



2025

New Hampshire State Economic Scorecard

See how Members of the New Hampshire General Court are voting on economic growth issues.



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. This is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION
EDUCATES THE
PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-
GROWTH POLICIES.

THE FOUNDATION
CONDUCTS
COMPREHENSIVE
EXAMINATIONS OF
VOTING RECORDS.

THE FOUNDATION'S
GOAL IS TO INFORM
THE PUBLIC AND
BRING AWARENESS
TO LAWMAKERS.

Methodology | New Hampshire 2025

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of New Hampshire’s elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker’s record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club’s immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limiting government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing Term Limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examines votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED IN NEW HAMPSHIRE IN 2025

The Foundation’s study examined over 550 floor votes and, in the end, included 18 New Hampshire House votes and 10 New Hampshire Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted – signified as an “X” on the scorecard – though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker’s position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an “I”.

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0%

scores are, by default, ranked #400 in the House and #24 in the Senate if all lawmakers are present. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a “Lifetime Score” for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2025 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases, “n.a.” for “not applicable” appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with “n.a.” listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without “n.a.” years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the New Hampshire House and New Hampshire Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker’s work in committee, advocacy in his party’s caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating pro-growth policies.

“Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity.”

- DAVID McINTOSH
PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS



24 MEMBERS

New Hampshire Senate Snapshot

16 Republicans

8 Democrats

0 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 75%

Average Democrat Score: 9%

HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Kevin Avard (SD-12) | 90%

LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICANS

Sharon Carson (SD-14) | 68%

Mark McConkey (SD-3) | 68%

Tim McGough (SD-11) | 68%

Keith Murphy (SD-16) | 68%

Howard Pearl (SD-17) | 68%

Victoria Sullivan (SD-18) | 68%

HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Debra Altschiller (SD-24) | 18%

LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Donovan Fenton (SD-10) | 8%

Patrick Long (SD-20) | 8%

Rebecca Perkins Kwoka (SD-21) | 8%

Suzanne Prentiss (SD-5) | 8%

Tara Reardon (SD-15) | 8%

Cindy Rosenwald (SD-13) | 8%

David Watters (SD-4) | 8%

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB1	HB2	SB20	SB84	SB105	SB113	SB134	SB176	SB203	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					8	8	6	10	8	8	15	12	10	15	
Abbas, Daryl	SD-22	R	78%	79%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Altschiller, Debra	SD-24	D	18%	7%	8	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
Avard, Kevin	SD-12	R	90%	76%	-	8	X	10	X	X	15	12	10	15	1
Birdsell, Regina	SD-19	R	78%	68%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Carson, Sharon	SD-14	R	68%	64%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
Fenton, Donovan	SD-10	D	8%	5%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Gannon, William	SD-23	R	78%	75%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Gray, James	SD-06	R	78%	70%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Innis, Dan	SD-07	R	71%	74%	-	8	-	X	8	-	X	12	10	15	10
Lang Sr., Timothy	SD-02	R	78%	79%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Long, Patrick	SD-20	D	8%	4%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
McConkey, Mark	SD-03	R	68%	86%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
McGough, Tim	SD-11	R	68%	86%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
Murphy, Keith	SD-16	R	68%	76%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
Pearl, Howard	SD-17	R	68%	79%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
Perkins Kwoka, Rebecca	SD-21	D	8%	8%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Prentiss, Suzanne	SD-05	D	8%	8%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Reardon, Tara	SD-15	D	8%	8%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
Ricciardi, Denise	SD-09	R	78%	66%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Rocheftort, David	SD-01	R	78%	87%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Rosenwald, Cindy	SD-13	D	8%	6%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB1	HB2	SB20	SB84	SB105	SB113	SB134	SB176	SB203	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					8	8	6	10	8	8	15	12	10	15	
Sullivan, Victoria	SD-18	R	68%	68%	-	8	-	-	8	-	15	12	10	15	11
Ward, Ruth	SD-08	R	78%	69%	-	8	-	10	8	-	15	12	10	15	2
Watters, David	SD-04	D	8%	3%	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 1 MISSED OPPORTUNITY BUDGET AGREEMENT (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates \$15.9 billion in total spending for the FY2026-27 biennium. This is a 4.6 percent increase from the previous biennium. Specifically, the legislation includes nearly \$42 million in increased pension spending over the biennium, reinstates a \$50 million cut to Medicaid reimbursement payments, restores previously eliminated government agencies like the Housing Appeals Board, implements a new business tax credit to fund government art programs, and adopts multiple new Medicaid service expansions. While the budget funds the universal ESA program and reduces some spending in higher education, the overall spending direction is a missed opportunity. Lawmakers should alleviate pressure on overburdened families and taxpayers amid persistent inflation and high costs by reducing the spending baseline. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 185-180,

on June 26, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on the same day.

HB 2 DECENT POLICY REFORM PACKAGE (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements policy changes to New Hampshire’s programmatic spending as part of the budget trailer process. Specifically, the legislation implements the universal ESA program for K-12 students, requires monthly premium payments for Medicaid recipients, reinstitutes pre-COVID income verification measures in Medicaid, directs the state to seek a waiver to impose work requirements in Medicaid, terminates the Medicaid to schools program, eliminates annual vehicle inspections, and prohibits government schools, agencies, imposes new constraints on state environmental reviews, and subdivisions from promoting or practicing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives and programs. While the bill increases numerous state fees and

creates an absurd new taxpayer-funded office for union affairs, the overall policy direction lays the foundation for reforming cost-driving government programs. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 184-183, on June 26, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on the same day.

SB 20 INCREASED PENSION SPENDING (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements new pension payment requirements. Specifically, the legislation requires the state to pay 7.5 percent of contributions for retirement system employers other than Group I teachers and Group II members beginning in FY2026. Estimates suggest that the bill will increase general fund expenditures by more than \$85 million over the next three years. At a minimum, lawmakers should pair massive pension increases with commensurate spending reductions rather than foisting ever-greater fiscal burdens on hardworking families. The

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 23-0, on January 30, 2025. The New Hampshire House did not take it up.

SB 84
CENTRALLY-PLANNED PROPERTY RESTRICTIONS
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes new statewide restrictions on residential property. Specifically, the legislation sets maximum lot sizes for single-family residential homes based on municipal sewer and water infrastructure. While the overall fiscal impact on municipalities is indeterminable, such central planning will inevitably lead to exorbitant taxpayer costs due to local zoning changes, the assessment of infrastructure impacts, and the gathering of information to challenge lot sizes on a case-by-case basis. This is the worst form of government interference, restricting property ownership based on arbitrary metrics while increasing local costs for every New Hampshire resident. The New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 13-10, on March 20, 2025. The New Hampshire House did not take it up.

SB 105
CAPPING MUNICIPAL SPENDING
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements municipal spending constraints. Specifically, the legislation caps municipal spending across New Hampshire using a per-resident expenditure formula based on population, adjusted for inflation. Further, the bill requires a supermajority of the municipal body to override the appropriations cap and empowers voters, through a ballot initiative, to both adopt and rescind these spending caps. Such measures are critical to ensuring that local governments do not add to the burden on hardworking families living with persistent inflation and high costs stemming from profligate state and federal policies. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 193-168, on May 8, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 15-8, on May 15, 2025.

SB 113
EXPANDED GOVERNMENT HOUSING PROGRAMS
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill supercharges state housing programs.

Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$24 million over the biennium for taxpayer-funded grants to fill the coffers of government-preferred nonprofits to address homelessness. Additionally, the bill appropriates \$6 million to the housing stabilization fund to subsidize the rent of certain low-income tenants and authorizes the Department of Health and Human Services to maintain a Medicaid program for housing support services. These fraud-prone welfare programs not only increase programmatic spending but also undermine property rights and further entrench government in the housing market. The New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 23-0, on January 30, 2025. The New Hampshire House did not take it up.

SB 134
MEDICAID WORK REQUIREMENTS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements critical reforms in the state Medicaid program. Specifically, the legislation requests a waiver from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services to impose work requirements for the state’s Medicaid program, ensuring that recipients work, train, or volunteer a certain

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

number of hours per week to remain eligible. While these changes are critical to constrain the growth of Medicaid and move Granite Staters toward a patient-centered, free-market model of healthcare, lawmakers should repeal the Medicaid expansion under Obamacare for healthy adults. The New Hampshire Senate passed the bill, 15-8, on March 20, 2025. The New Hampshire House did not take it up.

SB 176
BLOCKING MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill significantly increases the state minimum wage. Specifically, the legislation ratchets the minimum wage to \$10.00 per hour in 2025 and \$15.00 per hour in 2026. Thereafter, the bill pegs the base rate for tipped employees at no less than 45 percent of the state’s hourly wage and mandates that employers pay any difference to ensure that the minimum wage is paid. Empirical data have consistently shown that minimum wage increases result in fewer jobs and impose onerous constraints on small businesses by diminishing profit margins due to sudden increases in mandatory hourly wages.

These costs are then passed on to consumers. The New Hampshire Senate blocked the bill, 16-8, on March 23, 2025. The New Hampshire House took it up under a different bill number.


SB 203
BLOCKING SCHOOL CHOICE RESTRICTIONS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill pares back school choice in New Hampshire. Specifically, the legislation includes statutory restrictions that maintain the Education Freedom Account program only for households at or below 350 percent of the federal poverty level. The bill is designed to undermine the universal ESA push made in the legislative session to open up school choice to all children in New Hampshire. At a time when record numbers of parents are moving their children out of failing government schools, this bill aims to gut school choice, empower union bosses, and strip students of better educational opportunities, thereby elevating the interests of bureaucrats and education statisticians. The New Hampshire Senate blocked the bill, 16-8, on March 13, 2025. The New Hampshire House did not take it up.

SB 295
TRANSFORMATIVE EDUCATION SAVINGS
ACCOUNT BILL
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill establishes a universal ESA program for all K-12 students in New Hampshire. Specifically, the legislation eliminates the household income threshold for eligibility for New Hampshire’s Education Freedom Account program, making it available to all families and students in the state. These accounts allow tax dollars to follow students to a school of their parents’ or guardians’ choice, including private schools. Parents will have access to roughly \$4,419 per year for tuition, books, and other related education expenses, saving significant taxpayer dollars on per-pupil education costs. The state’s Education Freedom Account program is growing in popularity, with the number of households using it doubling since the 2023-24 school year. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 190-178, on June 5, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on March 27, 2025.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION	
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS	



400 MEMBERS

New Hampshire House Snapshot

221 Republicans

177 Democrats

1 Independent

1 Vacancy

Average Republican Score: 89%

Average Democrat Score: 19%

HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICANS

Steven Bogert (Belknap 5) | 100%

Richard Brown (Carroll 8) | 100%

Darrell Louis (Grafton 1) | 100%

Donald Selby (Rockingham 9) | 100%

Steven Smith (Sullivan 3) | 100%

LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Nicholas Bridle (Rockingham 29) | 34%

HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Dale Girard (Sullivan 6) | 47%

LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Seth Miller (Strafford 21) | 0%

John Stone (Strafford 12) | 0%

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Aldrich, Glen	Belknap 6	R	87%	95%	-	4	-	10	3	5	X	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
Alexander, Joe	Hillsborough 29	R	91%	89%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	-	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	126
Almy, Susan	Grafton 17	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Ames, Richard	Cheshire 13	D	9%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372
Ammon, Keith	Hillsborough 42	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Andrus, Louise	Merrimack 5	R	84%	92%	6	-	6	X	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	-	176
Ankarberg, Aidan	Strafford 7	I	n/a	92%	X	X	6	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8	X	X	X	
Aron, Judy	Sullivan 4	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Aron, Michael	Sullivan 8	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Aures, Cyril	Merrimack 13	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Avellani, Lino	Carroll 4	R	94%	97%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Aylward, Deborah	Merrimack 5	R	87%	89%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
Bailey, Glenn	Strafford 2	R	96%	99%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Balboni, Peggy	Rockingham 38	D	20%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Baldwin, Heather	Grafton 4	D	10%	7%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	368
Ball, Lorie	Rockingham 25	R	94%	88%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Barbour, Liz	Hillsborough 35	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	X	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Barton, Joseph	Grafton 1	R	87%	87%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
Bay, Luz	Strafford 21	D	20%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Bean, Harry	Belknap 6	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Beauchemin, Paige	Hillsborough 3	D	22%	11%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	254

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Beaulier, Calvin	Grafton 1	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Belcher, Mike	Carroll 4	R	86%	95%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	X	8	8	6	-	167
Bennett, Cindy	Rockingham 4	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	20
Berch, Paul	Cheshire 6	D	26%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	227
Berezhny, Lex	Grafton 11	R	94%	99%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Bergeron, Dan	Hillsborough 16	D	16%	16%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	339
Bernardy, JD	Rockingham 36	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Berry, Ross	Hillsborough 44	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Bixby, Peter	Strafford 13	D	16%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Bjelobrk, Marie	Grafton 5	R	87%	87%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	X	158
Boehm, Ralph	Hillsborough 38	R	94%	90%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Bogert, Steven	Belknap 5	R	100%	88%	X	X	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	1
Bolton, Bill	Grafton 8	D	10%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	368
Booras, Efstathia	Hillsborough 8	D	18%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	330
Bordes, Mike	Belknap 5	R	61%	64%	-	4	X	-	-	5	10	X	X	X	2	5	2	-	8	6	X	209
Bouchard, Donald	Hillsborough 24	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Boyd, Bill	Hillsborough 12	R	78%	77%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	-	190
Boyd, Stephen	Merrimack 10	R	94%	88%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Bricchi, Tracy	Merrimack 15	D	24%	24%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	X	-	-	245
Bridle, Nicholas	Rockingham 29	R	34%	34%	-	4	-	-	-	-	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	-	-	-	-	217
Brown, Pamela	Rockingham 14	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Brown, Richard	Carroll 8	R	100%	95%	X	X	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	1
Bryer, Scott	Rockingham 1	R	63%	63%	-	4	-	-	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	-	206
Buco, Thomas	Carroll 1	D	n/a	10%	X	X	X	-	X	X	10	X	X	-	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	
Budathoki, Suraj	Hillsborough 40	D	11%	11%	6	-	-	-	-	-	X	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	365
Burnham, Claudine	Strafford 2	R	94%	98%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Burroughs, Anita	Carroll 2	D	22%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	X	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	254
Burton, Wayne	Strafford 10	D	14%	14%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	X	5	-	-	-	-	-	358
Cahill, Michael	Rockingham 10	D	10%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	368
Cambrils, Jose	Merrimack 4	R	94%	96%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Caplan, Tony	Merrimack 8	D	30%	10%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	219
Chourasia, Manoj	Hillsborough 11	D	15%	15%	X	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	353
Chretien, Suzanne	Hillsborough 19	D	20%	20%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Cloutier, John	Sullivan 6	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Coker, Matthew	Belknap 2	R	58%	61%	-	4	-	-	-	X	10	X	6	X	X	5	2	8	8	6	-	210
Colby, Eleana	Merrimack 9	D	20%	20%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	269
Colcombe, Riche	Hillsborough 30	R	96%	90%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Cole, Brian	Hillsborough 26	R	83%	83%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	178
Comtois, Barbara	Belknap 7	R	95%	99%	6	-	6	10	3	5	X	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	18
Corcoran, Travis	Hillsborough 28	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Cordelli, Glenn	Carroll 7	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	20
Cormen, Thomas	Grafton 15	D	16%	8%	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Cornell, Patricia	Hillsborough 22	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Crawford, Karel	Carroll 3	R	63%	62%	-	4	6	X	X	5	10	3	6	X	2	-	2	8	X	-	-	206
Creighton, Jim	Hillsborough 30	R	88%	81%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Damon, Hope	Sullivan 8	D	19%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Daniels, Gary	Hillsborough 43	R	94%	83%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Darby, William	Hillsborough 11	D	16%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Dargie, Paul	Hillsborough 43	D	16%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Davis, Arnold	Coos 2	R	78%	78%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190
Davis, Fred	Hillsborough 3	D	23%	7%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	-	-	X	5	-	-	-	-	-	251
de Vries, Erica	Rockingham 29	D	6%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	382
DeDe-Poulin, Denise	Strafford 6	R	92%	92%	-	4	6	10	3	5	X	3	6	X	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	121
DeLemus, Susan	Strafford 1	R	96%	98%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Deroy, Susan	Strafford 3	R	96%	96%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
DeSimone, Debra	Rockingham 18	R	93%	85%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	X	6	6	2	5	2	X	8	6	10	102
Devito, Sayra	Rockingham 8	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	X	10	X	6	6	X	5	2	8	8	6	10	102
Devold, Ricky	Merrimack 1	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	X	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	102
DiSilvestro, Linda	Hillsborough 17	D	8%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	380
Dolan, Tom	Rockingham 16	R	88%	86%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Dolan, William	Hillsborough 9	D	n/a	0%	X	X	6	X	-	X	X	X	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	X	
Donnelly, Tanya	Rockingham 25	R	83%	84%	-	4	-	X	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	178
Doucette, Fred	Rockingham 25	R	n/a	84%	I	I	I	10	I	I	10	I	6	I	I	X	2	I	I	I	I	

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Drago, Mike	Rockingham 4	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Drew, Matt	Hillsborough 19	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Drye, Margaret	Sullivan 7	R	79%	82%	-	-	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	187
Dumais, Russell	Belknap 6	R	93%	92%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	X	8	8	6	10	102
Dumont, Dillon	Hillsborough 13	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	X	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	102
Dunn, Ron	Rockingham 16	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Dupont, Pierre	Hillsborough 20	R	90%	90%	X	X	-	X	3	5	X	3	6	6	2	X	X	8	8	6	10	132
Durkin, Sean	Coos 1	R	75%	86%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	200
Ebel, Karen	Merrimack 7	D	22%	5%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	254
Edgar, Mike	Rockingham 29	D	9%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	372
Edwards, Jess	Rockingham 31	R	93%	85%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	X	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	102
Elberger, Susan	Hillsborough 5	D	19%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
England, Myles	Strafford 12	D	n/a	n/a	X	X	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	
Erf, Keith	Hillsborough 28	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Farrington, Samuel	Strafford 8	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Faulkner, Barry	Cheshire 10	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	287
Fedolfi, Jim	Hillsborough 30	R	n/a	82%	-	4	X	10	X	X	10	X	X	X	X	X	2	X	X	X	X	
Fellows, Sallie	Grafton 8	D	9%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372
Flanagan, Jack	Hillsborough 45	R	78%	90%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190
Foote, Charles	Rockingham 13	R	77%	79%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	198
Ford, Mary	Rockingham 3	R	87%	87%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Foss, Lily	Hillsborough 41	D	21%	21%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	264
Fox, Dru	Cheshire 2	D	19%	7%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Foxx, Loren	Hillsborough 2	D	22%	10%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254
Fracht, David	Grafton 16	D	11%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	X	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	365
Franz, Linda	Grafton 6	R	85%	85%	-	4	-	10	3	5	X	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	X	10	173
Freeman, Lisa	Belknap 8	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Gagne, Larry	Hillsborough 16	R	93%	92%	X	X	-	X	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	102
Gallager, Eric	Merrimack 20	D	6%	2%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	382
Georges, Mary	Hillsborough 23	D	26%	26%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	227
Germana, Dylan	Cheshire 1	D	22%	22%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	X	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	254
Germana, Nicholas	Cheshire 15	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Giasson, Henry	Hillsborough 29	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Gibbs, Merryl	Merrimack 23	D	20%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	269
Gilman, Julie	Rockingham 11	D	29%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	-	X	X	-	-	8	-	-	-	221
Gilmore, Gary	Strafford 16	D	10%	10%	6	-	X	-	-	-	-	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	368
Girard, Dale	Sullivan 6	D	47%	47%	6	-	6	-	3	-	10	3	6	-	-	5	-	8	-	-	-	213
Gonzalez, Ernesto	Merrimack 3	R	86%	86%	-	4	-	10	3	X	10	X	6	X	X	5	2	8	8	6	10	167
Gorski, Ted	Hillsborough 2	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Gould, Linda	Hillsborough 2	R	93%	89%	X	X	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	102
Granger, Michael	Strafford 2	R	94%	98%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Grant, George	Sullivan 5	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Gregg, Alicia	Hillsborough 7	D	21%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	X	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	264
Griffin, Gerald	Hillsborough 42	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Grill, Jessica	Hillsborough 18	D	21%	9%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	264
Grossman, Gaby	Rockingham 11	D	n/a	1%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Grote, Jaci	Rockingham 24	D	19%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Gruber, James	Cheshire 16	D	4%	4%	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	385
Grund, Stephanie	Hillsborough 34	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Guthrie, Joseph	Rockingham 15	R	53%	68%	-	4	X	-	3	-	10	X	6	-	-	5	2	8	X	6	-	212
Guzofski, James	Rockingham 1	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Hakken-Phillips, Mary	Grafton 12	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Hall, Muriel	Merrimack 9	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Hall, Robley	Strafford 1	R	92%	92%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	X	X	8	X	10	121
Hamblen, Joseph	Carroll 3	R	86%	86%	-	4	6	10	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	167
Harb, Robert	Rockingham 20	R	94%	87%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Harrington, Michael	Strafford 18	R	96%	97%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Harriott-Gathright, Linda	Hillsborough 10	D	20%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	269
Hartnett, Tim	Hillsborough 41	D	9%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372
Harvey, Cathryn	Cheshire 6	D	26%	6%	6	-	6	-	-	X	10	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	227
Harvey-Bolia, Juliet	Belknap 3	R	94%	85%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Haskins, Linda	Rockingham 11	D	25%	12%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Hegner, Karen	Hillsborough 41	D	20%	20%	X	X	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	269

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Hemingway, Wayne	Sullivan 6	R	88%	88%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	-	10	139
Herbert, Christopher	Hillsborough 24	D	26%	7%	6	-	X	-	-	X	10	X	-	6	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	227
Hicks, Matthew	Merrimack 24	D	21%	13%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	X	264
Hill, Gregory	Merrimack 2	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Horrigan, Timothy	Strafford 10	D	15%	3%	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	353
Howard, Heath	Strafford 4	D	16%	11%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Howard, Molly	Hillsborough 31	D	35%	11%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	X	X	-	X	X	216
Howland, Allan	Strafford 20	D	20%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Hunt, John	Cheshire 14	R	94%	87%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	X	5	X	8	8	6	10	20
Jack, Martin	Hillsborough 10	D	25%	9%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Jacobs, Samantha	Cheshire 15	D	22%	22%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	3	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	254
Janigian, John	Rockingham 25	R	92%	87%	-	4	6	X	X	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	121
Jeudy, Jean	Hillsborough 23	D	25%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	X	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	234
Johnson, Erik	Strafford 11	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Jones, Philip	Cheshire 3	D	16%	8%	X	X	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	339
Juris, Louis	Hillsborough 7	D	19%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Kaczynski, Thomas	Strafford 5	R	96%	96%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Karasinski, Sly	Cheshire 10	R	93%	93%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	X	8	6	10	102
Katsakiores, Phyllis	Rockingham 13	R	86%	82%	-	4	X	X	X	5	X	3	6	X	2	X	2	X	X	6	10	167
Kelley, Diane	Hillsborough 32	R	96%	99%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Kelly, Eileen	Merrimack 8	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Kenny, Cathy	Hillsborough 13	R	87%	86%	-	4	-	X	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
Kerwin, Erin	Hillsborough 40	D	22%	22%	6	-	-	-	X	X	10	X	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	254
Kesselring, Steven	Hillsborough 18	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Khan, Aboul	Rockingham 30	R	79%	78%	-	4	6	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	187
King, Seth	Coos 4	R	78%	86%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190
Kluger, Lee Ann	Hillsborough 6	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Knab, Allison	Rockingham 12	D	24%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	245
Kofalt, Jim	Hillsborough 32	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Korzen, Lori	Coos 7	R	78%	78%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190
Kuttab, Katelyn	Rockingham 17	R	94%	98%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Labrie, Brian	Hillsborough 2	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Ladd, Roderick	Grafton 5	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
LaMontagne, Jessica	Strafford 17	D	6%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	382
Lane, Connie	Merrimack 16	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Laroche, John	Strafford 19	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Lascelles, Richard	Hillsborough 14	R	88%	87%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Layon, Erica	Rockingham 13	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Leapley, Nicole	Hillsborough 22	D	28%	7%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	3	-	X	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	222
Leavitt, John	Merrimack 10	R	90%	88%	-	4	X	10	X	X	10	X	6	X	X	5	2	X	X	6	10	132
LeClerc, Daniel	Hillsborough 34	D	19%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Leishman, Peter	Hillsborough 33	D	38%	13%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	6	-	-	5	2	-	-	-	-	215

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Levesque, Cassandra	Strafford 4	D	13%	4%	X	X	6	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	X	360
Litchfield, Melissa	Rockingham 32	R	88%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	X	10	139
Lloyd, Christal	Hillsborough 8	D	16%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Long, Patrick	Hillsborough 26	D	30%	30%	6	-	6	-	-	5	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	219
Louis, Darrell	Grafton 1	R	100%	100%	X	X	6	10	X	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	1
Love, David	Rockingham 13	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Lovett, Peter	Grafton 8	D	20%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	X	269
Lucas, Janet	Grafton 7	D	17%	17%	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	334
Lundgren, David	Rockingham 16	R	54%	74%	-	4	X	X	3	5	10	X	X	X	2	-	X	8	X	-	-	211
Luneau, David	Merrimack 9	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Lunney, Matthew	Belknap 2	R	n/a	n/a	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	6	X	X	X	X	X	X	6	X	
Lynn, Bob	Rockingham 17	R	85%	88%	-	4	-	X	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	X	8	8	6	10	173
MacDonald, John	Carroll 6	R	87%	77%	-	4	-	X	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
MacDonald, Wayne	Rockingham 16	R	83%	82%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	X	-	2	8	8	6	10	178
MacKay, James	Merrimack 18	D	19%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
MacKenzie, Mark	Hillsborough 40	D	22%	10%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	254
Maggiore, Jim	Rockingham 23	D	24%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	245
Malloy, Dennis	Rockingham 24	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Malone, Amy	Strafford 9	D	13%	13%	X	X	-	-	-	X	10	-	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	360
Mandelbaum, Jennifer	Rockingham 21	D	15%	15%	X	X	-	-	-	X	10	X	X	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	353
Mannion, Dennis	Rockingham 25	R	87%	91%	-	4	-	X	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Mannion, Tim	Hillsborough 1	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Mannion, Tom	Hillsborough 1	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Manohar, Sanjeev	Hillsborough 9	D	26%	26%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	227
Manos, Zoe	Rockingham 12	D	25%	12%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Markell, Jay	Rockingham 18	R	74%	74%	-	4	-	10	-	5	10	3	6	-	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	201
Mattson, Rita	Cheshire 18	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Mazur, Lisa	Hillsborough 44	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
McAleer, Chris	Carroll 2	D	24%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	245
McDonnell, Valerie	Rockingham 25	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
McFarlane, Donald	Grafton 18	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	20
McGhee, Kat	Hillsborough 35	D	22%	7%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254
McGrath, Linda	Rockingham 40	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
McGuire, Carol	Merrimack 27	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
McGuire, Dan	Merrimack 14	R	88%	91%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
McLean, Mark	Hillsborough 15	R	91%	89%	-	4	6	10	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	126
McMahon, Charles	Rockingham 17	R	93%	80%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	X	8	8	6	10	102
Mehegan, Peter	Merrimack 12	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Melvin, Charles	Rockingham 20	R	89%	88%	-	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	138
Meuse, David	Rockingham 37	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Miles, Julie	Hillsborough 12	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Miller, Seth	Strafford 21	D	0%	0%	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	386

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Milz, David	Rockingham 13	R	85%	81%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	X	X	8	6	X	173
Miner, Laurence	Rockingham 7	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Minor, Sheri	Belknap 5	R	86%	86%	X	X	6	10	X	5	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	X	6	-	167
Moffett, Michael	Merrimack 4	R	88%	90%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Mooney, Maureen	Hillsborough 12	R	94%	90%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Morency, Peter	Coos 5	R	80%	80%	X	X	-	-	3	X	10	X	6	6	X	5	2	8	8	6	10	184
Morse, Bryan	Merrimack 3	R	72%	72%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	-	-	6	10	204
Morton, Jonathan	Hillsborough 39	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Muirhead, Russell	Grafton 12	D	32%	10%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	X	218
Muns, Christian	Rockingham 29	D	9%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372
Murphy, Denis	Cheshire 11	R	87%	87%	-	4	-	10	3	X	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	158
Murphy, Mary	Hillsborough 27	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Murphy, Michael	Coos 6	R	n/a	88%	X	X	X	-	X	X	X	X	6	X	X	X	2	X	X	6	10	
Murphy, Nancy	Hillsborough 12	D	25%	9%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Murray, Alissandra	Hillsborough 20	D	17%	11%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	334
Murray, Kate	Rockingham 22	D	20%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	269
Murray, Megan	Hillsborough 37	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Nadeau, Brian	Rockingham 4	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Nagel, David	Belknap 6	R	63%	73%	-	4	X	-	-	5	10	3	6	6	-	5	X	X	8	6	-	206
Nalevanko, Richard	Cheshire 9	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Nelson, Jodi	Rockingham 13	R	71%	82%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	205

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Newell, Jodi	Cheshire 4	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Newman, Ray	Hillsborough 4	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Newman, Sue	Hillsborough 4	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Newsom, James	Merrimack 9	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Noble, Kristin	Hillsborough 2	R	93%	94%	-	4	6	10	X	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	102
Notter, Jeanine	Hillsborough 12	R	94%	92%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	20
O'Rorke, Terri	Cheshire 7	D	24%	24%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	245
Ohm, Bill	Hillsborough 10	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Oppel, Thomas	Grafton 9	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Osborne, Jason	Rockingham 2	R	94%	96%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Ouellet, Mike	Coos 3	R	77%	81%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	198
Packard, Sherman	Rockingham 16	R	n/a	93%	-	4	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Paige, David	Carroll 1	D	19%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Paige, Mark	Rockingham 11	D	26%	8%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	3	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	227
Palmer, William	Sullivan 2	D	20%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Panek, Sandra	Hillsborough 1	R	83%	90%	-	4	6	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	178
Paquette, Kathleen	Hillsborough 25	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	X	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	X	6	10	102
Parshall, Lucius	Cheshire 8	D	23%	7%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	251
Pauer, Diane	Hillsborough 36	R	94%	96%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Payeur, Stephanie	Merrimack 8	D	17%	9%	6	-	-	-	X	-	-	3	X	-	-	5	-	-	X	-	-	334
Pearson, Mark	Rockingham 34	R	78%	80%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Pearson, Stephen	Rockingham 13	R	73%	80%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	10	202
Pearson, Wayne	Strafford 12	D	20%	20%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Peeples, Raymond	Hillsborough 14	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Perez, Kristine	Rockingham 16	R	96%	92%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Peternel, Katy	Carroll 6	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Petrigno, Peter	Hillsborough 43	D	11%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	X	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	365
Pitaro, Matthew	Merrimack 11	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Plamondon, Marc	Hillsborough 3	D	22%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	254
Plante, Ray	Merrimack 27	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	X	10	102
Ploszaj, Tom	Belknap 1	R	86%	96%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	-	167
Polozov, Yury	Merrimack 10	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Popovici-Muller, Daniel	Rockingham 17	R	93%	90%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	X	8	6	10	102
Porcelli, Susan	Rockingham 19	R	94%	90%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Post, Lisa	Hillsborough 42	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Potenza, Kelley	Strafford 19	R	96%	95%	6	-	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Potucek, John	Rockingham 13	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Preece, David	Hillsborough 17	D	25%	8%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Presa, Adam	Hillsborough 12	R	83%	83%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	X	X	2	5	X	-	8	X	10	178
Proulx, Mark	Hillsborough 15	R	45%	73%	-	4	-	-	-	X	10	X	6	X	X	-	2	8	8	-	-	214
Prout, Andrew	Hillsborough 13	R	92%	99%	-	4	X	10	3	X	10	X	6	X	X	5	2	8	8	6	10	121
Prudhomme-O'Brien, Katherine	Rockingham 13	R	93%	85%	-	4	6	10	3	X	10	X	X	6	X	5	2	8	8	6	10	102

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Qualey, James	Cheshire 18	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Raymond, Heather	Hillsborough 5	D	16%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Raynolds, Ned	Rockingham 39	D	16%	7%	6	-	6	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	339
Read, Ellen	Rockingham 10	D	14%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	5	X	-	-	X	X	358
Reinfurt, Sherri	Hillsborough 29	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Rhodes, Jennifer	Cheshire 17	R	94%	88%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Rice, Kimberly	Hillsborough 38	R	80%	81%	-	4	6	-	3	5	10	3	6	X	2	X	2	8	8	6	X	184
Richards, Beth	Merrimack 17	D	12%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	X	3	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	362
Rockmore, Ellen	Grafton 12	D	9%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372
Roesener, James	Merrimack 22	D	20%	13%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	269
Rollins, Skip	Sullivan 3	R	80%	84%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	-	8	6	10	184
Rombeau, Catherine	Hillsborough 2	D	25%	10%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Roy, Terry	Rockingham 31	R	91%	88%	-	4	X	10	3	X	10	X	6	X	X	X	2	8	X	6	10	126
Rung, Rosemarie	Hillsborough 12	D	25%	11%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Ryan, Linda	Hillsborough 4	D	19%	13%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Sabourin, Matt	Rockingham 30	R	96%	96%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Salvi, Santosh	Hillsborough 9	D	20%	20%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Sargent, Gregory	Merrimack 7	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Schamberg, Tom	Merrimack 6	D	15%	7%	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	353
Scherr, Buzz	Rockingham 26	D	24%	24%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	245
Schmidt, Peter	Strafford 14	D	27%	6%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	X	-	-	223

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Schneller, John	Hillsborough 2	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Schultz, Kristina	Merrimack 29	D	18%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	330
Scully, Kevin	Hillsborough 8	R	93%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	X	102
Seaworth, Brian	Merrimack 12	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
See, Alvin	Merrimack 26	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Seibert, Christine	Hillsborough 21	D	16%	8%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Seidel, Sheila	Hillsborough 29	R	88%	90%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Selby, Donald	Rockingham 9	R	100%	100%	X	X	6	10	X	X	10	3	6	X	2	5	2	8	X	6	X	1
Selig, Loren	Strafford 10	D	12%	7%	6	-	X	-	X	-	X	X	-	X	-	X	-	X	X	-	-	362
Sellers, John	Grafton 10	R	88%	91%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Sheehan, Vanessa	Hillsborough 43	R	94%	93%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Simpson, Alexis	Rockingham 33	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Sirois, Shane	Hillsborough 32	R	96%	95%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	6
Slottje, Jeremy	Hillsborough 13	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Smith, Geoff	Strafford 21	D	23%	12%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	5	X	-	-	X	-	251
Smith, Jonathan	Carroll 5	R	93%	93%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	X	8	6	10	102
Smith, Marjorie	Strafford 10	D	20%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	269
Smith, Steven	Sullivan 3	R	100%	88%	X	4	X	10	3	X	10	3	X	6	X	5	2	8	8	X	10	1
Snodgrass, Jim	Merrimack 28	D	15%	15%	X	X	X	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	353
Sofikitis, Catherine	Hillsborough 7	D	20%	3%	X	X	-	-	-	-	10	-	X	-	-	5	X	-	-	X	-	269
Sorensen, Carrie	Rockingham 28	D	19%	19%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Soti, Julius	Rockingham 35	R	94%	96%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Soucy, Timothy	Merrimack 21	D	16%	7%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Southworth, Thomas	Strafford 11	D	18%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	330
Spahr, Terry	Grafton 12	D	25%	25%	6	-	X	-	-	-	10	X	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	X	-	234
Spier, Carry	Hillsborough 6	D	27%	12%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	X	-	-	223
Spillane, James	Rockingham 2	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Spilsbury, Walter	Sullivan 3	R	88%	94%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
St. Clair, Charlie	Belknap 5	D	8%	9%	6	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	380
Staub, Kathy	Hillsborough 25	D	9%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	372
Stavis, Laurel	Grafton 13	D	21%	5%	6	-	-	-	X	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	264
Stone, John	Strafford 12	D	0%	0%	X	X	X	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	386
Stringham, Jerry	Grafton 3	D	27%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	223
Suiter, John	Hillsborough 36	R	91%	91%	-	4	X	10	3	X	10	X	X	6	X	5	2	X	8	6	10	126
Sullivan, Brian	Sullivan 1	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	287
Sullivan, Jared	Grafton 2	D	26%	14%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	227
Summers, James	Rockingham 20	R	92%	93%	-	4	X	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	X	8	6	X	121
Swanson, Dale	Hillsborough 5	D	9%	9%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	372
Sweeney, Joe	Rockingham 25	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Sykes, George	Grafton 14	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Sytek, John	Rockingham 25	R	73%	80%	-	4	6	10	-	5	10	3	6	6	-	5	2	8	8	-	-	202
Taylor, Brian	Carroll 8	R	78%	78%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	-	190

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Telerski, Laura	Hillsborough 11	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Tellez, Trinidad	Hillsborough 40	D	25%	13%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Tenczar, Jeffrey	Hillsborough 1	R	93%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	X	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	X	102
Terry, Paul	Belknap 7	R	96%	95%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	X	8	8	6	10	6
Thackston, Dick	Cheshire 12	R	79%	71%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	-	X	187
Thibault, James	Merrimack 25	R	94%	94%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Thomas, Douglas	Rockingham 16	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Thomas, Wendy	Hillsborough 12	D	27%	8%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	223
Tierney, James	Coos 1	R	78%	79%	-	4	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	190
Toner, Travis	Belknap 4	R	90%	90%	6	-	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	132
Tripp, Richard	Rockingham 13	R	90%	85%	X	X	-	X	3	5	X	3	6	X	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	132
Trottier, Douglas	Belknap 8	R	n/a	75%	X	X	X	-	X	X	10	X	X	X	X	-	2	X	X	X	X	
Tudor, Paul	Rockingham 1	R	94%	89%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Turcotte, Len	Strafford 4	R	95%	96%	6	-	6	10	3	5	X	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	18
Turer, Eric	Rockingham 6	D	19%	10%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Ulery, Jordan	Hillsborough 13	R	94%	91%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Vail, Suzanne	Hillsborough 6	D	25%	7%	6	-	6	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	234
Valerino, Brian	Coos 5	R	82%	82%	I	I	-	-	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	183
Vallone, Mark	Rockingham 5	D	12%	10%	X	X	-	-	-	-	10	X	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	362
Vandecasteele, Susan	Rockingham 25	R	84%	82%	-	4	6	10	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	-	2	8	8	6	X	176
Varney, Peter	Belknap 7	R	n/a	85%	X	X	X	X	3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	8	8	X	X	

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Life-time	HB1	HB2	HB123	HB238	HB280	HB284	HB503	HB517	HB526	HB549	HB584	HB649	HB682	HB703	HB726	SB105	SB295	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					6	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	
Veilleux, Daniel	Hillsborough 34	D	19%	6%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Verville, Kevin	Rockingham 2	R	91%	97%	-	4	6	10	3	X	X	X	6	X	X	5	X	8	8	X	10	126
Vose, Michael	Rockingham 5	R	90%	90%	-	4	X	10	-	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	132
Wade, Alice	Strafford 15	D	17%	17%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	334
Walker, David	Strafford 19	R	88%	88%	-	4	-	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	139
Wall, Janet	Strafford 11	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Wallner, Mary Jane	Merrimack 19	D	20%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	X	10	3	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	269
Walsh, Lilli	Rockingham 15	R	94%	95%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	X	2	8	8	6	10	20
Walsh, Thomas	Merrimack 10	R	91%	95%	-	4	6	10	X	X	X	3	6	6	2	5	X	8	X	X	10	126
Ward, Gerry	Rockingham 27	D	19%	4%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287
Warden, Mark	Hillsborough 39	R	93%	98%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	X	6	X	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	102
Weber, Lucy	Cheshire 5	D	20%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	269
Weinstein, Toni	Rockingham 10	D	16%	16%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Weyler, Kenneth	Rockingham 14	R	94%	87%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Wheeler, Jonah	Hillsborough 33	D	18%	11%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	2	5	2	-	-	-	-	330
Wherry, Robert	Hillsborough 13	R	94%	90%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	6	10	20
Wilhelm, Matthew	Hillsborough 21	D	17%	3%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	334
Wilson, Vicki	Rockingham 9	R	90%	90%	6	-	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	-	10	132
Wood, Clayton	Merrimack 13	R	94%	90%	-	4	6	10	3	5	10	3	6	6	2	5	2	8	8	X	10	20
Woodcock, Stephen	Carroll 1	D	16%	6%	6	-	6	-	-	-	-	3	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	339
Woods, Gary	Merrimack 30	D	19%	5%	6	-	-	-	-	-	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	287

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1 MISSED OPPORTUNITY BUDGET AGREEMENT (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates \$15.9 billion in total spending for the FY2026-27 biennium. This is a 4.6 percent increase from the previous biennium. Specifically, the legislation includes nearly \$42 million in increased pension spending over the biennium, reinstates a \$50 million cut to Medicaid reimbursement payments, restores previously eliminated government agencies like the Housing Appeals Board, implements a new business tax credit to fund government art programs, and adopts multiple new Medicaid service expansions. While the budget funds the universal ESA program and reduces some spending in higher education, the overall spending direction is a missed opportunity. Lawmakers should alleviate pressure on overburdened families and taxpayers amid persistent inflation and high costs by reducing the spending baseline. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 185-180,

on June 26, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on the same day.

HB 2 DECENT POLICY REFORM PACKAGE (CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements policy changes to New Hampshire's programmatic spending as part of the budget trailer process. Specifically, the legislation implements the universal ESA program for K-12 students, requires monthly premium payments for Medicaid recipients, reinstitutes pre-COVID income verification measures in Medicaid, directs the state to seek a waiver to impose work requirements in Medicaid, terminates the Medicaid to schools program, eliminates annual vehicle inspections, and prohibits government schools, agencies, imposes new constraints on state environmental reviews, and subdivisions from promoting or practicing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives and programs. While the bill increases numerous state fees and

creates an absurd new taxpayer-funded office for union affairs, the overall policy direction lays the foundation for reforming cost-driving government programs. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 184-183, on June 26, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on the same day.

HB 123 CARBON TAX SCHEME (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new tax under the guise of "rebranding" an existing tax. Specifically, the legislation expands the definition of the existing timber yield tax beyond timber-cutting yields to include carbon sequestration efforts on New Hampshire timberland. Proponents claim these efforts are designed to ensure municipal revenue streams are not affected by carbon sequestration, yet the bill simultaneously greases the skids for California-style carbon credits to become a permanent feature of state tax and energy policy. Instead of expanding tax

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

efforts to keep revenue flowing into government coffers and locking in destructive green policies, lawmakers should seek to disentangle the state from policies that advance radical climate dogma. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 197-158, on March 13, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it through a voice vote.

HB 238
BLOCKING RIGHT TO WORK PROTECTIONS
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements stronger protections for workers against union coercion. Specifically, the legislation prohibits employers from conditioning employment on participation in a union, payment of fees or dues to unions, or union recommendation or referral. Additionally, the bill imposes penalties for violations of these provisions and provides injunctive relief to those harmed by violations of right-to-work protections. The New Hampshire House blocked the bill, 200-180, on February 13, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate did not take it up.

HB 280
IMPROVED EMPLOYER FLEXIBILITY
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill provides increased flexibility for business owners and employers in New Hampshire. Specifically, the legislation allows employers to determine how employees are paid, repealing existing statutes that dictate how employers conduct business, including mandated payment schedules and processes. The bill provides that, so long as employers provide employees with an upfront written explanation of how payments are made, and employees are notified 90 days in advance of any changes, employers have maximum flexibility in their business operations. Eliminating restrictive laws that hamstringing entrepreneurs is critical for creating a growth-oriented economic environment. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 191-176, on March 20, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate killed it through a voice vote.

HB 284
MUNICIPAL TAXPAYER PROTECTION
REQUIREMENTS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements new requirements for local government spending proposals. Specifically, the legislation requires municipalities to vote on whether all spending measures must be recorded votes rather than passed unanimously or by voice vote. Further, the bill requires towns to provide a special notation in local budgets and spending measures that informs constituents of the tax impact per \$1,000 of property valuation if the spending measure is passed and implemented. Improved transparency requirements for municipal spending proposals ensure taxpayers understand the full impact of policies proposed by their local officials. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 198-160, on March 27, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate killed it through a voice vote.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 503
BLOCKING MASSIVE TAX HIKE PACKAGE
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements massive tax hikes on hardworking New Hampshire families and small business owners beginning December 31, 2025. Specifically, the legislation reinstitutes a 5 percent interest and dividends tax, increases the business profits tax from 7.5 percent to 8.5 percent, increases the business enterprise tax from 0.55 percent to 0.75 percent, and increases the state meals and room tax from 8.5 percent to 9 percent. Estimates suggest these combined provisions will increase the tax burden on Granite Staters by at least \$500 million through FY2027 alone. Such destructive big-government revenue grabs inflict financial harm on struggling households, diminish economic opportunity, and expand the power of government bureaucracies. The New Hampshire House blocked the bill, 345-27, on March 6, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate did not take it up.

HB 517
REPEALING GOVERNMENT MARKETING PROGRAM
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill eliminates a taxpayer-funded marketing campaign. Specifically, the legislation repeals the requirement that the state engage in taxpayer-backed marketing activities for the Granite State paid family leave program. Estimates suggest this will save taxpayers roughly \$1.4 million through FY2027. While a good start, lawmakers should roll back exorbitant taxpayer-funded leave programs. Such programs often necessitate new taxes, routinely cost far more than initial estimates, are prone to continued expansion, and compound pressures on already overburdened American workers and taxpayers. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 316-32, on March 27, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it through a voice vote.

HB 526
TABLING CLIMATE CHANGE BUREAUCRACY
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill creates a new state bureaucracy dedicated to climate change radicalism. Specifically, the legislation creates the Division of Climate Change and Damage within the Department of Environmental Services to concoct climate change resiliency analyses, implement taxpayer-subsidized marketing campaigns promoting climate dogma, and issue taxpayer-funded grants to well-connected special interests as part of a state-managed Green New Deal initiative. There is no estimated cost for the new bureaucracy, and no statutory appropriations cap has been implemented for the division’s grantmaking responsibilities. The last thing hardworking families need is a new government agency that raises the cost of everyday activities to reward radical environmentalists. The New Hampshire House tabled the bill, 207-146, on February 6, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION	
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES	
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES	
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS	

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 549
BLOCKING SCHOOL CHOICE PROHIBITIONS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill pares back school choice in New Hampshire. Specifically, the legislation targets religious schools by explicitly prohibiting the state’s Education Freedom Accounts from being used for students to attend “a religious school or for a religious education or training.” At a time when record numbers of parents are moving their children out of failing government schools, this bill aims to gut school choice, empower union bosses, and strip students of better educational opportunities by discriminating against schools with stronger academic outcomes, thereby elevating the interests of bureaucrats and education statists. The New Hampshire House blocked the bill, 186-156, on March 26, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate did not take it up.

HB 584
RESTRICTING GLOBALIST ENTITIES
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill curbs the power of global organizations and agencies. Specifically, the legislation

prohibits the World Health Organization (WHO), the World Economic Forum (WEF), and the United Nations from having jurisdiction within New Hampshire. Additionally, the bill provides a private right of action against state political subdivisions that violate these restrictions on the WHO, the WEF, and the United Nations. Onerous policies imposed by global authorities led to significant job losses and business closures during the COVID-19 pandemic. Such mandates violate constitutional rights, destroy lives, imperil freedom, limit opportunity, and stunt economic growth. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 198-162, on March 27, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate killed it through a voice vote.

HB 649
REPEALING ONEROUS VEHICLE MANDATES
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill eliminates the requirement for a physical safety inspection and on-board diagnostics testing for all private passenger vehicles. Estimates suggest that these reforms will save hardworking Granite Staters more than \$3.4 million annually. Onerous inspection fees are

designed to siphon taxpayer resources toward bureaucrats and bureaucracies, which seek to impose never-ending fee increases to sustain themselves. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 212-143, on March 6, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate sent the bill back to committee.

HB 682
MODEST GOVERNMENT CONSOLIDATION
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill consolidates and eliminates several government entities. Specifically, the legislation removes the Office of Offshore Wind Energy Development from the Office of Energy Innovation, moves two advisory councils into the Office of Energy Innovation, and terminates both the offshore wind energy committee and port development committee. The consolidation and elimination of state commissions ensures a more streamlined apparatus, creating opportunities to eliminate redundancies and duplicative programs. Such efforts ultimately benefit hardworking families. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 206-163, on February 20, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it through a voice vote.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 703
BLOCKING MASSIVE WELFARE EXPANSION
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill expands the state’s K-12 food and nutrition welfare program. Specifically, the legislation requires the New Hampshire Department of Education to pay school meal debts accrued by students in schools participating in the federal school lunch program. Estimates suggest that such a change would impose an additional annual cost of at least \$2.5 million, with a maximum cost of \$40 million per year. Such a proposal is fiscally reckless, unjustifiable, and supercharges the size of the scope of New Hampshire’s welfare apparatus. The New Hampshire House blocked the bill, 202-173, on March 13, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate did not take it up.

HB 726
TABLING MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill significantly increases the state minimum wage. Specifically, the legislation ratchets the minimum wage to \$10.00 per hour

in 2025 and \$15.00 per hour in 2028. Thereafter, the bill pegs the base rate for tipped employees at no less than 50 percent of the state’s hourly wage and mandates that employers pay any difference to ensure that the minimum wage is paid. Empirical data have consistently shown that minimum wage increases result in fewer jobs and impose onerous constraints on small businesses by diminishing profit margins due to sudden increases in mandatory hourly wages. These costs are then passed on to consumers. The New Hampshire House tabled the bill, 197-159, on March 20, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate took it up under a different bill number.

SB 105
CAPPING MUNICIPAL SPENDING
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements municipal spending constraints. Specifically, the legislation caps municipal spending across New Hampshire using a per-resident expenditure formula based on population, adjusted for inflation. Further, the bill requires a supermajority of the municipal body to override the appropriations cap and empowers voters, through a ballot

initiative, to both adopt and rescind these spending caps. Such measures are critical to ensuring that local governments do not add to the burden on hardworking families living with persistent inflation and high costs stemming from profligate state and federal policies. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 193-168, on May 8, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 15-8, on May 15, 2025.

SB 295
TRANSFORMATIVE EDUCATION SAVINGS
ACCOUNT BILL
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill establishes a universal ESA program for all K-12 students in New Hampshire. Specifically, the legislation eliminates the household income threshold for eligibility for New Hampshire’s Education Freedom Account program, making it available to all families and students in the state. These accounts allow tax dollars to follow students to a school of their parents’ or guardians’ choice, including private schools. Parents will have access to roughly \$4,419 per year for tuition, books, and other related education expenses, saving significant taxpayer

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 NEW HAMPSHIRE
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE SNAPSHOT
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTES
NEW HAMPSHIRE HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

New Hampshire 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

dollars on per-pupil education costs. The state’s Education Freedom Account program is growing in popularity, with the number of households using it doubling since the 2023-24 school year. The New Hampshire House passed the bill, 190-178, on June 5, 2025. The New Hampshire Senate passed it, 16-8, on March 27, 2025.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
NEW HAMPSHIRE

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTES

NEW HAMPSHIRE
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS