012	R	93%	93%	7	2	10	-	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	25
Scott, Gregory	HD-054	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Shusterman, Melissa	HD-157	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Siegel, Joshua	HD-022	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Smith, Brian	HD-066	R	98%	84%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	19
Smith-Wade-El, Ismail	HD-049	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Solomon, Jared	HD-202	D	0%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Staats, Craig	HD-145	R	75%	61%	7	-	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	-	57
Stambaugh, Perry	HD-086	R	100%	92%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Steele, Mandy	HD-033	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Stehr, Joanne	HD-107	R	100%	100%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Stender, Michael	HD-108	R	100%	100%	7	I	10	2	2	ı	ı	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1
Struzzi, James	HD-062	R	48%	45%	7	-	-	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	7	-	5	8	-	88
Sturla, P. Mike	HD-096	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Takac, Paul	HD-082	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Tomlinson, Kathleen	HD-018	R	33%	39%	7	-	-	-	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	99

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB78	HB198	HB611	HB664	HB767	HB922	HB950	HB1032	HB1163	HB1216	HB1219	HB1249	HB1272	HB1300	HB1416	HB1417	HB1474	HB1500	SB497	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	
Topper, Jesse	HD-078	R	72%	53%	7	-	10	-	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	-	-	6	5	8	-	64
Twardzik, Timothy	HD-123	R	74%	63%	-	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	-	7	-	5	8	7	60
Venkat, Arvind	HD-030	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Vitali, Gregory	HD-166	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Warner, Ryan	HD-052	R	95%	72%	7	2	10	2	2	-	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	22
Warren, Perry	HD-031	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Watro, Dane	HD-116	R	57%	57%	7	-	-	2	2	5	5	-	-	1	4	4	7	-	-	-	5	8	7	81
Waxman, Benjamin	HD-182	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Webster, Joe	HD-150	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Wentling, Parke	HD-007	R	82%	64%	7	2	10	-	2	5	5	5	-	1	4	4	7	10	7	-	5	8	-	45
White, Martina	HD-170	R	36%	42%	7	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	96
Williams, Craig	HD-160	R	39%	40%	-	-	10	-	2	-	5	-	-	1	4	4	-	-	-	-	5	8	-	93
Williams, Dan	HD-074	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Young, Regina	HD-185	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104
Zimmerman, David	HD-099	R	100%	71%	7	2	10	2	2	5	5	5	3	1	4	4	7	10	7	6	5	8	7	1

HB 78

MEDICAL BILL BAILOUT PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new Medical Debt Relief Program. Specifically, the legislation requires that the Department of Health procure a medical debt relief coordinator to "purchase" the medical debt of eligible residents for alleged "pennies on the dollar." Eligibility is defined as households within 400 percent of the federal poverty level who possess outstanding medical debt. The initial cost of the program is unspecified and requires appropriations. The root cause of high medical costs is onerous government regulations and crony big insurance companies inflating the bureaucracy at the expense of patients and providers. Hardworking taxpayers should not be forced to perpetually bail out the existing broken system to create a permanent and everexpanding moral hazard that will inevitably increase costs for both patients and taxpayers.

The Pennsylvania House passed the bill. 114-89. on June 26, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 198

NEW DISTILLERY PROMOTION BOARD

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new Distilled Spirits Industry Promotion Board. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$1 million on an annual basis to the new marketing board to dole out grants to eligible distilleries to increase the production of Pennsylvania spirits. Crony marketing programs like this encourage handpicked special interests in a particular industry to collude with the government to receive taxpayer-provided benefits at the expense of hardworking Pennsylvanians. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 151-50, on May 2, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 611

GENERAL FUND SPENDING SPREE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates a staggering amount of taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs. Specifically, the legislation spends \$45.5 billion for the FY2023-24 fiscal year, a 5 percent increase in General Fund spending over the previous budget. Furthermore, the package includes \$2.4 billion more than projected revenue, \$567 million in new basic education spending, \$46 million for a new universal "free" breakfast program, and a \$67 million increase in subsidized childcare for low-income households. As Pennsylvanians face skyrocketing persistent inflation and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should not impose additional burdens on taxpayers and employers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 117-86, on July 5, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 29-21, on June 30, 2023.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

SB 721 / HB 664

WELFARE MAXIMIZATION ADVISORY BOARD

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new welfare advisory board. Specifically, the legislation creates the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) state advisory board with the explicit purpose of increasing enrollment among residents in the WIC welfare program. WIC is a \$5.7 billion stateadministered and federally-funded welfare program that subsidizes food purchases for low-income households. Instead of creating new bureaucracies to create more dependency, lawmakers should focus on reforms that increase prosperity and opportunity by moving people off taxpayer-funded welfare programs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 155-48, on June 12, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 50-0, on December 12, 2023, under a different bill number (SB 721).

HB 767

NEW WOKE AGRICULTURAL BUREAUCRACY

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill establishes a new state commission designed to propose legislation and regulations to advantage farmers on the basis of race. Specifically, the legislation creates the Socially Diverse Farmers' Commission to consider diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) policies in farming and to recommend new policies that shift taxpayer-funded benefits toward farmers on the basis of race essentialism. Lawmakers should not create needless new government commissions, much less ones that pit constituents against one another on the basis of skin color. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on June 21, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 922

CRONY LOAN GUARANTEE HANDOUT

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands a taxpayer-backed loan guarantee program. Specifically, the legislation expands the \$50 million Second Stage Loan

program which hands out taxpayer-backed loans to hand-selected and relatively new businesses that operate in the specific industries of life sciences, advanced technologies, and manufacturing for the purpose of "job creation" in Pennsylvania. The bill allows the Commonwealth Financing Authority to increase the loan guarantee cap for eligible recipients based on a percentage of the outstanding principal amount of a loan, increasing the risk of a potential bailout. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 119-82, on May 24, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 950

PERMANENT UNION EMPOWERING CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill establishes a ballot initiative to amend the Pennsylvania Constitution to permanently empower unions. Specifically, the amendment prohibits the passage of any law that protects workers from unions attempting to condition their employment on joining a union, prohibits pro-worker reforms to collective bargaining, and appropriates \$1 million in taxpayer-funded

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

26

advertising money for the pro-union ballot referendum. Unions routinely act as political enforcers for far-left activists and causes and this bill attempts to permanently neuter rightto-work efforts using taxpayer resources. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-99, on May 3, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1032

SOLAR SUBSIDIES FOR SCHOOLS BOONDOGGLE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill establishes a new green energy grant program for K-12 schools and universities. Specifically, the legislation creates the Solar for Schools program administered through the Department of Economic Development. This program provides grants of up to 50 percent of the cost of a solar energy project under development at any eligible school or educational institution. The bill does not include specific appropriations or funding levels, leaving it an open question as to the overall cost and burden on Pennsylvania taxpayers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 134-

69, on June 29, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1163

MUNICIPAL LAND BANK EXPANSIONS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates new criteria that expand the power of municipal land banks to seize property and undercut market forces. Specifically, the legislation expands the ability of local governments to seize land if the property has been vacant or blighted for five years, if the property is associated with building code violations, and if the municipality has attempted three notifications. Existing land banks in Philadelphia have been riddled with inefficiencies and corruption, often rejecting offers by private entities to purchase properties held by the bank in the hopes of scoring big with larger developers or cutting deals with politically well-connected interests. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 130-73, on June 28, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1216

ABSURD MUNICIPAL GRANT ASSISTANCE **PROGRAM**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new fund administered by the Department of Economic Development to help local governments apply for taxpayerfunded grants. Specifically, the legislation creates a new program to instruct cities with populations under 250,000 people on the best way to apply for state grants. The bill does not include appropriations or funding levels for the new program. If a new government program is necessary to teach government bureaucrats how to receive taxpayer funds to spend on government programs, then it is clear that lawmakers should eliminate these competitive grants altogether. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on June 29, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

HB 1219

BLOATED TAX AND SPEND OMNIBUS PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill includes significant new distortionary tax measures. Specifically, the legislation ratchets down the corporate net income tax from 7.99 percent to 4.99 percent by 2026, expands the net operating loss deduction, and significantly expands eight additional distortionary tax credits. These include a \$234 million spending increase in the fraudprone Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) welfare program in FY2024-25, a \$277 million expansion of the state child care credit, an additional \$100 million for crony Hollywood film subsidies, an additional \$36 million for neighborhood central planning projects, a \$30 million allocation for a new biotech tax credit, and an eye-popping \$311 million in new transit spending. While lowering corporate tax rates is good for competition, the bill is riddled with new and expanded welfare spending and crony tax carveouts. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on October 3, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1249

NEW CRONY INCOME TAX DISTORTION (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new tax carveout for handselected professions. Specifically, the legislation creates the Recruitment and Retention Tax Credit that allows residents and eligible nonresidents to claim a \$2,500 tax credit against their personal income taxes if they work as a nurse, teacher, or police officer. Over five years, this specialized tax deduction is estimated to equal over \$580 million in special tax breaks for these selected professions. Instead of pitting citizens against one another based on their jobs, lawmakers should uniformly reduce the income tax burden on residents. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 137-66, on June 20, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1272

NEW FRAUD-PRONE WELFARE PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a state-level earned income tax credit (EITC) welfare program. Specifically, the legislation creates a credit equal to 25

percent of the federal EITC for lower-income households-a subsidy estimated to cost over \$1.4 billion through FY2027-28. Previous analyses have shown that nearly 33 percent of federal EITC payments are fraudulent-equal to more than \$18 billion in unnecessary spending in 2023 alone. Instead of expanding welfare programs, lawmakers should enact policy reforms that encourage full employment without exposing hardworking families and households to subsidizing programs that lead to fraud and cycles of dependency. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 122-81, on June 12, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1300

FISCAL CODE SPENDING BINGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The 2023-24 Fiscal Code locks in \$45.5 billion in state spending outlined in the budget

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2023 PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES

and includes a new \$60 million tax surcharge on phone bills for 911 services. Additionally, the code directs \$12 million in new taxpayerfunded Medicaid coverage for dental services. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 154-49, on December 13, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 45-5, on the same day.

HB 1416

MASSIVE RETIREMENT SPENDING **INCREASE**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a significant cost-of-living increase for certain retirees. Specifically, the legislation implements a 15 to 25 percent taxpayer-funded annuity increase for all retirees in the state employee and public school employee retirement systems who retired before July 1, 2001. The total cost over the next decade is an astounding \$1.8 billion in increased spending to "offset" the inflation caused by the federal government's reckless spending. Pennsylvania workers and taxpayers ultimately foot the bill for these benefit increases while enduring persistent inflation caused by the very government policies that precipitated

the annuity increase. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 140-63, on November 14, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

HB 1417

MEDICAID EXPANSION FOR DENTAL **SERVICES**

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill further expands the costly and ineffective Medicaid welfare program. Specifically, the legislation expands taxpayersubsidized coverage to include dental services. The estimated state cost for FY2024-25 is \$20 million with a total Medicaid increase of \$77.5 million. Medicaid expansion under Obamacare has been a disaster for Pennsylvania with the program growing 64 percent over the last decade and spending increasing more than 35 percent since 2019, driving a budget deficit and contributing to hospital closures. Lawmakers should roll back the Medicaid expansion under Obamacare to cut costs in a program already saddled with poor health outcomes and low quality of care. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 153-50, on December 12, 2023. The

Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1474

ELECTRIC VEHICLE INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the scope of an existing green energy development corporate welfare scheme. Specifically, the legislation expands the Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy (C-PACE) program to include electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure as eligible projects for taxpayer-backed loans. Inevitably, such a move will lead to increased future state spending and taxes on the backs of hardworking Pennsylvanians while shoveling taxpayer-backed loan guarantees to government-preferred businesses and special interests. As of July 2023, only 43,000 EVs were registered in the entire state, comprising just 0.35 percent of all registered vehicles. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-100, on October 30, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES

HB 1500

ANTI-SMALL BUSINESS MINIMUM WAGE HIKE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes a new minimum wage scale on employers over the next three years. Specifically, the legislation increases the state minimum wage from \$7.25 per hour to \$11.00 per hour on January 1, 2024, an immediate increase of 52 percent. Additionally, this pay scale mandate on employers ratchets up to \$15.00 per hour by January 1, 2026, and eliminates previous language for small businesses that protected them from immediate hikes. Empirical data has been consistently clear that minimum wage increases result in fewer jobs and place onerous constraints on small businesses through diminished profit margins due to sudden increases in mandatory hourly wages. These costs are then passed on to consumers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 103-100, on June 20, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up in 2023.

SB 497

CRONY MANUFACTURING GRANT PROGRAM

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new crony grant and loan program in the Department of Economic Development. Specifically, the legislation creates the Max Manufacturing Initiative Fund to provide taxpayer-funded grants to wellconnected interests in the manufacturing, technology, and medical fields as well as a new taxpayer-backed loan program to issue fixed 2 percent interest rate loans over 15 years for these government-preferred industries. Additionally, the bill provides for the loans to be used for 75 percent of the cost of new equipment up to \$200,000 and 75 percent of the cost of facility construction up to \$100,000. The bill does not include appropriations or funding levels for the new program. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 155-48, on December 12, 2023. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 47-3, on June 21, 2023.

SNAPSHOT

SNAPSHOT

VOTES