

A dark blue silhouette of the United States map is positioned in the upper right corner. The state of Pennsylvania is highlighted in white. To the left of the map, there are two decorative elements: a large, curved shape composed of many small white dots, and a smaller, similar shape composed of many small yellow dots.

2025

Pennsylvania State Economic Scorecard

See how Members of Pennsylvania's General Assembly are voting on economic growth issues.



Club for Growth Foundation is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization focused on educating the public about the value of free markets, pro-growth policies, and economic prosperity.

State Scorecards are created by the Club for Growth Foundation to educate the public about the voting records of the legislators who serve in state legislatures. This is part of a larger scorecard project that the Club for Growth Foundation has created to educate the public about the economic positions taken by legislators in states across the country.

Our Mission

THE FOUNDATION
EDUCATES THE
PUBLIC ABOUT PRO-
GROWTH POLICIES.

THE FOUNDATION
CONDUCTS
COMPREHENSIVE
EXAMINATIONS OF
VOTING RECORDS.

THE FOUNDATION'S
GOAL IS TO INFORM
THE PUBLIC AND
BRING AWARENESS
TO LAWMAKERS.

Methodology | Pennsylvania 2025

Club for Growth Foundation publishes the scorecard study so the public can monitor the actions and the voting behavior of Pennsylvania’s elected state lawmakers on economic growth issues.

The Foundation conducted a comprehensive examination of each lawmaker’s record on votes related to pro-growth policies and computed an Economic Growth Score on a scale of 0 to 100. A score of 100 indicates the highest support for pro-growth policies.

The Foundation examines legislative votes related to the Club’s immediate pro-economic growth policy goals, including:

- Reducing or eliminating tax rates and enacting tax reform
- Limited government through limited spending and budget reform
- Regulatory reform and deregulation
- Ending abusive lawsuits through medical malpractice and tort reform
- Expanding school choice
- Implementing term limits

Not all of these policy goals will come up for a vote in each legislative session.

The Foundation also examines votes on bills that would directly harm these goals.

This scorecard is based on selected votes of importance to the Foundation, and does not include the complete voting record of any legislator. There are inherent limitations in judging the overall qualifications of any legislator based on a selected voting record, and the Foundation does not endorse or oppose any legislator for public office.

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED IN PENNSYLVANIA IN 2025

The Foundation’s study examined over 975 floor votes and, in the end, included 19 Pennsylvania House votes and 15 Pennsylvania Senate votes.

COMPUTATION

Scores are computed on a scale of 0 to 100. Each vote or action in the rating is assigned a certain number of points depending on its relative importance. If a lawmaker casts a correct vote, the scorecard will denote it with that number. If a lawmaker casts an incorrect vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with a hyphen (-). Absences are not counted – signified as an “X” on the scorecard – though the Foundation reserves the right to do so if, in its judgment, a lawmaker’s position was otherwise discernible. If a lawmaker was not officially sworn into office at the time of a vote, the scorecard will denote that vote with an “I”.

To provide some additional guidance concerning the scores, each lawmaker was ranked. Aside from ties, lawmakers with 0%

scores are, by default, ranked #203 in the House and #50 in the Senate if all lawmakers are present. Scores and ranks cannot be directly compared between the House and Senate, as different votes were taken in each chamber. If applicable, the study also records a “Lifetime Score” for each lawmaker. This is a simple average of the scores from 2025 and all previous years where the lawmaker earned a score.

In some cases, a lawmaker was not present for enough votes for a meaningful score or ranking to be computed. In such cases, “n.a.” for “not applicable” appears. In computing lifetime scores, years with “n.a.” listed instead of a score are not included. Comparing such scores to other members without “n.a.” years may be misleading.

ADDITIONAL FACTORS

A study of roll call votes on the floor of the Pennsylvania House and Pennsylvania Senate and legislative actions is just that. It cannot account for a lawmaker’s work in committee, advocacy in his party’s caucus meetings, and effectiveness as a leader in advocating pro-growth policies.

“Through the release of this series, the Club for Growth Foundation is looking at how state legislatures perform in terms of pro-growth policies. We believe that this scorecard will help inform citizens and entrepreneurs about who supports the policies that are good for economic prosperity.”

- DAVID McINTOSH
PRESIDENT, CLUB FOR GROWTH FOUNDATION

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT


PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS



Pennsylvania Senate Snapshot

50 MEMBERS

27 Republicans

23 Democrats

0 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 53%

Average Democrat Score: 8%

HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Jarrett Coleman (SD-16) | 100%

LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Lynda Schlegel Culver (SD-27) |
39%

HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

Lisa Boscola (SD-18) | 28%

Nick Miller (SD-14) | 28%

LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

12 Democrats Scored 0%

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB416	HB640	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	SB153	SB155	SB156	SB160	SB311	SB315	SB444	SB472	SB755	SB1068	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					10	10	6	6	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	
Argall, David	SD-29	R	42%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Baker, Lisa	SD-20	R	42%	47%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Bartolotta, Camera	SD-46	R	42%	46%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Boscola, Lisa	SD-18	D	28%	27%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	28
Brooks, Michele	SD-50	R	42%	46%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Brown, Rosemary	SD-40	R	42%	42%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Cappelletti, Amanda	SD-17	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Coleman, Jarrett	SD-16	R	100%	87%	10	10	6	6	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	1
Collett, Maria	SD-12	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Comitta, Carolyn	SD-19	D	0%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Costa, Jay	SD-43	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Culver, Lynda Schlegel	SD-27	R	39%	42%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	X	-	5	4	5	8	27
Dush, Cris	SD-25	R	52%	57%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	10	5	-	5	4	5	8	8
Farry, Frank	SD-06	R	52%	38%	-	10	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	8
Flynn, Marty	SD-22	D	23%	25%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	30
Fontana, Wayne	SD-42	D	5%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	36
Gebhard, Chris	SD-48	R	90%	61%	10	-	6	6	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	2
Haywood, Art	SD-04	D	0%	9%	-	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Hughes, Vincent	SD-07	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Hutchinson, Scott	SD-21	R	74%	67%	-	-	6	-	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	5
Kane, John	SD-09	D	5%	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	36

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB416	HB640	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	SB153	SB155	SB156	SB160	SB311	SB315	SB444	SB472	SB755	SB1068	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					10	10	6	6	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	
Kearney, Timothy	SD-26	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Keefer, Dawn	SD-31	R	78%	84%	10	-	-	-	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	4
Kim, Patty	SD-15	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Langerholc, Wayne	SD-35	R	42%	49%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Laughlin, Daniel	SD-49	R	42%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Malone, James	SD-36	D	10%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	I	I	I	-	-	-	-	I	-	8	35
Martin, Scott	SD-13	R	60%	51%	X	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	X	5	X	5	4	5	8	6
Mastriano, Doug	SD-33	R	82%	70%	10	-	X	-	X	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	3
Miller, Nick	SD-14	D	28%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	28
Muth, Katie	SD-44	D	23%	25%	10	-	X	-	X	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
Pennycuick, Tracy	SD-24	R	52%	56%	-	10	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	8
Phillips-Hill, Kristin	SD-28	R	58%	61%	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	5	10	5	-	5	4	5	8	7
Picozzi, Joseph	SD-05	R	52%	52%	-	10	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	8
Pisciottano, Nickolas	SD-45	D	13%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	33
Pittman, Joe	SD-41	R	42%	48%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Robinson, Devlin	SD-37	R	42%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Rothman, Greg	SD-34	R	42%	45%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Santarsiero, Steven	SD-10	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Saval, Nikil	SD-01	D	20%	12%	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	32
Schwank, Judy	SD-11	D	0%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Stefano, Patrick	SD-32	R	48%	48%	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	12
Street, Sharif	SD-03	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB416	HB640	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	SB153	SB155	SB156	SB160	SB311	SB315	SB444	SB472	SB755	SB1068	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	
POINTS					10	10	6	6	6	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	4	5	8	
Tartaglione, Christine	SD-02	D	13%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	8	33
Vogel, Elder	SD-47	R	42%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15
Ward, Judy	SD-30	R	48%	51%	-	-	-	-	6	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	12
Ward, Kim	SD-39	R	48%	47%	-	-	X	-	X	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	12
Williams, Anthony	SD-08	D	5%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	36
Williams, Lindsey	SD-38	D	0%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39
Yaw, Gene	SD-23	R	42%	44%	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	5	-	5	-	5	4	5	8	15

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

HB 416

PROFLIGATE FISCAL CODE OMNIBUS

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The FY2025-26 Fiscal Code creates a new \$10 million Affordable Housing Credit program to subsidize more government housing projects, establishes a \$200 million Working Pennsylvanians Tax Credit carveout as a state supplement for the federal Earned Income Tax Credit welfare handout, authorizes a \$60 million transfer from unexpended taxpayer funds to the Enterprise and Technology Fund for myriad pet projects, implements a \$160 million federal “Solar-For-All” Green New Deal slush fund, and expands government-subsidized pre-K grants by 2.4 percent per child. The bill is a veritable buffet of big government initiatives and programs that benefit the well-connected at the expense of hardworking families and

households. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 189-14, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-6, on the same day.

HB 640

PERMANENT MEDICAID FUNDING TRIGGER

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill eliminates a sunset for a key component of Pennsylvania’s Medicaid program. Specifically, the legislation permanently extends the Medicaid provider tax schemes within the state’s managed care organizations, long-term care facilities, nursing homes, and hospitals by tying the sunset for these assessments to what is permitted under federal law. Estimates suggest this statutory change will ensure billions in continued annual federal funding for the state’s out-of-control Medicaid program, which has been riddled with improper payments totaling at least \$2.3 billion. These actions significantly expand a budget-devouring welfare program rife with fraud and poor health outcomes. As Pennsylvania families and households struggle

with persistent inflation, lawmakers should cease actions that wrongly treat federal funds as “free money” and inevitably raise future spending baselines for failed government programs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 183-20, on June 30, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 46-4, on the same day.

HB 1332

DEBT-RIDDLED CAPITAL SPENDING PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill authorizes capital expenditures for FY2025-2026. Specifically, the legislation authorizes an additional \$1.57 billion in principal debt to fund capital improvement initiatives, including \$1.1 billion for structural renovations of government buildings, \$20 million for new furniture, \$100 million for transportation assistance projects, and \$350 million for government-preferred redevelopment initiatives. The new maximum bond indebtedness is a 12.1 percent increase over the previous year’s limit. Lawmakers

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

should not saddle taxpayers with exorbitant new debt obligations to fund renovations and pet projects that should be offset by spending cuts. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-18, on June 4, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-3, on November 19, 2025.

HB 1336

TAXPAYER-FUNDED UNIONS APPROPRIATIONS PACKAGE

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates funding for a union bureaucracy for FY2025-2026. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$65.9 million to the Public School Employees’ Retirement Board for salaries, wages, and travel expenses for employees and board members, representing a 5.2 percent year-over-year increase in spending. This is little more than a direct wealth transfer from hardworking Pennsylvania families to union bosses and their operatives. Unions routinely act as political enforcers for far-left activists and causes, and this board utilizes taxpayer resources to perpetuate an endless cycle of fleece-and-fund. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 157-45, on June 4, 2025. The

Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 48-2, on June 25, 2025.

SB 153

MODEST UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE REFORMS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements reforms in the state’s unemployment insurance (UI) program. Specifically, the legislation implements new conditions for terminating UI payments, including attempts by recipients to discourage their own employment. The legislation prohibits claimants from refusing to participate in an interview offered by an employer, or refusing to accept employment or listen to job details offered by an employer, without good cause. The bill helps move people out of unemployment and back into the workforce. Policy reforms that encourage reemployment lead to a healthier and more productive workforce, serving as a foundation for sustainable growth. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 29-20, on January 29, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 155

IMPROVED WELFARE FRAUD REFORMS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements a common-sense reform for recipients of Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, better known as food stamps. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Human Services to coordinate with the Bureau of Vital Statistics each month to compare death certificates with recipients of both Medicaid and food stamps. This is a practical reform to prevent potential fraud and abuse within a taxpayer-funded welfare program. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 30-19, on January 29, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 156

ADDITIONAL WELFARE FRAUD REFORMS

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements another common-sense reform for recipients of Medicaid and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, better known as food stamps. Specifically, the legislation requires the Department of Human Services to coordinate with the Department of

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

Labor and Industry every quarter to evaluate wage records and employment history against recipients of both Medicaid and food stamps. This is a practical reform to prevent potential fraud and abuse within a taxpayer-funded welfare program. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 30-19, on January 29, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 160
MISSED OPPORTUNITY GENERAL FUND SPENDING
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs as part of the annual budget process. Specifically, the legislation spends \$50.1 billion for the FY2025-2026 fiscal year, a 5.3 percent increase in General Fund spending over the previous budget. Furthermore, the package includes an \$850 million increase in K-12 spending, \$1.1 billion in increased welfare spending, including a 9 percent annual increase for the state’s destructive and fraud-prone Medicaid program, and an expansion of the state’s crony economic development programs. While the bill includes some policy wins, such as

exiting the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative and streamlining state permitting procedures, it perpetuates a significant \$5.1 billion structural deficit. As Pennsylvanians face persistent inflation and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should refrain from imposing additional burdens on taxpayers and employers with an ever-increasing baseline. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 156-47, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 40-9, on the same day.

SB 311
PREEMPTING MUNICIPAL UTILITY REGULATIONS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill preempts local governments from regulating utility services predicated on the source of energy provided to a consumer. Specifically, the legislation ensures that municipalities cannot restrict or prohibit the connection or reconnection of utility services that use reliable sources of energy. Some municipal governments across the country have attempted to force costly Green New Deal-style

mandates on their citizens that curb natural gas and coal in favor of expensive and unreliable solar and wind power. This measure protects hardworking families from that ongoing threat. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 34-15, on June 11, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

SB 315
BIG GOVERNMENT K-12 POLICY PACKAGE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements numerous provisions expanding government control over K-12 schools in the Keystone State. Specifically, the legislation implements a \$175 million reduction in funding for the state’s cyber charter school program while imposing significant new, onerous regulations on these schools. Additionally, the bill implements a new grant program for nursing loan repayments and authorizes statutory protocols for \$565 million in supplemental “Ready-to-Learn” block grant funding, beyond the program’s baseline spending. While new accountability requirements for charter schools are reasonable in theory, the legislation sets in

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions

motion nearly \$1 billion in new K-12 funding without commensurate accountability for failing government schools controlled by teachers’ unions. The bill’s result is to bolster union bosses and a failing state school system, while curbing school-choice approaches that empower parents and students. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 167-36, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 44-5, on the same day.

SB 444
MODEST REGULATORY REFORMS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements regulatory review procedures for all economically significant regulations. Specifically, the legislation defines an “economically significant” regulation as one that imposes a direct or indirect cost of at least \$1 million annually and, after three years, requires the relevant agency to report the regulation’s total impact to the Independent Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC). The bill then requires public comment for at least 30 days, followed by an IRRC report on whether the regulation meets the requirements of the

Regulatory Review Act and whether statutory changes are warranted. While modest in scope, these reforms create yet another hurdle for potentially onerous regulations to clear to better protect hardworking Pennsylvania families and taxpayers. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 27-23, on June 10, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 472
CURBING STATE BUREAUCRATS
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill implements limits on certain state officials. Specifically, the legislation imposes constraints on “acting secretaries” of departments and agencies, prohibiting them from serving in such a position for more than 90 days unless nominated before the Senate, and prohibiting their nomination more than once. Additionally, the bill prevents “acting” department heads from receiving taxpayer-subsidized benefits in excess of those available to nondesignated agency leaders and prohibits such individuals from receiving salary increases while under the Governor’s designation. These reforms impose common-sense constraints on

efforts to operate the state bureaucracy on autopilot outside the purview of the Legislative Branch. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 27-22, on April 2, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

SB 755
SIGNIFICANT GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
ELIMINATION
(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill repeals numerous state boards, committees, and commissions. Specifically, the legislation eliminates 13 bureaucracies, including the Canine Health Board, Climate Change Advisory Committee, Pennsylvania Dairy Future Commission, Prevailing Wage Advisory Board, Recycling Fund Advisory Committee, and High-Speed Intercity Passenger Rail Commission, among others. These structural reforms will significantly streamline and reduce the entire state bureaucracy, alleviating unneeded burdens on hardworking taxpayers. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 27-23, on October 27, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | Senate Vote Descriptions


SB 1068

ELIMINATING GREEN CLIMATE SCAM

(CFGF SUPPORTS)

This bill repeals Pennsylvania’s participation in a massive climate scam. Specifically, the legislation repeals statutory regulations perpetuating onerous provisions under the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) cap-and-trade climate scheme. The RGGI was initially adopted without the legislature’s approval and imposes a carbon tax on electricity producers, estimated to cost hardworking Pennsylvania families and taxpayers between \$780 million and \$1.5 billion annually. Further, the state’s six-year participation in RGGI caused natural gas generation projects to collapse by 65 percent, resulting in more than 3,800 megawatts of lost generation capacity. The Pennsylvania Senate passed the bill, 33-17, on October 22, 2025. The Pennsylvania House did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS



Pennsylvania House Snapshot

203 MEMBERS

101 Republicans

102 Democrats

0 Vacancies

Average Republican Score: 49%

Average Democrat Score: 1%

HIGHEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Dallas Kephart (HD-73) | 100%

LOWEST-RATED REPUBLICAN

Thomas Mehafie (HD-106) | 10%

HIGHEST-RATED DEMOCRAT

Greg Vitali (HD-166) | 20%

LOWEST-RATED DEMOCRATS

95 Democrats scored 0%

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Abney, Aerion	HD-019	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Anderson, Marc	HD-092	R	84%	84%	4	4	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	6	6	6	4	-	3	10	10	10
Armanini, Michael	HD-075	R	44%	61%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	-	-	50
Banta, Jacob	HD-004	R	77%	86%	4	-	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	-	10	10	16
Barger, Scott	HD-080	R	90%	90%	4	-	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	4
Barton, Jamie	HD-124	R	44%	68%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	-	4	3	3	-	-	50
Bashline, Joshua	HD-063	R	76%	76%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	18
Bellmon, Anthony	HD-203	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Benham, Jessica	HD-036	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Benninghoff, Kerry	HD-171	R	35%	53%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	65
Bernstine, Aaron	HD-008	R	77%	80%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	-	3	6	6	-	4	3	3	10	10	16
Bizzarro, Ryan	HD-003	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Bonner, Timothy	HD-017	R	49%	63%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	-	3	10	-	43
Borowicz, Stephanie	HD-076	R	96%	89%	4	-	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	2
Borowski, Lisa	HD-168	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Boyd, Heather	HD-163	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Bradford, Matthew	HD-070	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Brennan, Tim	HD-029	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Briggs, Tim	HD-149	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Brown, Amen	HD-010	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Brown, Marla	HD-009	R	48%	66%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	10	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	-	-	45

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Burgos, Danilo	HD-197	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Burns, Frank	HD-072	D	4%	21%	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	107
Carroll, Andre	HD-201	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Causer, Martin	HD-067	R	27%	49%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	82
Cepeda-Freytiz, Johanny	HD-129	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Cephas, Morgan	HD-192	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Cerrato, Melissa	HD-151	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Ciresi, Joe	HD-146	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Conklin, Scott	HD-077	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Cook, Bud	HD-050	R	51%	61%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	-	10	39
Cooper, Jill	HD-055	R	48%	73%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	-	6	-	4	-	-	-	-	45
Curry, Gina	HD-164	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Cutler, Bryan	HD-100	R	29%	53%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	74
D'Orsie, Joseph	HD-047	R	90%	94%	4	4	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	4
Daley, Mary Jo	HD-148	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Davanzo, Eric	HD-058	R	31%	62%	4	-	3	-	-	5	3	-	-	-	3	-	6	-	4	-	3	-	-	72
Davidson, Nathan	HD-103	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Davis, Tina	HD-141	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Dawkins, Jason	HD-179	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Day, Gary	HD-187	R	58%	52%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	10	10	33
Deasy, Daniel	HD-027	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Delloso, David	HD-162	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Delozier, Sheryl	HD-088	R	21%	49%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	94
Diamond, Russ	HD-102	R	54%	73%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	-	36
Donahue, Kyle	HD-113	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Dougherty, Sean	HD-172	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Ecker, Torren	HD-193	R	32%	58%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	68
Emrick, Joe	HD-137	R	14%	41%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	100
Fee, Mindy	HD-037	R	48%	60%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	10	-	45
Fiedler, Elizabeth	HD-184	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Fink, Wendy	HD-094	R	90%	94%	4	4	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	4
Fleming, Justin	HD-105	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Flick, Jamie	HD-083	R	42%	68%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	10	-	53
Flood, Ann	HD-138	R	39%	59%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	10	-	58
Frankel, Dan	HD-023	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Freeman, Robert	HD-136	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Friel, Paul	HD-026	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Fritz, Jonathan	HD-111	R	25%	47%	4	-	3	-	-	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	89
Gallagher, Pat	HD-173	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Gaydos, Valerie	HD-044	R	30%	49%	4	-	3	-	4	-	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	73
Gillen, Mark	HD-128	R	73%	69%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	20
Giral, Jose	HD-180	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Gleim, Barbara	HD-199	R	86%	77%	4	-	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	8
Goughnour, Dan	HD-035	D	0%	0%	-	I	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Green, Roni	HD-190	D	0%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Greiner, Keith	HD-043	R	51%	65%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	-	3	10	-	39
Grimm Krupa, Charity	HD-051	R	94%	93%	4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	-	3	6	6	6	4	3	-	10	10	3
Grove, Seth	HD-196	R	68%	70%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	X	3	3	X	X	6	4	3	-	10	10	24
Guenst, Nancy	HD-152	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Guzman, Manuel	HD-127	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Haddock, Jim	HD-118	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Hamm, Joe	HD-084	R	80%	92%	4	4	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	12
Hanbidge, Liz	HD-061	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Harkins, Patrick	HD-001	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Harris, Jordan	HD-186	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Harris, Keith	HD-195	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Heffley, Doyle	HD-122	R	27%	51%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	82
Hill-Evans, Carol	HD-095	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Hogan, Joe	HD-142	R	27%	25%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	10	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	82
Hohenstein, Joseph	HD-177	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Howard, Kristine	HD-167	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Inglis, John	HD-038	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Irvin, Rich	HD-081	R	48%	63%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	3	-	10	-	45

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Isaacson, MaryLouise	HD-175	D	10%	13%	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102
James, R. Lee	HD-064	R	32%	55%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	68
Jones, Mike	HD-093	R	76%	81%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	18
Jones, Tom	HD-098	R	80%	88%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	12
Kail, Joshua	HD-015	R	52%	53%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	-	3	-	6	-	4	-	3	10	10	38
Kauffman, Rob	HD-089	R	67%	78%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	25
Kazeem, Carol	HD-159	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kenyatta, Malcolm	HD-181	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kephart, Dallas	HD-073	R	100%	100%	4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	1
Kerwin, Joe	HD-125	R	29%	57%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	74
Khan, Tarik	HD-194	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kinkead, Emily	HD-020	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Klunk, Kate	HD-169	R	61%	62%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	6	6	4	3	-	10	10	32
Kosierowski, Bridget	HD-114	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kozak, Roman	HD-014	R	50%	50%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	6	-	4	3	3	10	10	41
Krajewski, Rick	HD-188	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Krueger, Leanne	HD-161	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kulik, Anita Astorino	HD-045	D	0%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Kutz, Thomas	HD-087	R	44%	65%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	-	-	50
Kuzma, Andrew	HD-039	R	22%	40%	-	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	93
Labs, Shelby	HD-143	R	28%	33%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	10	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	79

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Lawrence, John	HD-013	R	65%	64%	4	-	3	-	4	-	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	-	4	3	3	10	-	28
Leadbeter, Robert	HD-109	R	67%	86%	4	4	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	-	10	-	25
Mackenzie, Milou	HD-131	R	58%	64%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	-	3	10	10	33
Madden, Maureen	HD-115	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Madsen, Dave	HD-104	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Major, Abby	HD-060	R	38%	56%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	6	6	4	-	-	-	-	60
Mako, Zachary	HD-183	R	39%	57%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	10	-	58
Malagari, Steven	HD-053	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Maloney, David	HD-130	R	86%	80%	4	-	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	8
Marcell, Kristin	HD-178	R	28%	36%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	10	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	79
Markosek, Brandon	HD-025	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Matzie, Robert	HD-016	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Mayes, La'Tasha	HD-024	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
McAndrew, Joe	HD-032	D	6%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	106
McClinton, Joanna	HD-191	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
McNeill, Jeanne	HD-133	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Mehaffie, Thomas	HD-106	R	10%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	102
Mentzer, Steven	HD-097	R	42%	55%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	10	-	53
Merski, Robert	HD-002	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Metzgar, Carl Walker	HD-069	R	49%	66%	4	-	3	-	4	-	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	-	-	-	10	43
Mihalek, Natalie	HD-040	R	24%	44%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	92

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Miller, Brett	HD-041	R	27%	57%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	82
Miller, Dan	HD-042	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Moul, Dan	HD-091	R	29%	58%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	74
Mullins, Kyle	HD-112	D	0%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Munroe, Brian	HD-144	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Mustello, Marci	HD-011	R	26%	51%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	88
Neilson, Ed	HD-174	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Nelson, Eric	HD-057	R	40%	61%	4	-	3	-	-	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	-	-	-	56
Nelson, Napoleon	HD-154	D	0%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
O'Mara, Jennifer	HD-165	D	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
O'Neal, Timothy	HD-048	R	29%	53%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	74
Olson, Jeff	HD-139	R	25%	40%	4	-	-	-	-	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	89
Ortitay, Jason	HD-046	R	21%	51%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	94
Otten, Danielle Friel	HD-155	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Owlett, Clint	HD-068	R	38%	60%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	60
Parker, Darisha	HD-198	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Pashinski, Eddie Day	HD-121	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Pickett, Tina	HD-110	R	38%	56%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	60
Pielli, Chris	HD-156	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Powell, Lindsay	HD-021	D	0%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Probst, Tarah	HD-189	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Prokopiak, Jim	HD-140	D	0%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Pugh, Brenda	HD-120	R	14%	14%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	100
Rabb, Christopher	HD-200	D	10%	13%	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102
Rader, Jack	HD-176	R	17%	44%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	3	-	-	-	99
Rapp, Kathy	HD-065	R	80%	78%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	12
Rasel, Brian	HD-056	R	50%	50%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	-	-	41
Reichard, Chad	HD-090	R	70%	70%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	21
Rigby, Jim	HD-071	R	29%	50%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	74
Rivera, Nikki	HD-096	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Roae, Brad	HD-006	R	83%	78%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	-	10	10	11
Rossi, Leslie	HD-059	R	80%	89%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	12
Rowe, David	HD-085	R	38%	81%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	60
Rusnock, Jacklyn	HD-126	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Ryncavage, Alec	HD-119	R	28%	44%	4	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	10	79
Salisbury, Abigail	HD-034	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Samuelson, Steve	HD-135	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Sanchez, Benjamin	HD-153	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Sappey, Christina	HD-158	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Scheuren, Donna	HD-147	R	40%	49%	4	-	3	-	4	-	3	10	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	56
Schlegel, John	HD-101	R	54%	69%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	-	36
Schlossberg, Michael	HD-132	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Schmitt, Louis	HD-079	R	38%	60%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	-	3	-	-	60
Schweyer, Peter	HD-134	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Scialabba, Stephenie	HD-012	R	63%	80%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	-	10	-	31
Scott, Greg	HD-054	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Shaffer, Jeremy	HD-028	R	20%	20%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	96
Shusterman, Melissa	HD-157	D	0%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Siegel, Joshua	HD-022	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Smith, Brian	HD-066	R	47%	74%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	-	-	49
Smith-Wade-El, Ismail	HD-049	D	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Solomon, Jared	HD-202	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Staats, Craig	HD-145	R	57%	62%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	10	3	-	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	-	-	35
Stambaugh, Perry	HD-086	R	64%	85%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	-	4	3	3	10	10	29
Steele, Mandy	HD-033	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Stehr, Joanne	HD-107	R	67%	86%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	-	3	10	10	25
Stender, Michael	HD-108	R	35%	70%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	3	3	-	-	65
Struzzi, James	HD-062	R	32%	41%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	68
Takac, Paul	HD-082	D	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Tomlinson, Kathleen	HD-018	R	20%	31%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	10	-	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	96
Topper, Jesse	HD-078	R	32%	48%	4	-	-	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	68
Twardzik, Tim	HD-123	R	35%	62%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	3	-	-	65
Venkat, Arvind	HD-030	D	3%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	108

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Scorecard (Continued)

Name	District	Party	Score	Lifetime	HB145	HB157	HB282	HB416	HB504	HB618	HB630	HB640	HB846	HB1250	HB1263	HB1332	HB1336	HB1421	HB1549	HB1572	HB1697	SB160	SB315	Rank
PRO-GROWTH POSITION					N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	
POINTS					4	4	3	10	4	5	3	10	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	
Vitali, Greg	HD-166	D	20%	12%	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	96
Walsh, Jamie	HD-117	R	90%	90%	4	4	3	10	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	6	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	4
Warner, Ryan	HD-052	R	64%	74%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	10	10	29
Warren, Perry	HD-031	D	0%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Watro, Dane	HD-116	R	25%	49%	4	-	3	-	-	5	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	89
Waxman, Ben	HD-182	D	10%	3%	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102
Weaknecht, Eric	HD-005	R	70%	70%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	21
Webster, Joe	HD-150	D	0%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Wentling, Parke	HD-007	R	41%	63%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	6	4	3	3	-	-	55
White, Martina	HD-170	R	27%	36%	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	10	-	3	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	82
Williams, Craig	HD-160	R	27%	35%	4	-	-	-	-	-	3	10	3	-	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	82
Williams, Dan	HD-074	D	0%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Young, Regina	HD-185	D	0%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	109
Zimmerman, David	HD-099	R	70%	74%	4	-	3	-	4	5	3	-	3	3	3	-	6	6	4	3	3	10	10	21

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 145

SIGNIFICANT UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE EXPANSION

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill drastically empowers unions at the expense of taxpayers. Specifically, the legislation eliminates the existing statutory prohibition that prevents striking workers from receiving taxpayer-funded unemployment insurance (UI) benefits. This bill creates a taxpayer-funded incentive for unionized workers to go on strike, with hardworking families funding such actions in perpetuity. Additionally, the bill would significantly expand the UI program by expanding eligibility to a class of workers who voluntarily quit their employment and otherwise should not be eligible. The bill is little more than a wealth transfer for union bosses as they fleece taxpayers and hold employers hostage. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 106-97, on October 7, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 157

EXPANDED MEDICAL LOAN BAILOUT (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the Rural Health Care Grant Program. Specifically, the legislation expands taxpayer-subsidized loan repayment programs to include dentists and dental hygienists who work in Pennsylvania areas designated as “health professional shortage areas.” The total loan repayment amount is capped at \$250,000 per recipient, provided the practitioner agrees to work in a specific area for three years. Lawmakers should not create central-planning schemes that determine where in the state medical professionals should practice. Further, hardworking taxpayers should not be forced to subsidize select professions, much less ones that routinely earn salaries above the state’s per capita income. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 194-8, on March 19, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 282

TARGETED MEDICAID SERVICE EXPANSION (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a Medicaid service expansion in Pennsylvania. Specifically, the legislation instructs the Department of Human Services to seek federal approval to expand the state’s Medicaid program to cover substance abuse treatment and case management services for prisoners soon to be released, further extending the program’s reach into specialized care. Pennsylvania unwisely expanded the fraud-prone and costly Medicaid program to healthy adults in 2015, resulting in nearly 30 percent of Medicaid recipients being able-bodied and the program devouring 38 percent of the state’s budget a decade later. Lawmakers should focus on constraining Medicaid growth and moving Pennsylvanians toward a patient-centered, free-market model of healthcare, rather than implementing policies that benefit government bureaucracies and foster cycles of dependence. The Pennsylvania

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

House passed the bill, 153-50, on June 3, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 416
PROFLIGATE FISCAL CODE OMNIBUS
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill provides fiscal instructions for state agencies as part of the annual budget process. Every year, the Fiscal Code also serves as a repository for various legislative initiatives that failed to move through the regular legislative process. The FY2025-26 Fiscal Code creates a new \$10 million Affordable Housing Credit program to subsidize more government housing projects, establishes a \$200 million Working Pennsylvanians Tax Credit carveout as a state supplement for the federal Earned Income Tax Credit welfare handout, authorizes a \$60 million transfer from unexpended taxpayer funds to the Enterprise and Technology Fund for myriad pet projects, implements a \$160 million federal “Solar-For-All” Green New Deal slush fund, and expands government-subsidized pre-K grants by 2.4 percent per child. The bill is a veritable buffet of big government initiatives and programs that benefit the well-connected

at the expense of hardworking families and households. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 189-14, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-6, on the same day.

HB 504
COMMUNITY SOLAR SUBSIDY SCHEME
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements a new green energy scheme. Specifically, the legislation authorizes community solar facilities to partner with electric distribution companies (EDCs) to generate solar energy for subscribers through maximizing federal grants. Subscribers are issued credits based on their share of the solar facility’s output to offset their increased energy costs. Additionally, the bill empowers EDCs to charge interconnection fees and pursue cost recovery initiatives, while authorizing the Public Utility Commission to devise ways to increase solar consumption among low-income households. Aside from jeopardizing the grid through unreliable energy sources and increasing utility costs, the bill will require at least \$1.4 million to hire new government

employees to administer the program. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 114-89, on May 7, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 618
MANDATING GUARANTEED ISSUE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a new statewide health insurance mandate. Specifically, the legislation prohibits insurers from limiting, excluding, or denying health insurance benefits to individuals based on an enrollee’s preexisting medical conditions. While well-intentioned, this policy is the state version of Obamacare’s guaranteed issue mandate, which has doubled, and in some cases tripled, premiums since its inception in 2013. When insurers are prohibited from incorporating risk into their assessments, the increased costs are passed on to everyone, including healthy or low-risk individuals. This bill permanently locks in high health insurance costs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 125-77, on March 25, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 630
CRIPPLING EMPLOYER MANDATES
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes significantly onerous mandates on small businesses. Specifically, the legislation prohibits an employer from using a prospective employee’s wage history when making an employment decision or determining the amount of wages to offer, prohibits an employer from inquiring or requesting wage information from a prospective employee’s current or previous employer as part of the hiring process, and prohibits an employer from contacting another employer to inquire about a prospective employee’s wage history unless an offer has already been made and the information results in higher wages. Further, the bill prohibits an employer from punishing an employee who complains about or reports potential violations of these mandates. Such provisions severely harm small business owners’ ability to make objective employment decisions, evaluate prospective employees’ work quality, and hire the best workers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on May 5, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 640
PERMANENT MEDICAID FUNDING TRIGGER
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill eliminates a sunset for a key component of Pennsylvania’s Medicaid program. Specifically, the legislation permanently extends the Medicaid provider tax schemes within the state’s managed care organizations, long-term care facilities, nursing homes, and hospitals by tying the sunset for these assessments to what is permitted under federal law. Estimates suggest this statutory change will ensure billions in continued annual federal funding for the state’s out-of-control Medicaid program, which has been riddled with improper payments totaling at least \$2.3 billion. These actions significantly expand a budget-devouring welfare program rife with fraud and poor health outcomes. As Pennsylvania families and households struggle with persistent inflation, lawmakers should cease actions that wrongly treat federal funds as “free money” and inevitably raise future spending baselines for failed government programs. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 183-20, on June 30, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 46-4, on the same day.

HB 846
IMPOSING PREVAILING WAGE MANDATES
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes prevailing wage requirements for certain workers. Specifically, the legislation requires state-determined wages for government construction projects to be paid to fabrication work that often occurs off-site. Further, the legislation prohibits “split-rates” for government construction projects, in which employers pay different wages to workers on the same project to promote efficiency and reduce costs. These prevailing wage formulas, concocted by unelected government bureaucrats, increase the cost of construction projects by an average of 10 percent, discriminate against non-unionized workers, and significantly limit market competition while bogging down projects in delays. For government projects in particular, these project delays and cost increases often lead to future tax hikes. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 126-76, on June 9, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1250
PROPERTY OWNER RESTRICTIONS
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes significant restrictions on certain property owners in Pennsylvania. Specifically, the legislation prohibits property owners in manufactured home communities from increasing rent, fees, or service charges beyond annual cost-of-living adjustments pegged to the consumer price index statistics released by the United States Department of Labor. Further, if CPI increases by more than 4 percent, the bill applies a 4 percent cap regardless of the total annual increase and imposes significant requirements on property owners seeking to raise rents and fees above the cap, even if such increases are necessary to cover operating expenses. Such policies distort the free market, lead to shortages, and fundamentally threaten the rights of property owners. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 144-59, on June 10, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1263
NEW SMALL BUSINESS MANDATE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill imposes a new government mandate on small businesses called the Keystone Saves Program. Specifically, the bill implements a new mandate for any business with five or more employees, requiring automatic enrollment of employees in a government-run IRA if the business does not offer its own retirement plan. This will require small businesses to either create their own benefits plan or participate in the government-run retirement system, leading to varying contribution percentages, new tax filing burdens, and new regulatory compliance issues for payroll. While retirement savings are important, government mandates requiring small businesses to either establish a retirement benefit system or participate in a government-run plan impose significant burdens on entrepreneurs and employers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on May 13, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1332
DEBT-RIDDLED CAPITAL SPENDING PACKAGE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill authorizes capital expenditures for FY2025-2026. Specifically, the legislation authorizes an additional \$1.57 billion in principal debt to fund capital improvement initiatives, including \$1.1 billion for structural renovations of government buildings, \$20 million for new furniture, \$100 million for transportation assistance projects, and \$350 million for government-preferred redevelopment initiatives. The new maximum bond indebtedness is a 12.1 percent increase over the previous year’s limit. Lawmakers should not saddle taxpayers with exorbitant new debt obligations to fund renovations and pet projects that should be offset by spending cuts. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 184-18, on June 4, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 43-3, on November 19, 2025.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1336
TAXPAYER-FUNDED UNIONS
APPROPRIATIONS PACKAGE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates funding for a union bureaucracy for FY2025-2026. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$65.9 million to the Public School Employees’ Retirement Board for salaries, wages, and travel expenses for employees and board members, representing a 5.2 percent year-over-year increase in spending. This is little more than a direct wealth transfer from hardworking Pennsylvania families to union bosses and their operatives. Unions routinely act as political enforcers for far-left activists and causes, and this board utilizes taxpayer resources to perpetuate an endless cycle of fleece-and-fund. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 157-45, on June 4, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 48-2, on June 25, 2025.

HB 1421
CONTINUED HIGHER EDUCATION
SPENDING BINGE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates funding for universities and colleges for FY2025-2026. Specifically, the legislation appropriates \$646.7 million for higher education, including an additional \$31.5 million for the University of Pennsylvania’s veterinary school, \$4 million for rural education initiatives at the University of Pittsburgh, and \$22 million for Lincoln University. The bill continues elevated spending levels from the previous fiscal year. Hardworking Pennsylvanians, particularly non-college graduates who comprise the majority of the workforce, should not be coerced into further subsidizing university operations as they face persistent inflation and high costs. These entities should rely on tuition and private donations for their funding. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 151-52, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 39-7, on November 19, 2025.

HB 1549
PERMANENT MINIMUM WAGE INCREASE
(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill permanently increases the state minimum wage. Specifically, the legislation increases the minimum wage to \$15.00 per hour in Philadelphia beginning January 1, 2026, and staggers increases elsewhere from \$10.00 to \$12.00 per hour. Beginning January 1, 2029, the bill permanently increases the minimum wage with annual cost-of-living adjustments pegged to the consumer price index statistics released by the United States Department of Labor. Empirical data have consistently shown that minimum wage increases result in fewer jobs and impose onerous constraints on small businesses by diminishing profit margins due to sudden increases in mandatory hourly wages. These costs are then passed on to consumers. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 102-101, on June 11, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

HB 1572

CRONY HISTORIC RENOVATION CREDITS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill expands the Historic Preservation Tax Credit within the Department of Community and Economic Development. Specifically, the legislation empowers the department to award \$20 million per year in crony tax credits to government-preferred entities and triples the existing per-recipient cap from \$500,000 to \$1.5 million. This comes just one year after the program’s annual spending cap increased 300 percent. Lawmakers should not create new subsidies that benefit well-connected interests at the expense of hardworking families and households. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 141-62, on June 30, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

HB 1697

SUPERCHARGING FRIVOLOUS LAWSUITS (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill creates a modified state version of the False Claims Act. Specifically, the legislation establishes a paradigm in which trial lawyers are incentivized to file lawsuits against businesses

on behalf of the state for alleged fraud, often resulting in frivolous claims that nevertheless pressure businesses to settle rather than spend significant resources tied up in court. Aside from supercharging trial lawyers, such provisions will significantly increase costs, as businesses are forced to pass them on to consumers to remain profitable, thereby harming economic growth in the state. Lawmakers should not create legal structures that benefit trial lawyers at the expense of job creators. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 136-67, on July 9, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate did not take it up.

SB 160

MISSED OPPORTUNITY GENERAL FUND SPENDING

(CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill appropriates taxpayer dollars to myriad state programs as part of the annual budget process. Specifically, the legislation spends \$50.1 billion for the FY2025-2026 fiscal year, a 5.3 percent increase in General Fund spending over the previous budget. Furthermore, the package includes an \$850 million increase in K-12 spending, \$1.1 billion in increased welfare

spending, including a 9 percent annual increase for the state’s destructive and fraud-prone Medicaid program, and an expansion of the state’s crony economic development programs. While the bill includes some policy wins, such as exiting the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative and streamlining state permitting procedures, it perpetuates a significant \$5.1 billion structural deficit. As Pennsylvanians face persistent inflation and economic hardships stemming from harmful federal policies, state lawmakers should refrain from imposing additional burdens on taxpayers and employers with an ever-increasing baseline. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 156-47, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 40-9, on the same day.

SB 315

BIG GOVERNMENT K-12 POLICY PACKAGE (CFGF OPPOSES)

This bill implements numerous provisions expanding government control over K-12 schools in the Keystone State. Specifically, the legislation implements a \$175 million reduction in funding for the state’s cyber

ABOUT THE
FOUNDATION

METHODOLOGY
2025
PENNSYLVANIA

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
SENATE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
SNAPSHOT

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTES

PENNSYLVANIA
HOUSE
VOTE
DESCRIPTIONS

Pennsylvania 2025 | House Vote Descriptions

charter school program while imposing significant new, onerous regulations on these schools. Additionally, the bill implements a new grant program for nursing loan repayments and authorizes statutory protocols for \$565 million in supplemental “Ready-to-Learn” block grant funding, beyond the program’s baseline spending. While new accountability requirements for charter schools are reasonable in theory, the legislation sets in motion nearly \$1 billion in new K-12 funding without commensurate accountability for failing government schools controlled by teachers’ unions. The bill’s result is to bolster union bosses and a failing state school system, while curbing school-choice approaches that empower parents and students. The Pennsylvania House passed the bill, 167-36, on November 12, 2025. The Pennsylvania Senate passed it, 44-5, on the same day.

ABOUT THE FOUNDATION
METHODOLOGY 2025 PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE SNAPSHOT
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTES
PENNSYLVANIA HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS