

**THE CLUB FOR**  
**GROWTH**  
FOUNDATION

2020

# Indiana State Economic Scorecard

See how Members of Indiana's Legislature  
are voting on economic growth issues.



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. It is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

# Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION  
EDUCATES THE  
PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-  
GROWTH POLICIES.

THE FOUNDATION  
CONDUCTS  
COMPREHENSIVE  
EXAMINATIONS OF  
VOTING RECORDS.

THE FOUNDATION'S  
GOAL IS TO INFORM  
THE PUBLIC AND  
BRING AWARENESS  
TO LAWMAKERS.

METHODOLOGY  
2020  
INDIANA

INDIANA  
SENATE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS

# Methodology | Indiana 2020

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Indiana’s elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker’s record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

**The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club’s immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:**

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examined votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED IN INDIANA IN 2020

The Foundation’s study examined 723 floor votes and, in the end, included 15 Indiana House votes and 16 Indiana Senate votes.

### COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted – signified as an “X” on the scorecard – though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker’s position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an “I”.

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0%

scores are, by default, ranked #100 in the House and #50 in the Senate. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a “Lifetime Score” for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2020 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases “n.a.” for “not applicable” appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with “n.a.” listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without “n.a.” years may be misleading.

### ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Indiana House and Indiana Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker’s work in committee, advocacy in his party’s caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating pro-growth policies.

“Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity.”

**- DAVID McINTOSH**  
PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

ABOUT THE  
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY  
2020  
INDIANA

INDIANA  
SENATE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS



# Indiana Senate Snapshot

40 Republicans

10 Democrats

0 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 52%

Average Democrat Score: 41%



### HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Sen. Victoria Spartz (SD-20) | 85%



### LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Sen. Michael Crider (SD-28) | 38%



### HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Sen. Eddie Melton (SD-03) | 54%



### LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Sen. J.D. Ford (SD-29) | 33%

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

# Indiana 2020 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-score	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0199	SB0239	SB0255	SB0262	SB0337-1	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	
POINTS					12	7	6	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	11	8	6	
Alting, Ronnie	SD-22	R	43%	35%	12	-	6	4	-	X	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	38
Bassler, Eric	SD-39	R	52%	52%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Becker, Vaneta	SD-50	R	42%	36%	12	-	X	4	-	-	X	X	X	5	-	6	-	-	X	X	40
Bohacek, Mike	SD-08	R	41%	32%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	41
Boots, Philip	SD-23	R	71%	49%	12	-	-	4	5	-	-	5	5	5	5	6	5	11	8	-	3
Bray, Rodric	SD-37	R	46%	39%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Breaux, Jean	SD-34	D	38%	26%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	-	-	-	-	46
Brown, Elizabeth "Liz"	SD-15	R	51%	53%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	5	11	8	-	19
Buchanan, Brian	SD-07	R	46%	37%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Buck, James	SD-21	R	52%	42%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Busch, Justin	SD-16	R	46%	48%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Charbonneau, Ed	SD-05	R	46%	37%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Crane, John	SD-24	R	59%	56%	12	7	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	8
Crider, Michael	SD-28	R	38%	32%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	-	-	46
Donato, Stacey	SD-18	R	59%	59%	12	7	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	8
Doriot, Blake	SD-12	R	46%	44%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Ford, J.D.	SD-29	D	33%	25%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	-	-	50
Ford, Jon	SD-38	R	41%	35%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	8	6	41
Freeman, Aaron	SD-32	R	68%	54%	12	7	6	4	-	X	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	4
Garten, Chris	SD-45	R	46%	46%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	X	8	6	23

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

# Indiana 2020 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-score	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0199	SB0239	SB0255	SB0262	SB0337-1	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					12	7	6	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	11	8	6	
Gaskill, Mike	SD-26	R	80%	71%	12	7	6	4	5	-	-	5	-	5	5	6	-	11	8	6	2
Glick, Susan	SD-13	R	46%	35%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Grooms, Ronald	SD-46	R	46%	37%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Holdman, Travis	SD-19	R	48%	40%	12	-	-	4	-	X	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	20
Houchin, Erin	SD-47	R	53%	48%	12	7	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	12
Koch, Eric	SD-44	R	52%	50%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Kruse, Dennis	SD-14	R	46%	42%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Lanane, Timothy	SD-25	D	35%	31%	12	-	6	X	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	-	-	-	-	49
Leising, Jean	SD-42	R	52%	47%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Melton, Eddie	SD-03	D	54%	37%	12	-	6	4	-	X	5	-	5	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	11
Merritt, James	SD-31	R	46%	37%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Messmer, Mark	SD-48	R	46%	39%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Mishler, Ryan	SD-09	R	48%	41%	12	-	-	4	-	X	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	20
Mrvan, Frank	SD-01	D	36%	36%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	X	-	48
Niemeyer, Rick	SD-06	R	46%	49%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Niezgodski, David	SD-10	D	41%	24%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	41
Perfect, Chip	SD-43	R	52%	52%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Raatz, Jeff	SD-27	R	46%	39%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23
Randolph, Lonnie	SD-02	D	43%	30%	12	-	6	4	-	-	5	-	5	5	-	6	-	-	-	-	38
Rogers, Linda	SD-11	R	46%	42%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	23

# Indiana 2020 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-score	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0199	SB0239	SB0255	SB0262	SB0337-1	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					12	7	6	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	11	8	6	
Ruckelshaus, John	SD-30	R	41%	39%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	41
Sandlin, Jack	SD-36	R	52%	47%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	13
Spartz, Victoria	SD-20	R	85%	68%	12	7	6	4	5	-	-	5	5	5	5	6	-	11	8	6	1
Stoops, Mark	SD-40	D	48%	30%	12	-	6	4	X	-	5	-	5	5	X	6	-	-	-	-	20
Tallian, Karen	SD-04	D	39%	32%	12	-	6	4	-	X	-	-	5	X	-	6	X	-	-	-	45
Taylor, Greg	SD-33	D	46%	32%	12	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	-	-	8	-	23
Tomes, James	SD-49	R	64%	53%	12	7	6	4	5	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	5
Walker, Greg	SD-41	R	64%	48%	12	7	-	4	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	5
Young, R. Michael	SD-35	R	64%	64%	12	7	6	4	5	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	-	11	8	-	5
Zay, Andy	SD-17	R	57%	59%	12	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	-	11	8	6	10

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



# Indiana 2020 | Senate Vote Descriptions

## HB 1008

### PRO-GROWTH OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REFORMS

#### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill requires certain state licensure boards to issue licenses to individuals who are already licensed in another state, have established residency in Indiana, and have met similar testing requirements. This makes it easier for people to conduct business in Indiana. The Indiana House passed this bill, 85-6, on January 30, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 50-0, on March 3, 2020.

## HB 1052

### EXTEND TAX IN PULASKI COUNTY

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

At the beginning of 2020, Pulaski County had an income tax rate of 3.38%. Under current law, 0.3% of that tax was set to expire at the end of 2020, bringing the tax rate down to 3.08%. This anti-growth bill would extend that 0.3% for another 15 years. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-4, on January 30, 2020. The Indiana

Senate passed it, 41-9, on March 3, 2020.

## HB 1065

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT WELFARE

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill gives local redevelopment commissions the ability to borrow more debt for residential projects, removes spending caps on workforce education grants, and expands so-called “Certified Technology Park” financing. This is simply corporate welfare where government picks winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 52-40, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 31-18, on the same day.

## HB 1067

### DEREGULATION FOR DENTAL HYGIENISTS

#### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This common sense bill reduces regulations on dental hygienists by allowing them to administer certain anesthetics without a dentist. This helps expand medical freedom and lower costs to the consumer. The Indiana Senate passed this bill,

49-0, on February 20, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 85-0, on March 4, 2020.

## HB 1080

### MANDATE FOR CANCER TESTING

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the mandate that health insurance covers colorectal cancer testing by lowering the eligibility age to 45 from 50. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 44-5, on February 18, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 89-3, on March 4, 2020.

## HB 1112

### EXPANSION OF CORPORATE WELFARE

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill makes a change to current law allowing increases in wages to count toward evidence of skills enhancement for employees for the purposes of grant-giving administered by

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



## Indiana 2020 | Senate Vote Descriptions

the IEDC (Indiana Economic Development Corporation). This will result in higher spending for corporate welfare, which is not a core function of government. The Indiana House passed this bill, 93-0, on February 3, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-0, on February 25, 2020.

### HB 1279

#### EXPANSION OF CORPORATE WELFARE

**(CFGF OPPOSES)**

This bill allows for the creation of new not-for-profit entities to carry out or further the plans of the NWIRDA (Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority), which is simply a new corporate welfare scheme that allows government to pick winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 96-0, on January 28, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 46-3, on March 11, 2020.

### HB 1372

#### MANDATE FOR AUTOIMMUNE DISORDER SCREENING

**(CFGF OPPOSES)**

This bill mandates insurers, government health plans, and HMOs to cover treatment for PANDAS

(pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections). When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-3, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 45-5, on the same day.

### HB 1419

#### EXPANSION OF IEDC'S POWER

**(CFGF OPPOSES)**

This bill gives the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC) a seat on the State Board of Education. IEDC is responsible for growing corporate welfare in the state and expanding business dependency on government. This bill simply expands IEDC's power by giving them some authority over government-run schools. The legislature should be reducing the IEDC's power, not expanding it. The Indiana House passed this bill, 91-3, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 39-10, on the same day.

### SB 199

#### PROTECTION FROM LITIGIOUS BUREAUCRATS

**(CFGF SUPPORTS)**

This bill provides that attorney's fees may be awarded to a person against a governmental entity in a case where a court finds that the claim brought by the governmental entity was frivolous, unreasonable, or groundless. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 49-0, on February 4, 2020. The bill died in the Indiana House.

### SB 239

#### MANDATE FOR BREAST PROSTHESES

**(CFGF OPPOSES)**

This bill states that a state employee health plan providing coverage for a mastectomy must also provide coverage for custom fabricated breast prostheses. This mandate results in higher government spending and creates an incentive to grow the government bureaucracy through more lucrative benefits. The Indiana House passed this bill, 95-0, on February 25, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 46-3, on March 4, 2020.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## Indiana 2020 | Senate Vote Descriptions

### SB 255

#### NO PRESCRIPTION FOR INSULIN

##### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill states that a prescription is no longer required to purchase insulin. This is a strong, pro-growth move that will expand healthcare freedom by giving people greater and easier access to insulin. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 50-0, on January 28, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 88-0, on February 20, 2020.

### SB 262

#### NEW FILM AND MEDIA SUBSIDIES

##### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill empowers the newly established Indiana Destination Development Corporation (IDDC) to employ a film commissioner and provide incentive funding for the production of films in Indiana. This grows government by more bureaucracy, more spending, and more corporate welfare. It is not a core function of government. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 47-2, on February 4, 2020. The bill died in the Indiana House.

### SB 337 AMDT 1

#### MANDATE FOR PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS

##### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment to the bill requires coverage for pre-existing conditions for short-term healthcare plans. Coverage for preexisting conditions reduces healthcare freedom and forces everyone to pay higher prices. Government should not restrict choices through regulation for consumers who have unique healthcare needs. The Indiana Senate rejected this amendment, 15-34, on February 3, 2020.

### SB 340

#### NEW PROTECTIONS ON EMINENT DOMAIN

##### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill requires the summons accompanying a complaint for condemnation to include language regarding the defendant's right to object to the condemnation within 30 days. It also requires a court to award reasonable costs and attorney's fees to a defendant whose objection to a complaint for condemnation is sustained. The Indiana House passed this bill, 94-0, on March 10, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 41-7, on the same day.

### SB 350

#### NEW CORPORATE WELFARE SCHEME

##### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill authorizes counties and municipalities within the Indianapolis metropolitan area to establish a central Indiana regional development authority, which would effectively be a corporate welfare scheme allowing government to pick winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 80-0, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 34-15, on the same day.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



# Indiana House Snapshot

67 Republicans

33 Democrats

0 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 53%


Average Democrat Score: 38%



## HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Rep. Curt Nisly (HD-22) | **64%**

Rep. Steven Davisson (HD-73) | **64%**



## LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICANS

Rep. David Wolkins (HD-18) | **35%**



## HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Rep. Matt Pierce (HD-61) | **49%**



## LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Rep. Charles Moseley (HD-10) | **19%**

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

# Indiana 2020 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1003-1	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1115-1	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0239	SB0255	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					11	13	6	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	6	9	6	
Abbott, David	HD-082	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Austin, Terri Jo	HD-036	D	41%	32%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	68
Aylesworth, Michael	HD-011	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Bacon, Ronald	HD-075	R	58%	49%	11	13	-	X	5	-	-	8	-	X	-	-	6	9	-	6
Baird, Beau	HD-044	R	58%	50%	11	13	-	-	5	-	X	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	6
Barrett, Bradford	HD-056	R	52%	39%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Bartels, Steve	HD-074	R	42%	39%	11	X	-	-	5	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	67
Bartlett, John	HD-095	D	33%	28%	-	X	X	6	X	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	6	9	-	92
Bauer, B. Patrick	HD-006	D	32%	29%	-	13	-	X	5	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	6	X	-	93
Beck, Lisa	HD-019	D	34%	34%	-	-	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	91
Behning, Robert	HD-091	R	49%	45%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Borders, Bruce	HD-045	R	58%	53%	11	13	6	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Bosma, Brian	HD-088	R	n/a	n/a	X	13	X	-	X	-	X	X	X	X	-	-	X	9	X	
Boy, Patricia	HD-009	D	41%	29%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	68
Brown, Timothy	HD-041	R	52%	47%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Burton, Charles "Woody"	HD-058	R	49%	42%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Campbell, Chris	HD-026	D	26%	23%	-	-	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	95
Carbaugh, Martin	HD-081	R	55%	48%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	19
Cherry, Robert	HD-053	R	49%	42%	11	13	-	-	X	X	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Chyung, Chris	HD-015	D	39%	43%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

# Indiana 2020 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1003-1	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1115-1	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0239	SB0255	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					11	13	6	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	6	9	6	
Clere, Edward	HD-072	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Cook, Anthony	HD-032	R	58%	47%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Davisson, Steven	HD-073	R	64%	46%	11	13	-	X	X	-	X	8	-	X	X	-	6	X	X	1
Deal, Ross	HD-007	D	26%	20%	-	-	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	95
DeLaney, Edward	HD-086	D	44%	29%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	6	9	-	63
DeVon, Dale	HD-005	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Dvorak, Ryan	HD-008	D	41%	40%	-	-	6	6	5	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	X	9	X	68
Eberhart, Sean	HD-057	R	58%	59%	11	13	X	-	5	-	X	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Ellington, Jeff	HD-062	R	46%	41%	X	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	60
Engleman, Karen	HD-070	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Errington, Sue	HD-034	D	39%	27%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Fleming, Rita	HD-071	D	44%	36%	X	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	63
Forestal, Dan	HD-100	D	n/a	n/a	-	X	X	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	X	X	6	X	X	
Frye, Randall	HD-067	R	58%	48%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
GiaQuinta, Philip	HD-080	D	39%	29%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Goodin, Terry	HD-066	D	44%	43%	X	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	63
Goodrich, Chuck	HD-029	R	52%	46%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Gutwein, Doug	HD-016	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Hamilton, Carey	HD-087	D	39%	32%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Harris, Earl	HD-002	D	39%	27%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75

# Indiana 2020 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1003-1	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1115-1	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0239	SB0255	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					11	13	6	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	6	9	6	
Hatcher, Ragen	HD-003	D	35%	37%	-	X	-	6	5	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	6	9	-	89
Hatfield, Ryan	HD-077	D	44%	41%	-	13	6	6	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	63
Heaton, Robert	HD-046	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Heine, Dave	HD-085	R	n/a	36%	11	13	-	X	X	X	X	8	-	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Hostettler, Matt	HD-064	R	52%	48%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Huston, Todd	HD-037	R	41%	38%	11	X	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	68
Jackson, Carolyn	HD-001	D	36%	28%	-	13	-	6	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	87
Jordan, Jack	HD-017	R	57%	51%	11	13	-	-	5	5	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	17
Judy, Christopher	HD-083	R	55%	51%	11	13	-	-	5	X	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	19
Karickhoff, Michael	HD-030	R	49%	46%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Kirchhofer, Cindy	HD-089	R	60%	48%	11	13	-	X	X	-	-	8	-	X	-	-	6	9	X	4
Klinker, Sheila	HD-027	D	39%	31%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Lauer, Ryan	HD-059	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	<b>27</b>
Lehe, Donald	HD-025	R	49%	42%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Lehman, Matthew	HD-079	R	52%	46%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	X	27
Leonard, Daniel	HD-050	R	49%	43%	11	13	-	-	X	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	52
Lindauer, Shane	HD-063	R	57%	52%	11	13	-	-	5	5	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	17
Lucas, Jim	HD-069	R	46%	41%	X	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	60
Lyness, Randy	HD-068	R	58%	45%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Macer, Karlee	HD-092	D	38%	34%	-	X	-	6	5	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	6	9	X	86

# Indiana 2020 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1003-1	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1115-1	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0239	SB0255	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					11	13	6	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	6	9	6	
Manning, Ethan	HD-023	R	55%	47%	11	13	X	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	19
May, Chris	HD-065	R	59%	42%	11	13	-	6	X	X	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	5
Mayfield, Peggy	HD-060	R	52%	46%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
McNamara, Wendy	HD-076	R	58%	49%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Miller, Doug	HD-048	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Moed, Justin	HD-097	D	36%	40%	-	13	-	6	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	87
Morris, Robert	HD-084	R	55%	53%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	19
Morrison, Alan	HD-042	R	58%	55%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	X	-	-	-	6	9	X	6
Moseley, Charles "Chuck"	HD-010	D	19%	19%	-	-	X	X	5	-	X	-	-	X	X	-	6	X	X	97
Negele, Sharon	HD-013	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Nisly, Curt	HD-022	R	64%	60%	11	13	6	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	1
Pfaff, Tonya	HD-043	D	41%	34%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	68
Pierce, Matt	HD-061	D	49%	36%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	9	-	<b>52</b>
Porter, Gregory	HD-096	D	39%	30%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Prescott, John	HD-033	R	52%	54%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Pressel, Jim	HD-020	R	55%	45%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	19
Pryor, Cherrish	HD-094	D	39%	28%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Reardon, Mara	HD-012	D	41%	35%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	6	9	-	68
Saunders, Thomas	HD-054	R	52%	43%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Schaibley, Donna	HD-024	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27



# Indiana 2020 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifescore	HB1003-1	HB1008	HB1052	HB1065	HB1067	HB1080	HB1112	HB1115-1	HB1279	HB1372	HB1419	SB0239	SB0255	SB0340	SB0350	Rank
<b>PRO-GROWTH POSITION</b>					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	
<b>POINTS</b>					11	13	6	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	6	9	6	
Shackleford, Robin	HD-098	D	39%	27%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Sherman, Dollyne	HD-093	R	52%	52%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Smaltz, Ben	HD-052	R	54%	51%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	X	-	6	X	X	25
Smith, Vernon	HD-014	D	39%	39%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Soliday, Edmond	HD-004	R	49%	41%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	X	9	-	52
Speedy, Mike	HD-090	R	53%	41%	X	13	-	-	X	X	-	8	-	-	-	X	6	9	X	26
Steuerwald, Gregory	HD-040	R	55%	47%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	19
Stutzman, Christy	HD-049	R	41%	45%	11	X	X	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	68
Sullivan, Holli	HD-078	R	52%	49%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Summers, Vanessa	HD-099	D	27%	24%	-	-	-	6	X	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	6	9	-	94
Thompson, Jeffrey	HD-028	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Torr, Gerald	HD-039	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
VanNatter, Heath	HD-038	R	58%	47%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	<b>6</b>
Vermilion, Ann	HD-031	R	58%	58%	11	13	-	6	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	6
Wesco, Timothy	HD-021	R	46%	50%	11	X	X	-	5	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	6	9	X	60
Wolkins, David	HD-018	R	35%	30%	X	X	-	X	5	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	6	9	-	89
Wright, Melanie	HD-035	D	39%	37%	-	13	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	75
Young, John	HD-047	R	63%	53%	11	13	-	6	5	5	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	3
Zent, Dennis	HD-051	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27
Ziemke, Cindy	HD-055	R	52%	44%	11	13	-	-	5	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	6	9	-	27

METHODOLOGY  
2020  
INDIANA

INDIANA  
SENATE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
SENATE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
SNAPSHOT

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTES

INDIANA  
HOUSE  
VOTE  
DESCRIPTIONS

# Indiana 2020 | House Vote Descriptions

## HB 1003 AMDT 1

### GIVE UNIONS VETO POWER

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

The amendment would effectively give veto power to a district's teacher's union representative in matters related to the accreditation of non-public schools. This action would discourage the formation of competitive education alternatives that are needed to promote better educational outcomes for children. The Indiana House rejected this amendment, 31-62, on January 27, 2020.

## HB 1008

### PRO-GROWTH OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REFORMS

#### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This pro-growth bill requires certain state licensure boards to issue licenses to individuals who are already licensed in another state, have established residency in Indiana, and have met similar testing requirements. This makes it easier for people to conduct business in

Indiana. The Indiana House passed this bill, 85-6, on January 30, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 50-0, on March 3, 2020.

## HB 1052

### EXTEND TAX IN PULASKI COUNTY

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

At the beginning of 2020, Pulaski County had an income tax rate of 3.38%. Under current law, 0.3% of that tax was set to expire at the end of 2020, bringing the tax rate down to 3.08%. This anti-growth bill would extend that 0.3% for another 15 years. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-4, on January 30, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 41-9, on March 3, 2020.

## HB 1065

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT WELFARE

#### (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill gives local redevelopment commissions the ability to borrow more debt for residential projects, removes spending caps on workforce

education grants, and expands so-called "Certified Technology Park" financing. This is simply corporate welfare where government picks winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 52-40, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 31-18, on the same day.

## HB 1067

### DEREGULATION FOR DENTAL HYGIENISTS

#### (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This common sense bill reduces regulations on dental hygienists by allowing them to administer certain anesthetics without a dentist. This helps expand medical freedom and lower costs to the consumer. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 49-0, on February 20, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 85-0, on March 4, 2020.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



## Indiana 2020 | House Vote Descriptions

### HB 1080

#### MANDATE FOR CANCER TESTING

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the mandate that health insurance covers colorectal cancer testing by lowering the eligibility age to 45 from 50. When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 44-5, on February 18, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 89-3, on March 4, 2020.

### HB 1112

#### EXPANSION OF CORPORATE WELFARE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill makes a change to current law allowing increases in wages to count toward evidence of skills enhancement for employees for the purposes of grant-giving administered by the IEDC (Indiana Economic Development Corporation). This will result in higher spending for corporate welfare, which is not a core function of government. The Indiana House

passed this bill, 93-0, on February 3, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 44-0, on February 25, 2020.

### HB 1115 AMDT 1

#### BREAKING NON-COMPETE AGREEMENTS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This amendment would use the force of government to break voluntary non-compete agreements between home health aides and their employers. It would also require employers to disclose patient information to these health aides and vice versa. Lawmakers should respect private contracts and not use their position of power to favor certain parties who no longer want to live up to their obligations. The Indiana House rejected this amendment, 28-63, on January 30, 2020.

### HB 1279

#### EXPANSION OF CORPORATE WELFARE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill allows for the creation of new not-for-profit entities to carry out or further the plans of the NWIRDA (Northwest Indiana Regional Development Authority), which is simply a

new corporate welfare scheme that allows government to pick winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 96-0, on January 28, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 46-3, on March 11, 2020.

### HB 1372

#### MANDATE FOR AUTOIMMUNE DISORDER SCREENING

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill mandates insurers, government health plans, and HMOs to cover treatment for PANDAS (pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections). When lawmakers mandate insurance coverage for various medical conditions or services, it may help a certain portion of the population, but it reduces overall healthcare freedom and drives up the price for everyone. The Indiana House passed this bill, 88-3, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 45-5, on the same day.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

## Indiana 2020 | House Vote Descriptions

### HB 1419

#### EXPANSION OF IEDC'S POWER

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill gives the Indiana Economic Development Corporation (IEDC) a seat on the State Board of Education. IEDC is responsible for growing corporate welfare in the state and expanding business dependency on government. This bill simply expands IEDC's power by giving them some authority over government-run schools. The legislature should be reducing the IEDC's power, not expanding it. The Indiana House passed this bill, 91-3, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 39-10, on the same day.

### SB 239

#### MANDATE FOR BREAST PROSTHESES

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill states that a state employee health plan providing coverage for a mastectomy must also provide coverage for custom fabricated breast prostheses. This mandate results in higher government spending and creates an incentive to grow the government bureaucracy through more lucrative benefits. The Indiana

House passed this bill, 95-0, on February 25, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 46-3, on March 4, 2020.

### SB 255

#### NO PRESCRIPTION FOR INSULIN

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill states that a prescription is no longer required to purchase insulin. This is a strong, pro-growth move that will expand healthcare freedom by giving people greater and easier access to insulin. The Indiana Senate passed this bill, 50-0, on January 28, 2020. The Indiana House passed it, 88-0, on February 20, 2020.

### SB 340

#### NEW PROTECTIONS ON EMINENT DOMAIN

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill requires the summons accompanying a complaint for condemnation to include language regarding the defendant's right to object to the condemnation within 30 days. It also requires a court to award reasonable costs and attorney's fees to a defendant whose objection to a complaint for condemnation is

sustained. The Indiana House passed this bill, 94-0, on March 10, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 41-7, on the same day.

### SB 350

#### NEW CORPORATE WELFARE SCHEME

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill authorizes counties and municipalities within the Indianapolis metropolitan area to establish a central Indiana regional development authority, which would effectively be a corporate welfare scheme allowing government to pick winners and losers in the marketplace. The Indiana House passed this bill, 80-0, on March 11, 2020. The Indiana Senate passed it, 34-15, on the same day.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY 2020 INDIANA

INDIANA SENATE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA SENATE VOTES

INDIANA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

INDIANA HOUSE SNAPSHOT

INDIANA HOUSE VOTES

INDIANA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

