

Indiana State Economic Scorecard

See how Members of Indiana's Legislature are voting on economic growth issues.

2019

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA



INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. It is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION EDUCATES THE PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-GROWTH POLICIES. THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTS COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATIONS OF VOTING RECORDS.

THE FOUNDATION'S GOAL IS TO INFORM THE PUBLIC AND BRING AWARENESS TO LAWMAKERS.

Methodology | Indiana 2019

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Indiana's elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker's record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club's immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examined votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office. ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED IN INDIANA IN 2019

The Foundation's study examined over 1,100 floor votes and, in the end, included 15 Indiana House votes and 17 Indiana Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted – signified as an "X" on the scorecard – though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker's position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an "I".

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was

ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0% scores are, by default, ranked #100 in the House and #50 in the Senate. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a "Lifetime Score" for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2019 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases "n.a." for "not applicable" appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with "n.a." listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without "n.a." years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Indiana House and Indiana Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker's work in committee, advocacy in his party's caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating pro-growth policies. "Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity."

- DAVID MCINTOSH PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE SCRIPTION

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHO

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES



40 Republicans

10 Democrats

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 39%

Average Democrat Score: 17%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Sen. R. Michael Young (SD-35) | 63%

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Sen. Randall Head (SD-18) | 20%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Sen. Timothy Lanane (SD-25) | 26%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Sen. David Niezgodski (SD-10) | 6% INDIANA SENATE VOTE

DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES



Indiana 2019 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB1001-10	HB1001-20	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	HB1631-1	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0285	SB0582	Rank	
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		
POINTS					5	5	10	8	3	7	3	5	6	6	9	8	4	4	4	4	9		
Alting, Ronnie	SD-22	R	28%	28%	5	-	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Bassler, Eric	SD-39	R	52%	52%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	x	х	-	9	-	-	-	4	-	9	5	
Becker, Vaneta	SD-50	R	32%	32%	5	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	4	-	9	23	
Bohacek, Mike	SD-08	R	23%	23%	5	-	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	40	
Boots, Philip	SD-23	R	26%	26%	5	5	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	36	
Bray, Rodric	SD-37	R	32%	32%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	23	
Breaux, Jean	SD-34	D	14%	14%	-	-	10	-	x	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	47	
Brown, Elizabeth "Liz"	SD-15	R	56%	56%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	x	х	-	9	-	-	-	4	4	9	4	
Buchanan, Brian	SD-07	R	28%	28%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Buck, James	SD-21	R	32%	32%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	23	
Busch, Justin	SD-16	R	50%	50%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	4	4	9	9	
Charbonneau, Ed	SD-05	R	28%	28%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Crane, John	SD-24	R	52%	52%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	6	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	5	
Crider, Michael	SD-28	R	26%	26%	5	5	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	36	
Doriot, Blake	SD-12	R	41%	41%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	15	
Ford, J.D.	SD-29	D	17%	17%	-	-	10	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	44	
Ford, Jon	SD-38	R	28%	28%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Freeman, Aaron	SD-32	R	41%	41%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	15	
Garten, Chris	SD-45	R	46%	46%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	12	
Gaskill, Mike	SD-26	R	61%	61%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	6	9	-	-	-	4	4	9	2	

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTION

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana 2019 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB1001-10	HB1001-20	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	HB1631-1	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0285	SB0582	Rank	
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Ν	N		
POINTS					5	5	10	8	3	7	3	5	6	6	9	8	4	4	4	4	9		
Glick, Susan	SD-13	R	24%	24%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	
Grooms, Ronald	SD-46	R	28%	28%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Head, Randall	SD-18	R	20%	20%	5	5	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	42	
Holdman, Travis	SD-19	R	31%	31%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	29	
Houchin, Erin	SD-47	R	43%	43%	5	5	-	8	3	х	x	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	9	13	
Koch, Eric	SD-44	R	47%	47%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	11	
Kruse, Dennis	SD-14	R	37%	37%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	9	19	
Lanane, Timothy	SD-25	D	26%	26%	-	-	10	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	9	36	
Leising, Jean	SD-42	R	41%	41%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	15	
Melton, Eddie	SD-03	D	19%	19%	-	-	10	-	3	-	3	x	х	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	43	
Merritt, James	SD-31	R	28%	28%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	30	
Messmer, Mark	SD-48	R	32%	32%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	23	
Mishler, Ryan	SD-09	R	33%	33%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	x	x	-	-	-	-	x	4	-	-	22	
Mrvan, Frank	SD-01	D	n/a	n/a	x	х	х	х	х	x	x	х	х	х	х	x	х	x	х	х	х		
Niemeyer, Rick	SD-06	R	52%	52%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	9	-	-	x	4	4	9	5	
Niezgodski, David	SD-10	D	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	49	
Perfect, Chip	SD-43	R	52%	52%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	6	9	-	-	-	4	4	-	5	
Raatz, Jeff	SD-27	R	32%	32%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	23	
Randolph, Lonnie	SD-02	D	17%	17%	-	-	10	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	44	
Rogers, Linda	SD-11	R	37%	37%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	9	19	

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana 2019 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life- score	HB1001-10	HB1001-20	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	HB1631-1	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0285	SB0582	Rank	
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	Ν	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Ν	Ν	N	N	N	Y	Ν	N		
POINTS					5	5	10	8	3	7	3	5	6	6	9	8	4	4	4	4	9		
Ruckelshaus, John	SD-30	R	37%	37%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	9	19	
Sandlin, Jack	SD-36	R	41%	41%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	9	15	
Spartz, Victoria	SD-20	R	50%	50%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	4	4	9	9	
Stoops, Mark	SD-40	D	14%	14%	-	-	10	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	47	
Tallian, Karen	SD-04	D	23%	23%	-	-	10	Х	3	-	3	-	-	Х	-	x	x	-	х	-	-	40	
Taylor, Greg	SD-33	D	17%	17%	-	-	10	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	44	
Tomes, James	SD-49	R	42%	42%	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	5	-	6	-	8	-	-	4	4	9	14	
Walker, Greg	SD-41	R	32%	32%	5	5	-	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	4	4	-	23	
Young, R. Michael	SD-35	R	63%	63%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	-	9	8	-	-	4	4	9	1	
Zay, Andy	SD-17	R	61%	61%	5	5	-	8	3	-	3	5	-	6	9	-	-	-	4	4	9	2	

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTION

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHO

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

HB 1001 AMDT 10 MINIMUM WAGE HIKE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment to the biennial budget would increase the state's mandated minimum wage to \$11.12 per hour, a 53% increase from the current \$7.25 minimum wage. The minimum wage is nothing more than a price control on labor. Increasing or expanding the minimum wage often has the opposite desired effect, leading to job losses and shortages. The Indiana Senate rejected this amendment, 10-39, on April 15, 2019.

HB 1001 AMDT 20 EQUAL PAY MANDATES (CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment to the biennial budget would make it unlawful to pay an employee a different rate based on race, sex, or national origin for an equivalent job. Causing more government interference, bureaucrats would adjudicate cases, thus hampering the private sector's ability to enter into voluntary contracts with employees. The Indiana Senate rejected this amendment, 13-36, on April 15, 2019.

HB 1001

FISCAL 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET (CFGF OPPOSES)

Spending for the 2020-2021 fiscal year was up \$2.3 billion over the prior biennial budget -- a large 7.1% increase. Spending increases should be limited - at most - to the rate of inflation plus population growth, which was just 3.5%. Thus, this budget increased spending by more than double, placing an unreasonable burden on taxpayers. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 41-8, on April 24, 2019. The Indiana House passed it, 67-31, on the same day.

HB 1003

SETTING SCHOOL EXPENDITURE TARGETS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill requires school corporations to specify in its budget the amount it intends to transfer from its education fund to the operations fund. If that amount exceeds 15%, it must be disclosed at its public budget hearings. This will help keep more funding in the classroom as opposed to the administrative bureaucracy. The Indiana House passed this bill, 67-28, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 34-14, on the same day.

HB 1094 EXTEND AMBULANCE SERVICE MEMBERSHIPS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill increases from one year to five years the maximum period permitted for membership in an ambulance service program for the program to be exempt from regulation as an insurance product. This is a smart, free market alternative to mandating ambulance coverage, which would force higher prices for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-1, on January 17, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 48-0, on March 19, 2019.

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

HB 1115

NEW TOURISM PROGRAM WITH EXPANDED AUTHORITY (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill turns the Office of Tourism Development into the new Indiana Destination Development Corporation (IDDC). This new entity will have expanded powers similar to the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC), in that it is a regulated corporation, rather than a governmental division, allowing it to receive and allocate moneys without legislative appropriation. As a corporation, according to the new Title 5, Article 33 in state code, the corporation can accept funds from both private and public sources and may contract with political subdivisions or private corporations. This is just cronyism under a different name. The Indiana House passed this bill, 91-0, on February 11, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 48-0, on April 2, 2019.

HB 1128

DEREGULATING CONSTRUCTION PERMITS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill states that local governments can not require completion of

one project under a prior permit before issuing another permit. It also forces local government agencies to issue certain permits not later than 12 business days after the person has filed a completed application and meets all required conditions. The Indiana House passed this bill, 87-7, on February 5, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 47-1, on April 2, 2019.

HB 1402 NEW AND EXPANDED LOCAL TAXES (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the innkeeper's tax and the food & beverage tax, and in some cases, creates these taxes in several counties in order to support convention centers and tourist attractions. This is a tax-and-spend scheme that gives government the expanded power to pick winners and losers in the private sector. This is not a core function of government. The Indiana House passed this bill, 70-19, on April 18, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 35-9, on the same day.

HB 1405

CORPORATE WELFARE FOR DATA CENTER (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides for a specific tax exemption for a particular data center project as long as the data center applies for an award certificate from the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC). This is simply a pork project disguised as "economic development". Lawmakers should not be granting favors to well-connected companies using tax dollars. The Indiana House passed this bill, 82-8, on April 18, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-0, on the same day.

HB 1628 EXPANDING GOVERNMENT-RUN SCHOOLING (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the pilot program for prekindergarten funding from 20 counties to all 92 counties in the state. While higher spending will certainly result (grants per eligible student are between \$2,500 and \$6,800 per year), the more troubling concern is that government is further encroaching on an industry that is best ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

left to the private sector. Government should be reducing its role in education, not increasing it. The Indiana House passed this bill, 78-11, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 40-8, on the same day.

HB 1631 AMDT 1

MANDATE PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment would impose a ban on pre-existing conditions exclusions on shortterm insurance plans. It also mandates that short-term insurance plans include coverages provided for in Obamacare. Coverage for preexisting conditions reduces healthcare freedom and forces everyone to pay higher prices. Government should not restrict choices through regulation for consumers who have unique healthcare needs. The Indiana Senate passed this amendment, 40-9, on April 15, 2019.

SB 7 NEW SPORTS STADIUM SUBSIDIES (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands a professional sports development area in Marion County by

spending tax dollars on a new governmentowned stadium for Indy Eleven, a professional soccer team. It also spends money on updating the facilities for the Indianapolis Pacers, a professional basketball team. Certain taxes that were scheduled to be lowered will be maintained - a tax increase - to finance this new spending. The Indiana House passed this bill, 79-13, on April 11, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-4, on April 23, 2019.

SB 41

MANDATE ON SCREENINGS FOR RARE DISORDERS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires newborns to be examined for the detection of Krabbe disease, Pompe disease, and Hurler syndrome. This will increase Medicaid spending in the state and further expand the government's role in healthcare. It could also lead to higher insurance premiums which will reduce healthcare freedom. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 40-0, on February 19, 2019. The Indiana House passed it, 97-0, on March 19, 2019.

SB 162 MANDATE ON CHRONIC PAIN

MANAGEMENT (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires state employee health plans, Medicaid, accident and sickness insurance policies, and health maintenance organization contracts to provide coverage for chronic pain management. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 94-2, on April 9, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 47-0, on April 17, 2019.

SB 233

BUSINESS PROPERTY TAX CUT (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill doubles the amount of business equipment value that is exempt from taxes - up to \$40,000 from \$20,000. This will help businesses expand their operations, create jobs, and innovate new products and services. The Indiana House passed this bill,

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

68-25, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 39-9, on the same day.

SB 285

TAX HIKES FOR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill gives counties the authority to impose an additional local income tax rate to fund the operations of a public transportation corporation. Government needs to live within its means rather than create new tax-and-spend schemes. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 28-21, on February 26, 2019. The bill died in the Indiana House.

SB 582

SIDESTEP CONSTITUTIONAL TAX LIMITS (CFGF OPPOSES)

Despite a court ruling to the contrary, this bill provides that, in a taxpayer's appeal of a property tax assessment, a taxpayer may not raise any claim related to the legality or constitutionality of any fee or tax imposed by a political subdivision other than a property tax. This allows local governments to raise taxes, disguised as such things as "stormwater fees", without facing any constitutional limits. This is a naked display of government abuse. The Indiana House passed this bill, 75-0, on April 22, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 27-22, on April 23, 2019.

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

> INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHO

> > INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana House Snapshot

67 Republicans

33 Democrats

O Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 39%

Average Democrat Score: 26%



HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN Rep. Sean Eberhart (HD-57) | 60%



LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICANS Rep. Bradford Barrett (HD-56) | 24% Rep. Chris May (HD-65) | 24%



HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT Rep. Chris Chyung (HD-15) | 47%



LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS Rep. Robin Shackleford (HD-98) | 13% FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTION

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Indiana 2019 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1001-23	HB1001-37	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0582	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Ν	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Ν	
POINTS					9	7	11	8	3	7	4	5	7	7	8	5	5	5	9	
Abbott, David	HD-082	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Austin, Terri Jo	HD-036	D	22%	22%	-	-	11	х	3	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	83
Aylesworth, Michael	HD-011	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Bacon, Ronald	HD-075	R	40%	40%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	23
Baird, Beau	HD-044	R	41%	41%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	x	х	-	-	-	-	5	-	20
Barrett, Bradford	HD-056	R	24%	24%	х	х	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	79
Bartels, Steve	HD-074	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Bartlett, John	HD-095	D	24%	24%	-	-	11	-	х	-	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	79
Bauer, B. Patrick	HD-006	D	26%	26%	-	-	11	-	х	-	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	х	75
Beck, Lisa	HD-019	D	33%	33%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	-	7	-	8	-	-	-	-	66
Behning, Robert	HD-091	R	41%	41%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	x	х	-	-	-	-	5	-	20
Borders, Bruce	HD-045	R	48%	48%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	5	-	7	-	-	-	5	-	7
Bosma, Brian	HD-088	R	n/a	n/a	9	7	-	8	x	x	x	x	х	x	х	-	х	х	х	
Boy, Patricia	HD-009	D	18%	18%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90
Brown, Timothy	HD-041	R	41%	41%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	20
Burton, Charles "Woody"	HD-058	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Campbell, Chris	HD-026	D	19%	19%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88
Carbaugh, Martin	HD-081	R	40%	40%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	23
Cherry, Robert	HD-053	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Chyung, Chris	HD-015	D	47%	47%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	5	7	-	8	-	-	5	х	10

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1001-23	HB1001-37	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0582	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					Ν	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Ν	N	N	N	N	Y	N	
POINTS					9	7	11	8	3	7	4	5	7	7	8	5	5	5	9	
Clere, Edward	HD-072	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Cook, Anthony	HD-032	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Davisson, Steven	HD-073	R	33%	33%	х	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	66
Deal, Ross	HD-007	D	14%	14%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94
DeLaney, Edward	HD-086	D	14%	14%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94
DeVon, Dale	HD-005	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Dvorak, Ryan	HD-008	D	39%	39%	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	5	7	х	8	-	-	5	-	28
Eberhart, Sean	HD-057	R	60%	60%	9	7	-	8	3	x	4	х	х	7	-	-	-	5	х	1
Ellington, Jeff	HD-062	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Engleman, Karen	HD-070	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Errington, Sue	HD-034	D	14%	14%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	94
Fleming, Rita	HD-071	D	29%	29%	-	-	11	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	72
Forestal, Dan	HD-100	D	n/a	n/a	-	-	x	x	3	-	x	x	х	х	х	-	x	х	х	
Frizzell, David	HD-093	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Frye, Randall	HD-067	R	34%	34%	9	7	-	x	3	-	4	х	х	х	-	-	-	х	-	65
GiaQuinta, Philip	HD-080	D	18%	18%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	90
Goodin, Terry	HD-066	D	42%	42%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	5	7	7	-	-	-	5	-	18
Goodrich, Chuck	HD-029	R	40%	40%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	23
Gutwein, Doug	HD-016	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Hamilton, Carey	HD-087	D	25%	25%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	77

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1001-23	HB1001-37	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0582	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Ν	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	
POINTS					9	7	11	8	3	7	4	5	7	7	8	5	5	5	9	
Harris, Earl	HD-002	D	15%	15%	-	-	11	-	x	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93
Hatcher, Ragen	HD-003	D	38%	38%	х	-	11	-	x	-	4	-	7	-	8	х	х	-	-	31
Hatfield, Ryan	HD-077	D	37%	37%	х	х	11	-	3	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	33
Heaton, Robert	HD-046	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Heine, Dave	HD-085	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Hostettler, Matt	HD-064	R	44%	44%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	5	-	16
Huston, Todd	HD-037	R	35%	35%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	х	-	-	-	х	-	62
Jackson, Carolyn	HD-001	D	21%	21%	-	-	11	-	х	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85
Jordan, Jack	HD-017	R	45%	45%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	х	14
Judy, Christopher	HD-083	R	46%	46%	9	7	-	8	3	х	4	-	-	7	-	-	-	5	-	12
Karickhoff, Michael	HD-030	R	42%	42%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	х	х	-	-	5	-	18
Kirchhofer, Cindy	HD-089	R	37%	37%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	х	-	-	-	х	33
Klinker, Sheila	HD-027	D	23%	23%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82
Lauer, Ryan	HD-059	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Lehe, Donald	HD-025	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Lehman, Matthew	HD-079	R	40%	40%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	23
Leonard, Daniel	HD-050	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Lindauer, Shane	HD-063	R	47%	47%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	7	-	-	-	5	х	10
Lucas, Jim	HD-069	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Lyness, Randy	HD-068	R	31%	31%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTION

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1001-23	HB1001-37	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0582	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Ν	N	Y	Ν	
POINTS					9	7	11	8	3	7	4	5	7	7	8	5	5	5	9	
Macer, Karlee	HD-092	D	30%	30%	-	-	11	-	3	х	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	71
Mahan, Kevin	HD-031	R	35%	35%	9	7	-	х	3	-	4	-	-	х	-	х	-	х	х	62
Manning, Ethan	HD-023	R	38%	38%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	х	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	31
May, Chris	HD-065	R	24%	24%	х	х	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	79
Mayfield, Peggy	HD-060	R	39%	39%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	х	-	-	-	5	-	28
McNamara, Wendy	HD-076	R	40%	40%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	23
Miller, Doug	HD-048	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Moed, Justin	HD-097	D	45%	45%	х	-	11	8	3	-	4	5	-	-	8	-	-	х	-	14
Morris, Robert	HD-084	R	52%	52%	9	7	-	8	3	х	4	5	-	7	-	-	-	5	-	5
Morrison, Alan	HD-042	R	51%	51%	9	7	-	8	3	х	4	-	-	7	-	-	-	5	х	6
Moseley, Charles "Chuck"	HD-010	D	19%	19%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88
Negele, Sharon	HD-013	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Nisly, Curt	HD-022	R	56%	56%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	5	-	7	8	-	-	5	-	2
Pfaff, Tonya	HD-043	D	25%	25%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	77
Pierce, Matt	HD-061	D	22%	22%	-	-	11	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	83
Porter, Gregory	HD-096	D	20%	20%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	х	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	87
Prescott, John	HD-033	R	56%	56%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	5	-	7	8	-	-	5	-	2
Pressel, Jim	HD-020	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Pryor, Cherrish	HD-094	D	17%	17%	-	-	11	-	х	-	4	-	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	92
Reardon, Mara	HD-012	D	27%	27%	-	-	11	-	3	х	4	х	х	х	х	-	-	-	-	74

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1001-23	HB1001-37	HB1001	HB1003	HB1094	HB1115	HB1128	HB1402	HB1405	HB1628	SB0007	SB0041	SB0162	SB0233	SB0582	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					Ν	N	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	
POINTS					9	7	11	8	3	7	4	5	7	7	8	5	5	5	9	
Saunders, Thomas	HD-054	R	33%	33%	9	7	-	8	3	x	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66
Schaibley, Donna	HD-024	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Shackleford, Robin	HD-098	D	13%	13%	-	-	11	-	x	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	х	97
Smaltz, Ben	HD-052	R	48%	48%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	5	х	7
Smith, Vernon	HD-014	D	n/a	n/a	х	-	х	x	x	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Soliday, Edmond	HD-004	R	33%	33%	9	7	-	8	3	-	х	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	66
Speedy, Mike	HD-090	R	29%	29%	х	х	-	8	3	х	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	х	72
Steuerwald, Gregory	HD-040	R	39%	39%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	х	-	-	5	-	28
Stutzman, Christy	HD-049	R	48%	48%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	5	-	7	-	-	-	5	-	7
Sullivan, Holli	HD-078	R	46%	46%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	х	х	-	-	-	-	5	х	12
Summers, Vanessa	HD-099	D	21%	21%	-	-	11	-	x	-	4	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	85
Thompson, Jeffrey	HD-028	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Torr, Gerald	HD-039	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
VanNatter, Heath	HD-038	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Wesco, Timothy	HD-021	R	53%	53%	9	7	-	8	X	-	4	5	-	-	8	-	5	5	-	4
Wolkins, David	HD-018	R	26%	26%	х	х	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	х	-	-	5	-	75
Wright, Melanie	HD-035	D	35%	35%	-	-	11	-	3	-	4	5	7	-	-	-	-	5	-	62
Young, John	HD-047	R	43%	43%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	7	-	-	-	5	-	17
Zent, Dennis	HD-051	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35
Ziemke, Cindy	HD-055	R	36%	36%	9	7	-	8	3	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	35

ABOUT THE OUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana 2019 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1001 AMDT 23 REAFFIRM OBAMACARE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment would preclude funds from being used by the state's Attorney General's office for the purpose of invalidating any portion of Obamacare. Supporting this amendment means supporting the highly-regulated, highlysubsidized health insurance industry. Instead, lawmakers should be advocating for less government intervention in industry, not more. The Indiana House rejected this amendment, 29-62, on February 21, 2019.

HB 1001 AMDT 37

STRIKE TAX CUTS AND INCREASE MINIMUM WAGE (CFGF OPPOSES)

Among other things, this amendment would get rid of the scheduled income tax cut and also increase the state's minimum wage from the current \$7.25 to \$8.50 an hour. By ending tax cuts and imposing a price control on labor, this amendment would stifle economic growth and destroy job creation. The Indiana House rejected this amendment, 32-63, on February 21, 2019.

HB 1001

FISCAL 2020-2021 STATE BUDGET (CFGF OPPOSES)

Spending for the 2020-2021 fiscal year was up \$2.3 billion over the prior biennial budget -- a large 7.1% increase. Spending increases should be limited - at most - to the rate of inflation plus population growth, which was just 3.5%. Thus, this budget increased spending by more than double, placing an unreasonable burden on taxpayers. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 41-8, on April 24, 2019. The Indiana House passed it, 67-31, on the same day.

HB 1003

SETTING SCHOOL EXPENDITURE TARGETS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill requires school corporations to specify

in its budget the amount it intends to transfer from its education fund to the operations fund. If that amount exceeds 15%, it must be disclosed at its public budget hearings. This will help keep more funding in the classroom as opposed to the administrative bureaucracy. The Indiana House passed this bill, 67-28, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 34-14, on the same day.

HB 1094 EXTEND AMBULANCE SERVICE MEMBERSHIPS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill increases from one year to five years the maximum period permitted for membership in an ambulance service program for the program to be exempt from regulation as an insurance product. This is a smart, free market alternative to mandating ambulance coverage, which would force higher prices for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-1, on January 17, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 48-0, on March 19, 2019.

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE ESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana 2019 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1115

NEW TOURISM PROGRAM WITH EXPANDED AUTHORITY (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill turns the Office of Tourism Development into the new Indiana Destination Development Corporation (IDDC). This new entity will have expanded powers similar to the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC), in that it is a regulated corporation, rather than a governmental division, allowing it to receive and allocate moneys without legislative appropriation. As a corporation, according to the new Title 5, Article 33 in state code, the corporation can accept funds from both private and public sources and may contract with political subdivisions or private corporations. This is just cronyism under a different name. The Indiana House passed this bill, 91-0, on February 11, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 48-0, on April 2, 2019.

HB 1128

DEREGULATING CONSTRUCTION PERMITS (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill states that local governments can not require completion of one project under

a prior permit before issuing another permit. It also forces local government agencies to issue certain permits not later than 12 business days after the person has filed a completed application and meets all required conditions. The Indiana House passed this bill, 87-7, on February 5, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 47-1, on April 2, 2019.

HB 1402 NEW AND EXPANDED LOCAL TAXES (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill increases the innkeeper's tax and the food & beverage tax, and in some cases, creates these taxes in several counties in order to support convention centers and tourist attractions. This is a tax-and-spend scheme that gives government the expanded power to pick winners and losers in the private sector. This is not a core function of government. The Indiana House passed this bill, 70-19, on April 18, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 35-9, on the same day.

HB 1405

CORPORATE WELFARE FOR DATA CENTER (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides for a specific tax exemption for

a particular data center project as long as the data center applies for an award certificate from the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC). This is simply a pork project disguised as "economic development". Lawmakers should not be granting favors to well-connected companies using tax dollars. The Indiana House passed this bill, 82-8, on April 18, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-0, on the same day.

HB 1628 EXPANDING GOVERNMENT-RUN SCHOOLING (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the pilot program for prekindergarten funding from 20 counties to all 92 counties in the state. While higher spending will certainly result (grants per eligible student are between \$2,500 and \$6,800 per year), the more troubling concern is that government is further encroaching on an industry that is best left to the private sector. Government should be reducing its role in education, not increasing it. The Indiana House passed this bill, 78-11, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 40-8, on the same day.

ABOUT THE

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE ESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

Indiana 2019 | House Vote Descriptions

SB 7

NEW SPORTS STADIUM SUBSIDIES (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands a professional sports development area in Marion County by spending tax dollars on a new government-owned stadium for Indy Eleven, a professional soccer team. It also spends money on updating the facilities for the Indianapolis Pacers, a professional basketball team. Certain taxes that were scheduled to be lowered will be maintained - a tax increase - to finance this new spending. The Indiana House passed this bill, 79-13, on April 11, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-4, on April 23, 2019.

SB 41

MANDATE ON SCREENINGS FOR RARE DISORDERS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires newborns to be examined for the detection of Krabbe disease, Pompe disease, and Hurler syndrome. This will increase Medicaid spending in the state and further expand the government's role in healthcare. It could also lead to higher insurance premiums which will reduce healthcare freedom. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 40-0, on February 19, 2019. The Indiana House passed it, 97-0, on March 19, 2019.

SB 162 MANDATE ON CHRONIC PAIN MANAGEMENT

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill requires state employee health plans, Medicaid, accident and sickness insurance policies, and health maintenance organization contracts to provide coverage for chronic pain management. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 94-2, on April 9, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 47-0, on April 17, 2019.

SB 233

BUSINESS PROPERTY TAX CUT (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill doubles the amount of business equipment value that is exempt from taxes - up to \$40,000 from \$20,000. This will

help businesses expand their operations, create jobs, and innovate new products and services. The Indiana House passed this bill, 68-25, on April 23, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 39-9, on the same day.

SB 582

SIDESTEP CONSTITUTIONAL TAX LIMITS (CFGF OPPOSES)

Despite a court ruling to the contrary, this bill provides that, in a taxpayer's appeal of a property tax assessment, a taxpayer may not raise any claim related to the legality or constitutionality of any fee or tax imposed by a political subdivision other than a property tax. This allows local governments to raise taxes, disguised as such things as "stormwater fees", without facing any constitutional limits. This is a naked display of government abuse. The Indiana House passed this bill, 75-0, on April 22, 2019. The Indiana Senate passed it, 27-22, on April 23, 2019.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2019 INDIANA

> INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

> > INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE ESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

> INDIANA HOUSE VOTES